

Name	Email	1.Are you replying as:	If company/SME/micro- enterprise/sole trader, you are:	If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code:	If industry association, you are representing:

Name	Email	1.Are you replying as:	If company/SME/micro- enterprise/sole trader, you are:	If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code:	If industry association, you are representing:
Edgardo Aleite	edgardo.aleite@av.se	EU / EEA national market surveillance or customs authority			

Name	Email	1.Are you replying as:	If company/SME/micro- enterprise/sole trader, you are:	If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code:	If industry association, you are representing:
Salvatore Curcuruto - ISPRA	salvatore.curcuruto@isprambiente.it	EU / EEA national market surveillance or customs authority			

Name	Email	1.Are you replying as:	If company/SME/micro- enterprise/sole trader, you are:	If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code:	If industry association, you are representing:
Association of the Greek Industry of Detergents and Soaps (SEVAS)	sevasth@otenet.gr	Industry association			Product Importers / Distributors;Product Manufacturers

Name	Email	1.Are you replying as:	If company/SME/micro- enterprise/sole trader, you are:	If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code:	If industry association, you are representing:
Dan Bremberg	dan.bremberg@av.se	EU / EEA national market surveillance or customs authority			

Name	Email	1.Are you replying as:	If company/SME/micro- enterprise/sole trader, you are:	If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code:	If industry association, you are representing:

Hongwei SHI	cesafe@qq.com	Other			

Name	Email	1.Are you replying as:	If company/SME/micro- enterprise/sole trader, you are:	If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code:	If industry association, you are representing:
Clive Shelton	cliveshelton@toysafe.co.uk	Industry association			Product Importers / Distributors;Product Manufacturers

Name	Email	1.Are you replying as:	If company/SME/micro- enterprise/sole trader, you are:	If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code:	If industry association, you are representing:
Christer Bergström	christer.bergstrom@transport styrelsen.se	EU / EEA national market surveillance or customs authority			

Name	Email	1.Are you replying as:	If company/SME/micro- enterprise/sole trader, you are:	If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code:	If industry association, you are representing:
Detlef Hoffmann	detlef.hoffmann@lbme.nrw.de	EU / EEA national market surveillance or customs authority			

Name	Email	1.Are you replying as:	If company/SME/micro- enterprise/sole trader, you are:	If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code:	If industry association, you are representing:
Ian Turner (CECIP)	technical2@ukwf.org.uk	Industry association			Product Importers / Distributors;Product Manufacturers

Name	Email	1.Are you replying as:	If company/SME/micro-enterprise/sole trader, you are:	If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code:	If industry association, you are representing:

Alun Jones	alun.jones@ecostandard.org	Consumer organisation			

Name	Email	1.Are you replying as:	If company/SME/micro- enterprise/sole trader, you are:	If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code:	If industry association, you are representing:

Ad Pijnenburg	ap@welvaarts.com	Industry association			Product Manufacturers

Name	Email	1.Are you replying as:	If company/SME/micro- enterprise/sole trader, you are:	If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code:	If industry association, you are representing:

Julia Poliscanova	julia.poliscanova@transporte nvironment.org	Other			

Name	Email	1.Are you replying as:	If company/SME/micro- enterprise/sole trader, you are:	If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code:	If industry association, you are representing:

William Mitchell	william.mitchell@electricalsaf etyfirst.org.uk	Consumer organisation			

Name	Email	1.Are you replying as:	If company/SME/micro- enterprise/sole trader, you are:	If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code:	If industry association, you are representing:

Anders Mortensen, Arbejdstilsynet, P.O. boks 1228, DK-0900 København C.	amo@at.dk				

		EU / EEA national market surveillance or customs authority			

Name	Email	1.Are you replying as:	If company/SME/micro-enterprise/sole trader, you are:	If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code:	If industry association, you are representing:
Ieva Kisieliute och Sara Elfving	ieva.kisieliute@boverket.se	EU / EEA national market surveillance or customs authority			

Name	Email	1.Are you replying as:	If company/SME/micro- enterprise/sole trader, you are:	If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code:	If industry association, you are representing:
Andrea Steffes	asteffes@handel- schweiz.com	Industry association			Product Importers / Distributors

Name	Email	1.Are you replying as:	If company/SME/micro-enterprise/sole trader, you are:	If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code:	If industry association, you are representing:
Haidi Kanamäe	haidi.kanamae@terviseamet.ee	EU / EEA national market surveillance or customs authority			

Name	Email	1.Are you replying as:	If company/SME/micro- enterprise/sole trader, you are:	If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code:	If industry association, you are representing:

OCTIME - Observatoire contre les trafics illicites des Matériaux et des Equipements	boulangerg@itffb.fr	Other			

Name	Email	1.Are you replying as:	If company/SME/micro- enterprise/sole trader, you are:	If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code:	If industry association, you are representing:
Valstybinė vartotojų teisių apsaugos tarnyba	tarnyba@vvtat.lt	EU / EEA national market surveillance or customs authority			

Name	Email	1.Are you replying as:	If company/SME/micro- enterprise/sole trader, you are:	If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code:	If industry association, you are representing:
Matthias Honnacker (Entwurf)	matthias.honnacker@stmuv. bayern.de	EU / EEA national market surveillance or customs authority			

Name	Email	1.Are you replying as:	If company/SME/micro- enterprise/sole trader, you are:	If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code:	If industry association, you are representing:

Industrie- und Handelskammer Bodensee- Oberschwaben / Dr. Sönke Voss	voss@weingarten.ihk.de	Other			

Name	Email	1.Are you replying as:	If company/SME/micro- enterprise/sole trader, you are:	If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code:	If industry association, you are representing:

Guillaume ADAM	gadam@ignes.fr	Industry association			Product Manufacturers

Name	Email	1.Are you replying as:	If company/SME/micro- enterprise/sole trader, you are:	If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code:	If industry association, you are representing:

LR Ryšių reguliavimo tarnyba rrt@rrt.lt

EU / EEA national regulatory
authority (other than market
surveillance or customs
authority)

Name	Email	1.Are you replying as:	If company/SME/micro- enterprise/sole trader, you are:	If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code:	If industry association, you are representing:
Lietuvos Respublikos ryšių reguliavimo tarnyba	rrt@rrt.lt	EU / EEA national regulatory authority (other than market surveillance or customs authority)			

Name	Email	1.Are you replying as:	If company/SME/micro- enterprise/sole trader, you are:	If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code:	If industry association, you are representing:
Ruta DAUKSIENE	ruta.dauksiene@lrmuitine.lt	EU / EEA national market surveillance or customs authority			

Name	Email	1.Are you replying as:	If company/SME/micro- enterprise/sole trader, you are:	If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code:	If industry association, you are representing:

ASEC - Association Sécurité électrique et Conformité	association@securelectric.co m	Other			

Name	Email	1.Are you replying as:	If company/SME/micro- enterprise/sole trader, you are:	If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code:	If industry association, you are representing:

Ministry of Social Affairs and Health, Department for Occupational Safety and Health	kirjaamo@stm.fi				

		EU / EEA national market surveillance or customs authority			

Name	Email	1.Are you replying as:	If company/SME/micro- enterprise/sole trader, you are:	If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code:	If industry association, you are representing:
The Federation of Finnish Enterprises (Suomen Yrittäjät ry)	ville.kukkonen@yrittajat.fi	Industry association			Product Importers / Distributors;Product Manufacturers;Product users

Name	Email	1.Are you replying as:	If company/SME/micro-enterprise/sole trader, you are:	If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code:	If industry association, you are representing:
Mikael Schmidt	mikael.schmidt@konsumentverket.se	EU / EEA national market surveillance or customs authority			

Name	Email	1.Are you replying as:	If company/SME/micro- enterprise/sole trader, you are:	If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code:	If industry association, you are representing:
Joost Vandenbroucke	jvandenbroucke@test- aankoop.be	Consumer organisation			

Name	Email	1.Are you replying as:	If company/SME/micro- enterprise/sole trader, you are:	If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code:	If industry association, you are representing:

Chiara Giovannini	chiara.giovannini@anec.eu	Consumer organisation			

Name	Email	1.Are you replying as:	If company/SME/micro- enterprise/sole trader, you are:	If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code:	If industry association, you are representing:

Line Telje Hoydal, Norwegian Environment Agency	line.telje.hoydal@miljodir.no	EU / EEA national market surveillance or customs authority			

Name	Email	1.Are you replying as:	If company/SME/micro- enterprise/sole trader, you are:	If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code:	If industry association, you are representing:
Consumer Agency - Neytendastofa	postur@neytendastofa.is	EU / EEA national market surveillance or customs authority			

Name	Email	1.Are you replying as:	If company/SME/micro- enterprise/sole trader, you are:	If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code:	If industry association, you are representing:

Manuela Coroama	mcoroama@cosmeticseurope.eu	Industry association			Product Manufacturers

Name	Email	1.Are you replying as:	If company/SME/micro- enterprise/sole trader, you are:	If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code:	If industry association, you are representing:
State Labour Inspectorate of the Republic of Lithuania	vesta.mace@vdi.lt	EU / EEA national market surveillance or customs authority			

Name	Email	1.Are you replying as:	If company/SME/micro- enterprise/sole trader, you are:	If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code:	If industry association, you are representing:

MSA Recreational navigation
division

viktorija.lapaite@msa.lt

EU / EEA national market
surveillance or customs
authority

Name	Email	1.Are you replying as:	If company/SME/micro- enterprise/sole trader, you are:	If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code:	If industry association, you are representing:

Felix Van Eyken	felix.vaneyken@eurovent.eu	Industry association			Product Manufacturers

Name	Email	1.Are you replying as:	If company/SME/micro- enterprise/sole trader, you are:	If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code:	If industry association, you are representing:

Amplifier sp.z.o.o.	m.wigda@amplifier.com.pl	Company / SME / micro- enterprise / sole trader / EEN member on behalf of an SME	Product Manufacturer		

Name	Email	1.Are you replying as:	If company/SME/micro- enterprise/sole trader, you are:	If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code:	If industry association, you are representing:

European Garden Machinery Federation (EGMF)	secretariat@egmf.org				

Industry association

Product Manufacturers

Name	Email	1.Are you replying as:	If company/SME/micro- enterprise/sole trader, you are:	If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code:	If industry association, you are representing:

Dr. Bettina Wurster	wurster.bettina@dihk.de	Other			

Name	Email	1.Are you replying as:	If company/SME/micro- enterprise/sole trader, you are:	If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code:	If industry association, you are representing:
The Finnish Safety and Chemicals Agency (Tukes), Director Tuiri Kerttula	tuir.kerttula@tukes.fi	EU / EEA national market surveillance or customs authority			

Name	Email	1.Are you replying as:	If company/SME/micro- enterprise/sole trader, you are:	If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code:	If industry association, you are representing:

BEUC - The European Consumer Organisation	consumer-rights@beuc.eu	Consumer organisation			

Name	Email	1.Are you replying as:	If company/SME/micro- enterprise/sole trader, you are:	If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code:	If industry association, you are representing:
Terhi Kuljukka-Rabb	terhi.kuljukka- rabb@kauppa.fi	Industry association			Product Importers / Distributors

Name	Email	1.Are you replying as:	If company/SME/micro- enterprise/sole trader, you are:	If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code:	If industry association, you are representing:

Fyndiq AB	jorgen.johansson@fyndiq.se	Company / SME / micro- enterprise / sole trader / EEN member on behalf of an SME	Online intermediary		

Name	Email	1.Are you replying as:	If company/SME/micro- enterprise/sole trader, you are:	If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code:	If industry association, you are representing:
R J Herbert	richard.herbert@herbertgroup .com	Company / SME / micro- enterprise / sole trader / EEN member on behalf of an SME	Product Manufacturer		

Name	Email	1.Are you replying as:	If company/SME/micro- enterprise/sole trader, you are:	If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code:	If industry association, you are representing:

Weiler Isabelle	isabelle.weiler@euratex.eu	Industry association			Product Manufacturers

Name	Email	1.Are you replying as:	If company/SME/micro- enterprise/sole trader, you are:	If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code:	If industry association, you are representing:

BELEN BRAVO	belen.bravo@cece.eu	Industry association			Product Manufacturers

Name	Email	1.Are you replying as:	If company/SME/micro- enterprise/sole trader, you are:	If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code:	If industry association, you are representing:
FIOVDE	amcouras@fiovde.pt	Industry association			Product Importers / Distributors;Product Manufacturers

Name	Email	1.Are you replying as:	If company/SME/micro- enterprise/sole trader, you are:	If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code:	If industry association, you are representing:
Arbetsmiljöverket	jorgen.grahn@av.se	EU / EEA national market surveillance or customs authority			

Name	Email	1.Are you replying as:	If company/SME/micro- enterprise/sole trader, you are:	If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code:	If industry association, you are representing:
AFME	oscar.querol@afme.es	Industry association			Product Manufacturers

Name	Email	1.Are you replying as:	If company/SME/micro- enterprise/sole trader, you are:	If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code:	If industry association, you are representing:

CECAPI	oscar.querol@afme.es	Industry association			Product Manufacturers

Name	Email	1.Are you replying as:	If company/SME/micro- enterprise/sole trader, you are:	If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code:	If industry association, you are representing:
UKWF	technical2@ukwf.org.uk	Industry association			Product Importers / Distributors;Product Manufacturers

Name	Email	1.Are you replying as:	If company/SME/micro- enterprise/sole trader, you are:	If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code:	If industry association, you are representing:

Klaus-Dieter Axt	klaus- dieter.axt@digitaleurope.org	Industry association			Product Manufacturers

Name	Email	1.Are you replying as:	If company/SME/micro- enterprise/sole trader, you are:	If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code:	If industry association, you are representing:
EDRA	gray@edra-online.org	Industry association			Product Importers / Distributors

Name	Email	1.Are you replying as:	If company/SME/micro-enterprise/sole trader, you are:	If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code:	If industry association, you are representing:
Laurent ZIBELL	laurent.zibell@industrial-europe.eu	Trade union			

Name	Email	1.Are you replying as:	If company/SME/micro- enterprise/sole trader, you are:	If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code:	If industry association, you are representing:

Wirtschaftskammer Österreich -Austrian Federal Economic Chamber, Wiedner Hauptstraße 63, 1040 Wien	een@wko.at	Other			

Name	Email	1.Are you replying as:	If company/SME/micro- enterprise/sole trader, you are:	If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code:	If industry association, you are representing:
Norwegian Building Authority	post@dibk.no		EU / EEA national market surveillance or customs authority		

Name	Email	1.Are you replying as:	If company/SME/micro- enterprise/sole trader, you are:	If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code:	If industry association, you are representing:

Michał Zakrzewski	michal.zakrzewski@ceded.eu	Industry association			Product Manufacturers

Name	Email	1.Are you replying as:	If company/SME/micro-enterprise/sole trader, you are:	If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code:	If industry association, you are representing:
Agnieszka Daval, Philips European Affairs Office	agnieszka.daval@philips.com	Company / SME / micro-enterprise / sole trader / EEN member on behalf of an SME	Product Manufacturer		

Name	Email	1.Are you replying as:	If company/SME/micro- enterprise/sole trader, you are:	If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code:	If industry association, you are representing:
The Environment Agency of Iceland	ust@ust.is	EU / EEA national market surveillance or customs authority			

Name	Email	1.Are you replying as:	If company/SME/micro- enterprise/sole trader, you are:	If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code:	If industry association, you are representing:
Simona Vackeova	Vackeova@cerameunie.eu	Industry association			Product Manufacturers

Name	Email	1.Are you replying as:	If company/SME/micro- enterprise/sole trader, you are:	If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code:	If industry association, you are representing:

EuroCommerce	bedert@eurocommerce.eu	Industry association			Product Importers / Distributors

Name	Email	1.Are you replying as:	If company/SME/micro-enterprise/sole trader, you are:	If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code:	If industry association, you are representing:
Pierre Selva	pierre2.selva@schneider-electric.com	Company / SME / micro-enterprise / sole trader / EEN member on behalf of an SME	Product Manufacturer		

Name	Email	1.Are you replying as:	If company/SME/micro- enterprise/sole trader, you are:	If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code:	If industry association, you are representing:

Derek Coulson	derek@safemachine.co.uk	Company / SME / micro- enterprise / sole trader / EEN member on behalf of an SME	Conformity assessment body		

Name	Email	1.Are you replying as:	If company/SME/micro- enterprise/sole trader, you are:	If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code:	If industry association, you are representing:
Henk Vanhoutte	henk.vanhoutte@eu-esf.org	Industry association			Product Importers / Distributors;Product Manufacturers

Name	Email	1.Are you replying as:	If company/SME/micro- enterprise/sole trader, you are:	If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code:	If industry association, you are representing:
Andrea Voigt	secretariat@epeeglobal.org	Industry association			Product Importers / Distributors;Product Manufacturers

Name	Email	1.Are you replying as:	If company/SME/micro- enterprise/sole trader, you are:	If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code:	If industry association, you are representing:
Norwegian Communications Authority	firmapost@nkom.no		EU / EEA national market surveillance or customs authority		

Name	Email	1.Are you replying as:	If company/SME/micro- enterprise/sole trader, you are:	If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code:	If industry association, you are representing:

Adrian HARRIS	adrian.harris@orgalime.org	Industry association			Product Manufacturers

Name	Email	1.Are you replying as:	If company/SME/micro- enterprise/sole trader, you are:	If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code:	If industry association, you are representing:
BusinessEurope	p.grant@businessseurope.eu	Industry association			Product Importers / Distributors;Product Manufacturers;Product users;Online intermediaries

Name	Email	1.Are you replying as:	If company/SME/micro- enterprise/sole trader, you are:	If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code:	If industry association, you are representing:
Rod Freeman	rod.freeman@hoganlovells.co m	Academic/Law firm not replying on behalf of a client			

Name	Email	1.Are you replying as:	If company/SME/micro-enterprise/sole trader, you are:	If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code:	If industry association, you are representing:
Confederation of Finnish Industries EK	veli.sinda@ek.fi	Industry association			Product Importers / Distributors;Product Manufacturers;Product users;Online intermediaries

Name	Email	1.Are you replying as:	If company/SME/micro- enterprise/sole trader, you are:	If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code:	If industry association, you are representing:
FederUnacoma	davide.gnesini@federunacom a.it	Industry association			Product Manufacturers

Name	Email	1.Are you replying as:	If company/SME/micro- enterprise/sole trader, you are:	If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code:	If industry association, you are representing:

Handelsverband Deutschland (HDE) e.V.	Bruessel@hde.de				

Industry association

Product Importers /
Distributors

Name	Email	1.Are you replying as:	If company/SME/micro- enterprise/sole trader, you are:	If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code:	If industry association, you are representing:
Mette Peetz-Schou	meps@di.dk	Industry association			Product Importers / Distributors;Product Manufacturers

Name	Email	1.Are you replying as:	If company/SME/micro- enterprise/sole trader, you are:	If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code:	If industry association, you are representing:

TIE - Toy Industries of
Europe

lars.vogt@tietoy.org

Industry association

Product Manufacturers

Name	Email	1.Are you replying as:	If company/SME/micro- enterprise/sole trader, you are:	If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code:	If industry association, you are representing:

Marc Cumps	marc.cumps@agoria.be	Industry association			Product Manufacturers

Name	Email	1.Are you replying as:	If company/SME/micro- enterprise/sole trader, you are:	If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code:	If industry association, you are representing:

Helen Amundsen	ha@fbr.dk	Consumer organisation			

Name	Email	1.Are you replying as:	If company/SME/micro- enterprise/sole trader, you are:	If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code:	If industry association, you are representing:

Pack2Go Europe	smoreau@pack2go- europe.com	Industry association			Product Manufacturers

Name	Email	1.Are you replying as:	If company/SME/micro- enterprise/sole trader, you are:	If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code:	If industry association, you are representing:
Malcolm Kent	malcolm.kent@clarityengineer ring.eu	Industry association			Product Manufacturers

Name	Email	1.Are you replying as:	If company/SME/micro- enterprise/sole trader, you are:	If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code:	If industry association, you are representing:
Razvan Antemir (EMOTA)	razantemir@emota.eu	Industry association			Product Importers / Distributors

Name	Email	1.Are you replying as:	If company/SME/micro- enterprise/sole trader, you are:	If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code:	If industry association, you are representing:

Simon Harpin	simon.harpin@beama.org.uk	Industry association			Product Manufacturers

Name	Email	1.Are you replying as:	If company/SME/micro- enterprise/sole trader, you are:	If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code:	If industry association, you are representing:
Ferenc Vékony	magyartermekhaz@gmail.com	Company / SME / micro- enterprise / sole trader / EEN member on behalf of an SME	Other	HU00704	

Name	Email	1.Are you replying as:	If company/SME/micro- enterprise/sole trader, you are:	If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code:	If industry association, you are representing:
Janos Dus	crv@agroproject.hu	Company / SME / micro- enterprise / sole trader / EEN member on behalf of an SME	Other		

Name

Email

1.Are you replying as:

If company/SME/micro-
enterprise/sole trader, you
are:

If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code: If industry association, you are representing:

EU / EEA national regulatory
authority (other than market
surveillance or customs
authority)

Name

Email

1.Are you replying as:

If company/SME/micro-
enterprise/sole trader, you
are:

If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code: If industry association, you are representing:

EU / EEA national market
surveillance or customs
authority

Name

Email

1.Are you replying as:

If company/SME/micro-
enterprise/sole trader, you
are:

If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code: If industry association, you are representing:

Company / SME / micro-
enterprise / sole trader / EEN
member on behalf of an SME

Conformity assessment body

Name	Email	1.Are you replying as:	If company/SME/micro- enterprise/sole trader, you are:	If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code:	If industry association, you are representing:

Company / SME / micro- enterprise / sole trader / EEN member on behalf of an SME	Product Manufacturer;Product user;Conformity assessment body

Name

Email

1.Are you replying as:

If company/SME/micro-
enterprise/sole trader, you
are:

If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code: If industry association, you are representing:

Academic/Law firm not
replying on behalf of a client

Name

Email

1.Are you replying as:

If company/SME/micro-
enterprise/sole trader, you
are:

If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code: If industry association, you are representing:

EU / EEA national market
surveillance or customs
authority

Name

Email

1.Are you replying as:

If company/SME/micro-
enterprise/sole trader, you
are:

If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code: If industry association, you are representing:

EU / EEA national market
surveillance or customs
authority

Name

Email

1.Are you replying as:

If company/SME/micro-
enterprise/sole trader, you
are:

If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code: If industry association, you are representing:

EU / EEA national market
surveillance or customs
authority

Name

Email

1.Are you replying as:

If company/SME/micro-
enterprise/sole trader, you
are:

If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code: If industry association, you are representing:

EU / EEA national regulatory
authority (other than market
surveillance or customs
authority)

Name	Email	1.Are you replying as:	If company/SME/micro-enterprise/sole trader, you are:	If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code:	If industry association, you are representing:

Company / SME / micro-enterprise / sole trader / EEN member on behalf of an SME	Product Manufacturer

Name

Email

1.Are you replying as:

If company/SME/micro-
enterprise/sole trader, you
are:

If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code: If industry association, you are representing:

Consumer/Citizen, other
individual user of products

Name	Email	1.Are you replying as:	If company/SME/micro- enterprise/sole trader, you are:	If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code:	If industry association, you are representing:

Company / SME / micro- enterprise / sole trader / EEN member on behalf of an SME	Product Manufacturer;Conformity assessment body

Name

Email

1.Are you replying as:

If company/SME/micro-
enterprise/sole trader, you
are:

If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code: If industry association, you are representing:

Industry association

Name

Email

1.Are you replying as:

If company/SME/micro-
enterprise/sole trader, you
are:

If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code: If industry association, you are representing:

EU / EEA national market
surveillance or customs
authority

Name

Email

1.Are you replying as:

If company/SME/micro-
enterprise/sole trader, you
are:

If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code: If industry association, you are representing:

EU / EEA national market
surveillance or customs
authority

Name

Email

1.Are you replying as:

If company/SME/micro-
enterprise/sole trader, you
are:

If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code: If industry association, you are representing:

EU / EEA national market
surveillance or customs
authority

Name	Email	1.Are you replying as:	If company/SME/micro- enterprise/sole trader, you are:	If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code:	If industry association, you are representing:

Company / SME / micro- enterprise / sole trader / EEN member on behalf of an SME	Product Manufacturer

Name

Email

1.Are you replying as:

If company/SME/micro-
enterprise/sole trader, you
are:

If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code: If industry association, you are representing:

EU / EEA national market
surveillance or customs
authority

Name

Email

1.Are you replying as:

If company/SME/micro-
enterprise/sole trader, you
are:

If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code: If industry association, you are representing:

EU / EEA national market
surveillance or customs
authority

Name

Email

1.Are you replying as:

If company/SME/micro-
enterprise/sole trader, you
are:

If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code: If industry association, you are representing:

EU / EEA national market
surveillance or customs
authority

Name

Email

1.Are you replying as:

If company/SME/micro-
enterprise/sole trader, you
are:

If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code: If industry association, you are representing:

EU / EEA national regulatory
authority (other than market
surveillance or customs
authority)

Name

Email

1.Are you replying as:

If company/SME/micro-
enterprise/sole trader, you
are:

If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code: If industry association, you are representing:

Company / SME / micro-
enterprise / sole trader / EEN member on behalf of an SME Product
Manufacturer;Product user

Name	Email	1.Are you replying as:	If company/SME/micro- enterprise/sole trader, you are:	If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code:	If industry association, you are representing:

Company / SME / micro- enterprise / sole trader / EEN member on behalf of an SME	Product Manufacturer

Name	Email	1.Are you replying as:	If company/SME/micro- enterprise/sole trader, you are:	If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code:	If industry association, you are representing:

Company / SME / micro- enterprise / sole trader / EEN member on behalf of an SME	Product Manufacturer

Name

Email

1.Are you replying as:

If company/SME/micro-
enterprise/sole trader, you
are:

If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code: If industry association, you are representing:

Consumer/Citizen, other
individual user of products

Name

Email

1.Are you replying as:

If company/SME/micro-
enterprise/sole trader, you
are:

If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code: If industry association, you are representing:

EU / EEA national market
surveillance or customs
authority

Name

Email

1.Are you replying as:

If company/SME/micro-
enterprise/sole trader, you
are:

If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code: If industry association, you are representing:

EU / EEA national market
surveillance or customs
authority

Name	Email	1.Are you replying as:	If company/SME/micro- enterprise/sole trader, you are:	If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code:	If industry association, you are representing:

Company / SME / micro- enterprise / sole trader / EEN member on behalf of an SME	Product Manufacturer

Name

Email

1.Are you replying as:

If company/SME/micro-
enterprise/sole trader, you
are:

If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code: If industry association, you are representing:

EU / EEA national market
surveillance or customs
authority

Name	Email	1.Are you replying as:	If company/SME/micro- enterprise/sole trader, you are:	If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code:	If industry association, you are representing:

Industry association

Product Manufacturers

Name

Email

1.Are you replying as:

If company/SME/micro-
enterprise/sole trader, you
are:

If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code: If industry association, you are representing:

International organisation
(public nature)

Name	Email	1.Are you replying as:	If company/SME/micro- enterprise/sole trader, you are:	If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code:	If industry association, you are representing:

Company / SME / micro- enterprise / sole trader / EEN member on behalf of an SME	Product Manufacturer

Name

Email

1.Are you replying as:

If company/SME/micro-
enterprise/sole trader, you
are:

If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code: If industry association, you are representing:

Other

Name	Email	1.Are you replying as:	If company/SME/micro- enterprise/sole trader, you are:	If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code:	If industry association, you are representing:

Industry association	Product Importers / Distributors;Product Manufacturers

Name	Email	1.Are you replying as:	If company/SME/micro- enterprise/sole trader, you are:	If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code:	If industry association, you are representing:

Company / SME / micro- enterprise / sole trader / EEN member on behalf of an SME	Other

Name	Email	1.Are you replying as:	If company/SME/micro- enterprise/sole trader, you are:	If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code:	If industry association, you are representing:

Company / SME / micro- enterprise / sole trader / EEN member on behalf of an SME	Product Manufacturer

Name	Email	1.Are you replying as:	If company/SME/micro- enterprise/sole trader, you are:	If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code:	If industry association, you are representing:

Company / SME / micro- enterprise / sole trader / EEN member on behalf of an SME	Other

Name

Email

1.Are you replying as:

If company/SME/micro-
enterprise/sole trader, you
are:

If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code: If industry association, you are representing:

EU / EEA national market
surveillance or customs
authority

Name	Email	1.Are you replying as:	If company/SME/micro- enterprise/sole trader, you are:	If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code:	If industry association, you are representing:

Company / SME / micro- enterprise / sole trader / EEN member on behalf of an SME	Product Manufacturer

Name

Email

1.Are you replying as:

If company/SME/micro-
enterprise/sole trader, you
are:

If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code: If industry association, you are representing:

Academic/Law firm not
replying on behalf of a client

Name

Email

1.Are you replying as:

If company/SME/micro-
enterprise/sole trader, you
are:

If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code: If industry association, you are representing:

Other

Name	Email	1.Are you replying as:	If company/SME/micro- enterprise/sole trader, you are:	If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code:	If industry association, you are representing:

Company / SME / micro- enterprise / sole trader / EEN member on behalf of an SME	Product Manufacturer

Name

Email

1.Are you replying as:

If company/SME/micro-
enterprise/sole trader, you
are:

If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code: If industry association, you are representing:

Trade union

Name	Email	1.Are you replying as:	If company/SME/micro- enterprise/sole trader, you are:	If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code:	If industry association, you are representing:

Industry association

Product Importers /
Distributors;Product
Manufacturers

Name

Email

1.Are you replying as:

If company/SME/micro-
enterprise/sole trader, you
are:

If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please
indicate the network partner code:

If industry association, you
are representing:

Industry association

Product Manufacturers

Name

Email

1.Are you replying as:

If company/SME/micro-
enterprise/sole trader, you
are:

If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code: If industry association, you are representing:

EU / EEA national regulatory
authority (other than market
surveillance or customs
authority)

Name	Email	1.Are you replying as:	If company/SME/micro- enterprise/sole trader, you are:	If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code:	If industry association, you are representing:

Company / SME / micro- enterprise / sole trader / EEN member on behalf of an SME	Product Importer / Distributor;Product Manufacturer

Name	Email	1.Are you replying as:	If company/SME/micro- enterprise/sole trader, you are:	If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code:	If industry association, you are representing:

		Company / SME / micro- enterprise / sole trader / EEN member on behalf of an SME	Other	ES000805	

Name

Email

1.Are you replying as:

If company/SME/micro-
enterprise/sole trader, you
are:

If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code: If industry association, you are representing:

EU / EEA national market
surveillance or customs
authority

Name

Email

1.Are you replying as:

If company/SME/micro-
enterprise/sole trader, you
are:

If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code: If industry association, you are representing:

Other

Name

Email

1.Are you replying as:

If company/SME/micro-
enterprise/sole trader, you
are:

If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code: If industry association, you are representing:

EU / EEA national market
surveillance or customs
authority

Name

Email

1.Are you replying as:

If company/SME/micro-
enterprise/sole trader, you
are:

If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code: If industry association, you are representing:

International organisation
(public nature)

Name	Email	1.Are you replying as:	If company/SME/micro- enterprise/sole trader, you are:	If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code:	If industry association, you are representing:

Company / SME / micro- enterprise / sole trader / EEN member on behalf of an SME	Product Importer / Distributor;Product Manufacturer

Name

Email

1.Are you replying as:

If company/SME/micro-
enterprise/sole trader, you
are:

If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code: If industry association, you are representing:

Company / SME / micro-
enterprise / sole trader / EEN member on behalf of an SME Product
Manufacturer;Product user

Name

Email

1.Are you replying as:

If company/SME/micro-
enterprise/sole trader, you
are:

If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code: If industry association, you are representing:

Consumer/Citizen, other
individual user of products

Name

Email

1.Are you replying as:

If company/SME/micro-
enterprise/sole trader, you
are:

If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please
indicate the network partner code:

If industry association, you
are representing:

Industry association

Product Manufacturers

Name

Email

1.Are you replying as:

If company/SME/micro-
enterprise/sole trader, you
are:

If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code: If industry association, you are representing:

EU / EEA national market
surveillance or customs
authority

Name

Email

1.Are you replying as:

If company/SME/micro-
enterprise/sole trader, you
are:

If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code: If industry association, you are representing:

EU / EEA national market
surveillance or customs
authority

Name	Email	1.Are you replying as:	If company/SME/micro- enterprise/sole trader, you are:	If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code:	If industry association, you are representing:

		Company / SME / micro- enterprise / sole trader / EEN member on behalf of an SME	Other	BG00085	

Name	Email	1.Are you replying as:	If company/SME/micro- enterprise/sole trader, you are:	If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code:	If industry association, you are representing:

		Company / SME / micro- enterprise / sole trader / EEN member on behalf of an SME	Other	BG00085	

Name	Email	1.Are you replying as:	If company/SME/micro- enterprise/sole trader, you are:	If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code:	If industry association, you are representing:

Company / SME / micro- enterprise / sole trader / EEN member on behalf of an SME	Product Importer / Distributor;Product Manufacturer	BG00085

Name	Email	1.Are you replying as:	If company/SME/micro- enterprise/sole trader, you are:	If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code:	If industry association, you are representing:

Company / SME / micro- enterprise / sole trader / EEN member on behalf of an SME	Product user	BG00085

Name	Email	1.Are you replying as:	If company/SME/micro- enterprise/sole trader, you are:	If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code:	If industry association, you are representing:

		Company / SME / micro- enterprise / sole trader / EEN member on behalf of an SME	Other	BG00085	

Name	Email	1.Are you replying as:	If company/SME/micro- enterprise/sole trader, you are:	If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code:	If industry association, you are representing:

Company / SME / micro- enterprise / sole trader / EEN member on behalf of an SME	Product user	BG00085

Name

Email

1.Are you replying as:

If company/SME/micro-
enterprise/sole trader, you
are:

If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code: If industry association, you are representing:

EU / EEA national regulatory
authority (other than market
surveillance or customs
authority)

Name	Email	1.Are you replying as:	If company/SME/micro- enterprise/sole trader, you are:	If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code:	If industry association, you are representing:

Company / SME / micro- enterprise / sole trader / EEN member on behalf of an SME	Product Importer / Distributor;Product Manufacturer

Name	Email	1.Are you replying as:	If company/SME/micro- enterprise/sole trader, you are:	If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code:	If industry association, you are representing:

Company / SME / micro- enterprise / sole trader / EEN member on behalf of an SME	Product Importer / Distributor;Product Manufacturer

Name	Email	1.Are you replying as:	If company/SME/micro- enterprise/sole trader, you are:	If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code:	If industry association, you are representing:

Company / SME / micro- enterprise / sole trader / EEN member on behalf of an SME	Product Manufacturer

Name	Email	1.Are you replying as:	If company/SME/micro- enterprise/sole trader, you are:	If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code:	If industry association, you are representing:

Industry association

Product Importers /
Distributors

Name	Email	1.Are you replying as:	If company/SME/micro- enterprise/sole trader, you are:	If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code:	If industry association, you are representing:

Company / SME / micro- enterprise / sole trader / EEN member on behalf of an SME	Product Importer / Distributor;Product Manufacturer

Name

Email

1.Are you replying as:

If company/SME/micro-
enterprise/sole trader, you
are:

If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please
indicate the network partner code:

If industry association, you
are representing:

Company / SME / micro-
enterprise / sole trader / EEN
member on behalf of an SME

Product Importer /
Distributor;Product
Manufacturer

Name	Email	1.Are you replying as:	If company/SME/micro- enterprise/sole trader, you are:	If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code:	If industry association, you are representing:

Company / SME / micro- enterprise / sole trader / EEN member on behalf of an SME	Product Manufacturer

Name	Email	1.Are you replying as:	If company/SME/micro- enterprise/sole trader, you are:	If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code:	If industry association, you are representing:

Industry association

Product Importers /
Distributors;Product
Manufacturers

Name	Email	1.Are you replying as:	If company/SME/micro- enterprise/sole trader, you are:	If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code:	If industry association, you are representing:

Industry association

Product Importers /
Distributors;Product
Manufacturers

Name	Email	1.Are you replying as:	If company/SME/micro- enterprise/sole trader, you are:	If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code:	If industry association, you are representing:

Company / SME / micro- enterprise / sole trader / EEN member on behalf of an SME	Product Manufacturer

Name	Email	1.Are you replying as:	If company/SME/micro- enterprise/sole trader, you are:	If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code:	If industry association, you are representing:

Company / SME / micro- enterprise / sole trader / EEN member on behalf of an SME	Product Manufacturer

Name	Email	1.Are you replying as:	If company/SME/micro- enterprise/sole trader, you are:	If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code:	If industry association, you are representing:

Company / SME / micro- enterprise / sole trader / EEN member on behalf of an SME	Product Manufacturer

Name

Email

1.Are you replying as:

If company/SME/micro-
enterprise/sole trader, you
are:

If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code: If industry association, you are representing:

Other

Name

Email

1.Are you replying as:

If company/SME/micro-
enterprise/sole trader, you
are:

If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code: If industry association, you are representing:

Other

Name	Email	1.Are you replying as:	If company/SME/micro- enterprise/sole trader, you are:	If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code:	If industry association, you are representing:

Company / SME / micro- enterprise / sole trader / EEN member on behalf of an SME	Product Manufacturer;Product user;Other	CZ00119

Name

Email

1.Are you replying as:

If company/SME/micro-
enterprise/sole trader, you
are:

If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code: If industry association, you are representing:

EU / EEA national market
surveillance or customs
authority

Name

Email

1.Are you replying as:

If company/SME/micro-
enterprise/sole trader, you
are:

If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code: If industry association, you are representing:

EU / EEA national market
surveillance or customs
authority

Name

Email

1.Are you replying as:

If company/SME/micro-
enterprise/sole trader, you
are:

If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please
indicate the network partner code:

If industry association, you
are representing:

Industry association

Product Manufacturers

Name	Email	1.Are you replying as:	If company/SME/micro- enterprise/sole trader, you are:	If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code:	If industry association, you are representing:

		Company / SME / micro- enterprise / sole trader / EEN member on behalf of an SME	Other	HU00704	

Name	Email	1.Are you replying as:	If company/SME/micro- enterprise/sole trader, you are:	If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code:	If industry association, you are representing:

		Company / SME / micro- enterprise / sole trader / EEN member on behalf of an SME	Other	HU00704	

Name	Email	1.Are you replying as:	If company/SME/micro- enterprise/sole trader, you are:	If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code:	If industry association, you are representing:

Company / SME / micro- enterprise / sole trader / EEN member on behalf of an SME	Product Manufacturer

Name	Email	1.Are you replying as:	If company/SME/micro- enterprise/sole trader, you are:	If member of EEN replying on behalf of an SME please indicate the network partner code:	If industry association, you are representing:

Company / SME / micro- enterprise / sole trader / EEN member on behalf of an SME	Product Manufacturer

How many employees does your organisation have?

2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.

Please specify

3. Where are you based?

Please specify

4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
	Personal protective equipment		Sweden		None (only my country of establishment)

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
	Noise emissions for outdoor equipment		Italy		None (only my country of establishment)

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
	Chemical substances, biocides, fertilisers;Other		Greece		None (only my country of establishment)

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
	Machinery		Sweden		None (only my country of establishment)

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
	Electrical appliances and equipment; Gas appliances; Toys	household electrical appliance, electronics, electrical components, industrial electrical products, solar products etc	Other third country	China	All EU / EEA countries

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
	Toys;Other	Toys and general consumer products (giftware etc.)	United Kingdom		All EU / EEA countries

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
	Recreational craft		Sweden		All EU / EEA countries

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
	Measuring instruments, Non-automatic weighing instruments, Pre-packaged products and Units of measurement		Germany		None (only my country of establishment)

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
	Electrical appliances and equipment;Machinery;Measuring instruments, Non-automatic weighing instruments, Pre-packaged products and Units of measurement;Medical devices		Belgium		All EU / EEA countries

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
	Eco-design and Energy Labelling		Belgium		All EU / EEA countries

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
	Electrical appliances and equipment;Machinery;Measuring instruments, Non-automatic weighing instruments, Pre-packaged products and Units of measurement		Netherlands		Belgium;Denmark;Finland;France;Germany;Ireland;Poland;Sweden;United Kingdom;Other third countries

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
	Motor vehicles		Belgium		All EU / EEA countries

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
	Electrical appliances and equipment;Radio and telecommunications equipment		United Kingdom		United Kingdom

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
	Cableways;Equipment and Protective Systems Intended for use in Potentially Explosive Atmospheres;Lifts;Machinery ;Personal protective equipment;Pressure equipment, Simple pressure vessels;Transportable pressure equipment		Denmark		None (only my country of establishment)

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
	Construction products		Sweden		Sweden

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
	Textile and Footwear labelling;Toys	Handel Schweiz ist der Dachverband des Schweizer Handels und der Importeure. Eine Branchenzuordnung ist nicht möglich	Switzerland		None (only my country of establishment)

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
	Chemical substances, biocides, fertilisers;Cosmetics;Toys;Other	Tubakatooted	Estonia		None (only my country of establishment);Estonia

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
	Cableways;Construction products;Electrical appliances and equipment;Gas appliances;Lifts;Machinery;Motor vehicles;Personal protective equipment		France		None (only my country of establishment)

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
	Chemical substances, biocides, fertilisers; Construction products; Cosmetics; Eco-design and Energy Labelling; Electrical appliances and equipment; Explosives for civil uses; Gas appliances; Labelling of tyres; Machinery; Motor vehicles; Noise emissions for outdoor equipment; Personal protective equipment; Pressure	Naftos produktai	Lithuania		None (only my country of establishment)

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
	Chemical substances, biocides, fertilisers;Eco-design and Energy Labelling;Electrical appliances and equipment;Equipment and Protective Systems Intended for use in Potentially Explosive Atmospheres;Gas appliances;Machinery;Medical devices;Personal protective equipment;Pressure equipment, Simple pressure vessels;Transportable pressure	Wir sind als Oberste Landesbehörde in Bayern für die Koordination verschiedener Rechtsakte zuständig.	Germany		None (only my country of establishment)

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
	Construction products;Eco-design and Energy Labelling;Electrical appliances and equipment;Equipment and Protective Systems Intended for use in Potentially Explosive Atmospheres;Machinery;Marine equipment;Measuring instruments, Non-automatic weighing instruments, Pre-packaged products and Units of measurement;Medical devices;Noise emissions for	Die IHK Bodensee-Oberschwaben vertritt in ihrem Bezirk die Interessen von etwa 32.000 Mitgliedsunternehmen, die per Gesetz Pflichtmitglieder der IHK sind. Neben der Interessenvertretung bietet die IHK ihren Mitgliedern eine große Bandbreite großteils kostenloser Service-Leistungen. Hierzu gehören insbesondere auch Informationen, Erstberatung sowie eine Lotsenfunktion	Germany		None (only my country of establishment)

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
	Eco-design and Energy Labelling;Electrical appliances and equipment;Radio and telecommunications equipment		France		All EU / EEA countries

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
	Electrical appliances and equipment;Radio and telecommunications equipment		Lithuania		None (only my country of establishment)

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
	Electrical appliances and equipment;Radio and telecommunications equipment		Lithuania		None (only my country of establishment)

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
	Cableways;Chemical substances, biocides, fertilisers;Construction products;Cosmetics;Eco-design and Energy Labelling;Electrical appliances and equipment;Gas appliances;Machinery;Marine equipment;Measuring instruments, Non-automatic weighing instruments, Pre-packaged products and Units of measurement;Medical devices;Personal protective	My institution (Customs Authority) performs import controls of all kinds of products	Lithuania		None (only my country of establishment)

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
	Chemical substances, biocides, fertilisers;Electrical appliances and equipment		France		France

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
	Cableways;Machinery;Personal protective equipment		Finland		None (only my country of establishment)

How many employees does your organisation have?

2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.

Please specify

Other

3. Where are you based?

Please specify

Finland

4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?

None (only my country of establishment)

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
	Personal protective equipment;Toys		MSA for TSD, PPED private use and for GPSD.	Sweden	None (only my country of establishment)

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
	Chemical substances, biocides, fertilisers;Cosmetics;Eco-design and Energy Labelling;Electrical appliances and equipment;Labelling of tyres;Medical devices;Motor vehicles;Noise emissions for outdoor equipment;Radio and telecommunications equipment;Textile and Footwear labelling		Belgium		None (only my country of establishment)

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
	Eco-design and Energy Labelling;Electrical appliances and equipment;Gas appliances;Labelling of tyres;Lifts;Motor vehicles;Radio and telecommunications equipment;Toys	?	Belgium		All EU / EEA countries

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
	Chemical substances, biocides, fertilisers;Electrical appliances and equipment;Toys	Chemical compounds and substances in articles.	Norway		None (only my country of establishment)

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
	Measuring instruments, Non-automatic weighing instruments, Pre-packaged products and Units of measurement;Motor vehicles;Personal protective equipment;Pyrotechnics;Textile and Footwear labelling;Toys;Other	GPSD	Iceland		None (only my country of establishment)

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
	Cosmetics		Belgium		Austria;Belgium;Bulgaria;Czech Republic;Denmark;Estonia;Finland;France;Germany;Greece;Hungary;Ireland;Italy;Latvia;Lithuania;Luxembourg;Netherlands;Norway;Poland;Portugal;Romania;Slovak Republic;Slovenia;Spain;Sweden;Switzerland;Turkey;United Kingdom

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
	Cableways;Lifts;Machinery;Personal protective equipment		Lithuania		None (only my country of establishment);Lithuania

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
	Recreational craft		Lithuania		None (only my country of establishment)

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
	Construction products;Eco-design and Energy Labelling;Gas appliances;Pressure equipment, Simple pressure vessels		Belgium		Austria;Belgium;Czech Republic;Denmark;Finland;France;Germany;Greece;Italy;Liechtenstein;Lithuania;Netherlands;Norway;Poland;Portugal;Slovak Republic;Slovenia;Spain;Sweden;Switzerland;Turkey;United Kingdom;Other third countries

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
1 - 9	Other		Poland		None (only my country of establishment)

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
	Chemical substances, biocides, fertilisers; Construction products; Eco-design and Energy Labelling; Electrical appliances and equipment; Gas appliances; Machinery; Noise emissions for outdoor equipment; Radio and telecommunications equipment		Belgium		All EU / EEA countries

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
	Cableways;Chemical substances, biocides, fertilisers;Construction products;Cosmetics;Eco-design and Energy Labelling;Electrical appliances and equipment;Equipment and Protective Systems Intended for use in Potentially Explosive Atmospheres;Explosives for civil uses;Gas appliances;Labelling of tyres;Lifts;Machinery;Marine	Der Deutsche Industrie- und Handelskammertag (DIHK) ist die Dachorganisation der 79 Industrie- und Handelskammern (IHKs) in Deutschland. Er vertritt 3,6 Mio. Unternehmen aller Branchen und Größenklassen aus den Bereichen Industrie, Handel und Dienstleistungen. Darüber hinaus koordiniert der DIHK das Netzwerk der 130 deutschen Auslandshandelskammern (AHKs).	Germany		Belgium

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
	Chemical substances, biocides, fertilisers; Construction products; Cosmetics; Eco-design and Energy Labelling; Electrical appliances and equipment; Equipment and Protective Systems Intended for use in Potentially Explosive Atmospheres; Explosives for civil uses; Gas appliances; Lifts; Machinery; Measuring instruments, Non-	Precious metal products	Finland		None (only my country of establishment)

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
	Chemical substances, biocides, fertilisers;Cosmetics;Eco-design and Energy Labelling;Electrical appliances and equipment;Gas appliances;Motor vehicles;Textile and Footwear labelling;Toys	BEUC, as the European Consumer Organisation, deals with consumer-relevant products and associated sectors, such as those selected under 2.	Belgium		All EU / EEA countries

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
	Chemical substances, biocides, fertilisers; Construction products; Cosmetics; Eco-design and Energy Labelling; Electrical appliances and equipment; Gas appliances; Machinery; Medical devices; Motor vehicles; Personal protective equipment; Pressure vessels; Pyrotechnics; Radio and telecommunications	x	Finland		None (only my country of establishment)

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
50 - 249	Chemical substances, biocides, fertilisers;Cosmetics;Eco-design and Energy Labelling;Electrical appliances and equipment;Labelling of tyres;Personal protective equipment;Textile and Footwear labelling;Toys;Other	Fyndiq AB has an e-commerce platform (www.fyndiq.se) where merchants can post product advertisements for sales of a variety of goods. Sales are only to consumers.	Sweden		None (only my country of establishment)

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
50 - 249	Measuring instruments, Non-automatic weighing instruments, Pre-packaged products and Units of measurement		United Kingdom		None (only my country of establishment)

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
	Chemical substances, biocides, fertilisers; Personal protective equipment; Textile and Footwear labelling		Belgium		All EU / EEA countries

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
	Machinery		Belgium		All EU / EEA countries

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
	Chemical substances, biocides, fertilisers;Cosmetics;Other		Portugal		None (only my country of establishment)

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
	Equipment and Protective Systems Intended for use in Potentially Explosive Atmospheres;Machinery;Personal protective equipment;Pressure equipment, Simple pressure vessels		Sweden		None (only my country of establishment)

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
	Eco-design and Energy Labelling;Electrical appliances and equipment;Equipment and Protective Systems Intended for use in Potentially Explosive Atmospheres;Radio and telecommunications equipment		Spain		None (only my country of establishment)

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
	Eco-design and Energy Labelling;Electrical appliances and equipment;Equipment and Protective Systems Intended for use in Potentially Explosive Atmospheres;Radio and telecommunications equipment		Belgium		All EU / EEA countries

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
	Measuring instruments, Non-automatic weighing instruments, Pre-packaged products and Units of measurement		United Kingdom		All EU / EEA countries

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
	Radio and telecommunications equipment		Belgium		All EU / EEA countries

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
	Construction products;Eco-design and Energy Labelling;Electrical appliances and equipment;Personal protective equipment;Textile and Footwear labelling		Belgium		All EU / EEA countries

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
	Chemical substances, biocides, fertilisers;Construction products;Cosmetics;Eco-design and Energy Labelling;Electrical appliances and equipment;Equipment and Protective Systems Intended for use in Potentially Explosive Atmospheres;Gas appliances;Lifts;Machinery;Marine equipment;Measuring instruments, Non-automatic weighing instruments, Pre-		Belgium		All EU / EEA countries

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
	Cableways;Chemical substances, biocides, fertilisers;Construction products;Cosmetics;Eco-design and Energy Labelling;Electrical appliances and equipment;Equipment and Protective Systems Intended for use in Potentially Explosive Atmospheres;Explosives for civil uses;Gas appliances;Labelling of tyres;Lifts;Machinery;Marine	...	Austria		All EU / EEA countries

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
	Construction products;Lifts		Norway		None (only my country of establishment)

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
	Eco-design and Energy Labelling;Electrical appliances and equipment;Gas appliances;Measuring instruments, Non-automatic weighing instruments, Pre-packaged products and Units of measurement;Radio and telecommunications equipment		Belgium		All EU / EEA countries

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
500 or more	Cableways;Electrical appliances and equipment;Medical devices		Netherlands		All EU / EEA countries

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
	Chemical substances, biocides, fertilisers;Cosmetics		Iceland		None (only my country of establishment)

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
	Construction products		Belgium		All EU / EEA countries

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
	Chemical substances, biocides, fertilisers; Construction products; Cosmetics; Eco-design and Energy Labelling; Electrical appliances and equipment; Gas appliances; Machinery; Medical devices; Personal protective equipment; Pressure equipment, Simple pressure vessels; Pyrotechnics; Radio and telecommunications equipment; Textile and	All	Belgium		All EU / EEA countries

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
500 or more	Eco-design and Energy Labelling;Electrical appliances and equipment;Equipment and Protective Systems Intended for use in Potentially Explosive Atmospheres;Measuring instruments, Non-automatic weighing instruments, Pre-packaged products and Units of measurement;Radio and telecommunications equipment		France		All EU / EEA countries

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
	Personal protective equipment		Belgium		All EU / EEA countries;Other third countries

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
	Eco-design and Energy Labelling;Electrical appliances and equipment;Machinery;Pressure equipment, Simple pressure vessels;Other		Belgium		All EU / EEA countries

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
Radio and telecommunications equipment			Norway		None (only my country of establishment)

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
	Construction products;Eco-design and Energy Labelling;Electrical appliances and equipment;Equipment and Protective Systems Intended for use in Potentially Explosive Atmospheres;Gas appliances;Lifts;Machinery;Pressure equipment, Simple pressure vessels;Radio and telecommunications equipment		Belgium		Austria;Belgium;Bulgaria;Croatia;Denmark;Finland;France;Germany;Hungary;Ireland;Italy;Latvia;Lithuania;Luxembourg;Netherlands;Norway;Poland;Portugal;Slovenia;Spain;Sweden;Switzerland;Turkey;United Kingdom

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
	Machinery;Other		Belgium		All EU / EEA countries

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
	Cableways;Chemical substances, biocides, fertilisers;Construction products;Cosmetics;Eco-design and Energy Labelling;Electrical appliances and equipment;Equipment and Protective Systems Intended for use in Potentially Explosive Atmospheres;Explosives for civil uses;Gas appliances;Labelling of tyres;Lifts;Machinery;Marine	We represent product manufacturers in all product sectors.	United Kingdom		All EU / EEA countries

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
	Cableways;Chemical substances, biocides, fertilisers;Construction products;Cosmetics;Eco-design and Energy Labelling;Electrical appliances and equipment;Equipment and Protective Systems Intended for use in Potentially Explosive Atmospheres;Explosives for civil uses;Gas appliances;Lifts;Machinery;Marine equipment;Measuring	The Confederation of Finnish Industries (EK) is the leading business organization in Finland. Our main task is to make Finland an internationally attractive and competitive business environment. EK represents the entire private sector and companies of all sizes in Finland, including 27 member associations and 16000 companies from all business sectors.	Finland		All EU / EEA countries

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
	Machinery		Italy		All EU / EEA countries

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
	Chemical substances, biocides, fertilisers;Construction products;Cosmetics;Eco-design and Energy Labelling;Electrical appliances and equipment;Equipment and Protective Systems Intended for use in Potentially Explosive Atmospheres;Gas appliances;Labelling of tyres;Machinery;Marine equipment;Measuring instruments, Non-automatic	Der Handelsverband Deutschland (HDE) ist die Spitzenorganisation des deutschen Einzelhandels. Im Handelsverband Deutschland haben sich rund 100.000 Einzelhandelsunternehmen aller Branchen, Standorte und Größenklassen zusammengeschlossen. Diese Unternehmen decken im Bereich B2C ein äußerst vielfältiges Sortiment ab und im Einzelhandel können Kunden, mit Ausnahme von	Germany		None (only my country of establishment)

How many employees does your organisation have?

2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.

Please specify

Other

3. Where are you based?

Please specify

Denmark

4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?

All EU / EEA countries

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
	Toys	Toys	Belgium		All EU / EEA countries

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
	Construction products;Eco-design and Energy Labelling;Electrical appliances and equipment;Equipment and Protective Systems Intended for use in Potentially Explosive Atmospheres;Gas appliances;Lifts;Machinery;Radio and telecommunications equipment		Belgium		None (only my country of establishment)

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
	Chemical substances, biocides, fertilisers;Construction products;Cosmetics;Eco-design and Energy Labelling;Electrical appliances and equipment;Gas appliances;Machinery;Measuring instruments, Non-automatic weighing instruments, Pre-packaged products and Units of measurement;Medical devices;Motor	Childrens products in general and other products under GPSD	Denmark		All EU / EEA countries

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
	Other		Belgium		All EU / EEA countries

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
	Machinery		United Kingdom		All EU / EEA countries

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
Other			Belgium		All EU / EEA countries

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
	Cableways;Chemical substances, biocides, fertilisers;Construction products;Eco-design and Energy Labelling;Electrical appliances and equipment;Equipment and Protective Systems Intended for use in Potentially Explosive Atmospheres;Measuring instruments, Non-automatic weighing instruments, Pre-packaged products and Units of measurement;Radio and		United Kingdom		All EU / EEA countries

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
500 or more	Other		Hungary		Bulgaria;Poland

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
	Personal protective equipment		Spain		All EU / EEA countries

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
	Eco-design and Energy Labelling;Equipment and Protective Systems Intended for use in Potentially Explosive Atmospheres;Gas appliances;Labelling of tyres;Lifts;Machinery;Noise emissions for outdoor equipment;Personal protective equipment;Pressure equipment, Simple pressure vessels;Recreational craft;Toys	Branche der Zuständigkeit	Germany		None (only my country of establishment)

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
1 - 9	Cableways;Construction products;Eco-design and Energy Labelling;Electrical appliances and equipment;Equipment and Protective Systems Intended for use in Potentially Explosive Atmospheres;Machinery;Measuring instruments, Non-automatic weighing instruments, Pre-packaged products and Units of measurement;Medical devices;Motor vehicles;Noise		Germany		Austria;Italy;Liechtenstein;Switzerland

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
10 - 49	Electrical appliances and equipment;Machinery;Marine equipment;Measuring instruments, Non-automatic weighing instruments, Pre-packaged products and Units of measurement;Medical devices;Motor vehicles;Radio and telecommunications equipment;Other		Netherlands		All EU / EEA countries

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
	Chemical substances, biocides, fertilisers;Eco-design and Energy Labelling;Electrical appliances and equipment;Explosives for civil uses;Labelling of tyres;Machinery;Marine equipment;Medical devices;Motor vehicles;Personal protective equipment;Radio and telecommunications equipment;Recreational craft;Textile and Footwear	REACH Task Force	Germany		All EU / EEA countries;Turkey;Other third countries

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
	Equipment and Protective Systems Intended for use in Potentially Explosive Atmospheres;Explosives for civil uses;Lifts		Cyprus		None (only my country of establishment)

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
	Eco-design and Energy Labelling;Electrical appliances and equipment;Radio and telecommunications equipment		Poland		None (only my country of establishment)

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
	Radio and telecommunications equipment		Poland		None (only my country of establishment)

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
	Electrical appliances and equipment;Radio and telecommunications equipment		Poland		None (only my country of establishment)

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
500 or more	Electrical appliances and equipment;Equipment and Protective Systems Intended for use in Potentially Explosive Atmospheres;Machinery;Measuring instruments, Non-automatic weighing instruments, Pre-packaged products and Units of measurement;Radio and telecommunications equipment		Germany		All EU / EEA countries;Other third countries

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
Radio and telecommunications equipment			United Kingdom		All EU / EEA countries

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
500 or more	Electrical appliances and equipment;Machinery;Radio and telecommunications equipment		Germany		All EU / EEA countries;Other third countries

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
	Construction products		Spain		None (only my country of establishment)

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
	Measuring instruments, Non-automatic weighing instruments, Pre-packaged products and Units of measurement		Croatia		Croatia

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
	Radio and telecommunications equipment		Croatia		None (only my country of establishment)

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
	Construction products;Eco-design and Energy Labelling;Electrical appliances and equipment;Equipment and Protective Systems Intended for use in Potentially Explosive Atmospheres;Gas appliances;Lifts;Machinery;Measuring instruments, Non-automatic weighing instruments, Pre-packaged products and Units of measurement;Personal protective		Spain		None (only my country of establishment)

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
500 or more	Radio and telecommunications equipment		Italy		None (only my country of establishment)

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
	Explosives for civil uses;Pyrotechnics		Switzerland		None (only my country of establishment)

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
	Chemical substances, biocides, fertilisers		Czech Republic		Czech Republic

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
	Chemical substances, biocides, fertilisers;Electrical appliances and equipment;Equipment and Protective Systems Intended for use in Potentially Explosive Atmospheres;Lifts;Machinery ;Medical devices;Personal protective equipment;Pressure equipment, Simple pressure vessels;Recreational craft;Toys	Richtlinien nach ProdSG, Mediziprodukte	Germany		None (only my country of establishment)

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
1. 10 2. 20 3. 30 4. 40 5. 50 6. 60 7. 70 8. 80 9. 90 10. 100 11. 110 12. 120 13. 130 14. 140 15. 150 16. 160 17. 170 18. 180 19. 190 20. 200 21. 210 22. 220 23. 230 24. 240 25. 250 26. 260 27. 270 28. 280 29. 290 30. 300 31. 310 32. 320 33. 330 34. 340 35. 350 36. 360 37. 370 38. 380 39. 390 40. 400 41. 410 42. 420 43. 430 44. 440 45. 450 46. 460 47. 470 48. 480 49. 490 50. 500 51. 510 52. 520 53. 530 54. 540 55. 550 56. 560 57. 570 58. 580 59. 590 60. 600 61. 610 62. 620 63. 630 64. 640 65. 650 66. 660 67. 670 68. 680 69. 690 70. 700 71. 710 72. 720 73. 730 74. 740 75. 750 76. 760 77. 770 78. 780 79. 790 80. 800 81. 810 82. 820 83. 830 84. 840 85. 850 86. 860 87. 870 88. 880 89. 890 90. 900 91. 910 92. 920 93. 930 94. 940 95. 950 96. 960 97. 970 98. 980 99. 990 100. 1000 101. 1010 102. 1020 103. 1030 104. 1040 105. 1050 106. 1060 107. 1070 108. 1080 109. 1090 110. 1100 111. 1110 112. 1120 113. 1130 114. 1140 115. 1150 116. 1160 117. 1170 118. 1180 119. 1190 120. 1200 121. 1210 122. 1220 123. 1230 124. 1240 125. 1250 126. 1260 127. 1270 128. 1280 129. 1290 130. 1300 131. 1310 132. 1320 133. 1330 134. 1340 135. 1350 136. 1360 137. 1370 138. 1380 139. 1390 140. 1400 141. 1410 142. 1420 143. 1430 144. 1440 145. 1450 146. 1460 147. 1470 148. 1480 149. 1490 150. 1500 151. 1510 152. 1520 153. 1530 154. 1540 155. 1550 156. 1560 157. 1570 158. 1580 159. 1590 160. 1600 161. 1610 162. 1620 163. 1630 164. 1640 165. 1650 166. 1660 167. 1670 168. 1680 169. 1690 170. 1700 171. 1710 172. 1720 173. 1730 174. 1740 175. 1750 176. 1760 177. 1770 178. 1780 179. 1790 180. 1800 181. 1810 182. 1820 183. 1830 184. 1840 185. 1850 186. 1860 187. 1870 188. 1880 189. 1890 190. 1900 191. 1910 192. 1920 193. 1930 194. 1940 195. 1950 196. 1960 197. 1970 198. 1980 199. 1990 200. 2000 201. 2010 202. 2020 203. 2030 204. 2040 205. 2050 206. 2060 207. 2070 208. 2080 209. 2090 210. 2100 211. 2110 212. 2120 213. 2130 214. 2140 215. 2150 216. 2160 217. 2170 218. 2180 219. 2190 220. 2200 221. 2210 222. 2220 223. 2230 224. 2240 225. 2250 226. 2260 227. 2270 228. 2280 229. 2290 230. 2300 231. 2310 232. 2320 233. 2330 234. 2340 235. 2350 236. 2360 237. 2370 238. 2380 239. 2390 240. 2400 241. 2410 242. 2420 243. 2430 244. 2440 245. 2450 246. 2460 247. 2470 248. 2480 249. 2490 250. 2500 251. 2510 252. 2520 253. 2530 254. 2540 255. 2550 256. 2560 257. 2570 258. 2580 259. 2590 260. 2600 261. 2610 262. 2620 263. 2630 264. 2640 265. 2650 266. 2660 267. 2670 268. 2680 269. 2690 270. 2700 271. 2710 272. 2720 273. 2730 274. 2740 275. 2750 276. 2760 277. 2770 278. 2780 279. 2790 280. 2800 281. 2810 282. 2820 283. 2830 284. 2840 285. 2850 286. 2860 287. 2870 288. 2880 289. 2890 290. 2900 291. 2910 292. 2920 293. 2930 294. 2940 295. 2950 296. 2960 297. 2970 298. 2980 299. 2990 300. 3000 301. 3010 302. 3020 303. 3030 304. 3040 305. 3050 306. 3060 307. 3070 308. 3080 309. 3090 310. 3100 311. 3110 312. 3120 313. 3130 314. 3140 315. 3150 316. 3160 317. 3170 318. 3180 319. 3190 320. 3200 321. 3210 322. 3220 323. 3230 324. 3240 325. 3250 326. 3260 327. 3270 328. 3280 329. 3290 330. 3300 331. 3310 332. 3320 333. 3330 334. 3340 335. 3350 336. 3360 337. 3370 338. 3380 339. 3390 340. 3400 341. 3410 342. 3420 343. 3430 344. 3440 345. 3450 346. 3460 347. 3470 348. 3480 349. 3490 350. 3500 351. 3510 352. 3520 353. 3530 354. 3540 355. 3550 356. 3560 357. 3570 358. 3580 359. 3590 360. 3600 361. 3610 362. 3620 363. 3630 364. 3640 365. 3650 366. 3660 367. 3670 368. 3680 369. 3690 370. 3700 371. 3710 372. 3720 373. 3730 374. 3740 375. 3750 376. 3760 377. 3770 378. 3780 379. 3790 380. 3800 381. 3810 382. 3820 383. 3830 384. 3840 385. 3850 386. 3860 387. 3870 388. 3880 389. 3890 390. 3900 391. 3910 392. 3920 393. 3930 394. 3940 395. 3950 396. 3960 397. 3970 398. 3980 399. 3990 400. 4000 401. 4010 402. 4020 403. 4030 404. 4040 405. 4050 406. 4060 407. 4070 408. 4080 409. 4090 410. 4100 411. 4110 412. 4120 413. 4130 414. 4140 415. 4150 416. 4160 417. 4170 418. 4180 419. 4190 420. 4200 421. 4210 422. 4220 423. 4230 424. 4240 425. 4250 426. 4260 427. 4270 428. 4280 429. 4290 430. 4300 431. 4310 432. 4320 433. 4330 434. 4340 435. 4350 436. 4360 437. 4370 438. 4380 439. 4390 440. 4400 441. 4410 442. 4420 443. 4430 444. 4440 445. 4450 446. 4460 447. 4470 448. 4480 449. 4490 450. 4500 451. 4510 452. 4520 453. 4530 454. 4540 455. 4550 456. 4560 457. 4570 458. 4580 459. 4590 460. 4600 461. 4610 462. 4620 463. 4630 464. 4640 465. 4650 466. 4660 467. 4670 468. 4680 469. 4690 470. 4700 471. 4710 472. 4720 473. 4730 474. 4740 475. 4750 476. 4760 477. 4770 478. 4780 479. 4790 480. 4800 481. 4810 482. 4820 483. 4830 484. 4840 485. 4850 486. 4860 487. 4870 488. 4880 489. 4890 490. 4900 491. 4910 492. 4920 493. 4930 494. 4940 495. 4950 496. 4960 497. 4970 498. 4980 499. 4990 500. 5000 501. 5010 502. 5020 503. 5030 504. 5040 505. 5050 506. 5060 507. 5070 508. 5080 509. 5090 510. 5100 511. 5110 512. 5120 513. 5130 514. 5140 515. 5150 516. 5160 517. 5170 518. 5180 519. 5190 520. 5200 521. 5210 522. 5220 523. 5230 524. 5240 525. 5250 526. 5260 527. 5270 528. 5280 529. 5290 530. 5300 531. 5310 532. 5320 533. 5330 534. 5340 535. 5350 536. 5360 537. 5370 538. 5380 539. 5390 540. 5400 541. 5410 542. 5420 543. 5430 544. 5440 545. 5450 546. 5460 547. 5470 548. 5480 549. 5490 550. 5500 551. 5510 552. 5520 553. 5530 554. 5540 555. 5550 556. 5560 557. 5570 558. 5580 559. 5590 560. 5600 561. 5610 562. 5620 563. 5630 564. 5640 565. 5650 566. 5660 567. 5670 568. 5680 569. 5690 570. 5700 571. 5710 572. 5720 573. 5730 574. 5740 575. 5750 576. 5760 577. 5770 578. 5780 579. 5790 580. 5800 581. 5810 582. 5820 583. 5830 584. 5840 585. 5850 586. 5860 587. 5870 588. 5880 589. 5890 590. 5900 591. 5910 592. 5920 593. 5930 594. 5940 595. 5950 596. 5960 597. 5970 598. 5980 599. 5990 600. 6000 601. 6010 602. 6020 603. 6030 604. 6040 605. 6050 606. 6060 607. 6070 608. 6080 609. 6090 610. 6100 611. 6110 612. 6120 613. 6130 614. 6140 615. 6150 616. 6160 617. 6170 618. 6180 619. 6190 620. 6200 621. 6210 622. 6220 623. 6230 624. 6240 625. 6250 626. 6260 627. 6270 628. 6280 629. 6290 630. 6300 631. 6310 632. 6320 633. 6330 634. 6340 635. 6350 636. 6360 637. 6370 638. 6380 639. 6390 640. 6400 641. 6410 642. 6420 643. 6430 644. 6440 645. 6450 646. 6460 647. 6470 648. 6480 649. 6490 650. 6500 651. 6510 652. 6520 653. 6530 654. 6540 655. 6550 656. 6560 657. 6570 658. 6580 659. 6590 660. 6600 661. 6610 662. 6620 663. 6630 664. 6640 665. 6650 666. 6660 667. 6670 668. 6680 669. 6690 670. 6700 671. 6710 672. 6720 673. 6730 674. 6740 675. 6750 676. 6760 677. 6770 678. 6780 679. 6790 680. 6800 681. 6810 682. 6820 683. 6830 684. 6840 685. 6850 686. 6860 687. 6870 688. 6880 689. 6890 690. 6900 691. 6910 692. 6920 693. 6930 694. 6940 695. 6950 696. 6960 697. 6970 698. 6980 699. 6990 700. 7000 701. 7010 702. 7020 703. 7030 704. 7040 705. 7050 706. 7060 707. 7070 708. 7080 709. 7090 710. 7100 711. 7110 712. 7120 713. 7130 714. 7140 715. 7150 716. 7160 717. 7170 718. 7180 719. 7190 720. 7200 721. 7210 722. 7220 723. 7230 724. 7240 725. 7250 726. 7260 727. 7270 728. 7280 729. 7290 730. 7300 731. 7310 732. 7320 733. 7330 734. 7340 735. 7350 736. 7360 737. 7370 738. 7380 739. 7390 740. 7400 741. 7410 742. 7420 743. 7430 744. 7440 745. 7450 746. 7460 747. 7470 748. 7480 749. 7490 750. 7500 751. 7510 752. 7520 753. 7530 754. 7540 755. 7550 756. 7560 757. 7570 758. 7580 759. 7590 760. 7600 761. 7610 762. 7620 763. 7630 764. 7640 765. 7650 766. 7660 767. 7670 768. 7680 769. 7690 770. 7700 771. 7710 772. 7720 773. 7730 774. 7740 775. 7750 776. 7760 777. 7770 778. 7780 779. 7790 780. 7800 781. 7810 782. 7820 783. 7830 784. 7840 785. 7850 786. 7860 787. 7870 788. 7880 789. 7890 790. 7900 791. 7910 792. 7920 793. 7930 794. 7940 795. 7950 796. 7960 797. 7970 798. 7980 799. 7990 800. 8000 801. 8010 802. 8020 803. 8030 804. 8040 805. 8050 806. 8060 807. 8070 808. 8080 809. 8090 810. 8100 811. 8110 812. 8120 813. 8130 814. 8140 815. 8150 816. 8160 817. 8170 818. 8180 819. 8190 820. 8200 821. 8210 822. 8220 823. 8230 824. 8240 825. 8250 826. 8260 827. 8270 828. 8280 829. 8290 830. 8300 831. 8310 832. 8320 833. 8330 834. 8340 835. 8350 836. 8360 837. 8370 838. 8380 839. 8390 840. 8400 841. 8410 842. 8420 843. 8430 844. 8440 845. 8450 846. 8460 847. 8470 848. 8480 849. 8490 850. 8500 851. 8510 852. 8520 853. 8530 854. 8540 855. 8550 856. 8560 857. 8570 858. 8580 859. 8590 860. 8600 861. 8610 862. 8620 863. 8630 864. 8640 865. 8650 866. 8660 867. 8670 868. 8680 869. 8690 870. 8700					

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
500 or more	Radio and telecommunications equipment		Sweden		All EU / EEA countries;Other third countries

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
500 or more	Electrical appliances and equipment;Radio and telecommunications equipment		France		All EU / EEA countries

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
500 or more	Electrical appliances and equipment;Machinery;Noise emissions for outdoor equipment		France		All EU / EEA countries;Switzerland;Turkey

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
	Medical devices		United Kingdom		All EU / EEA countries

How many employees does your organisation have?

2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.

Please specify

3. Where are you based?

Please specify

4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?

Explosives for civil uses

Czech Republic

None (only my country of establishment)

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
	Eco-design and Energy Labelling;Electrical appliances and equipment;Machinery;Personal protective equipment;Pressure equipment, Simple pressure vessels;Pyrotechnics;Toys	nadzór rynku - koordynacja	Poland		None (only my country of establishment)

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
500 or more	Toys	toys and nursery products	Netherlands		All EU / EEA countries

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
	Explosives for civil uses;Machinery		Norway		None (only my country of establishment)

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
	Construction products		France		All EU / EEA countries

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
	Radio and telecommunications equipment		United Kingdom		Other third countries

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
500 or more	Textile and Footwear labelling		Belgium		All EU / EEA countries;Other third countries

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
	Lifts;Machinery;Pressure equipment, Simple pressure vessels;Transportable pressure equipment		Slovak Republic		Czech Republic;Germany;Hungary;Italy;Turkey;Other third countries

How many employees does your organisation have?

2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.

Please specify

Other

3. Where are you based?

Please specify

United Kingdom

4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?

All EU / EEA countries

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
250 - 499	Cosmetics		Poland		None (only my country of establishment)

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
	Medical devices		Cyprus		None (only my country of establishment)

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
500 or more	Lifts		Germany		None (only my country of establishment)

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
	Construction products;Eco-design and Energy Labelling;Electrical appliances and equipment;Equipment and Protective Systems Intended for use in Potentially Explosive Atmospheres;Machinery;Marine equipment;Medical devices;Noise emissions for outdoor equipment;Personal protective equipment;Pressure equipment, Simple pressure		Germany		All EU / EEA countries;Other third countries

How many employees does your organisation have?

2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.

Please specify

Other

3. Where are you based?

Please specify

Sweden

4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?

None (only my country of establishment)

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
500 or more	Electrical appliances and equipment;Machinery;Motor vehicles;Noise emissions for outdoor equipment		Germany		All EU / EEA countries;Other third countries

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
	Explosives for civil uses;Pyrotechnics		France		None (only my country of establishment)

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
	Textile and Footwear labelling		Italy		All EU / EEA countries

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
	Electrical appliances and equipment; Equipment and Protective Systems Intended for use in Potentially Explosive Atmospheres; Machinery; Measuring instruments, Non-automatic weighing instruments, Pre-packaged products and Units of measurement; Medical devices; Pressure equipment, Simple pressure vessels		Switzerland		All EU / EEA countries

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
	Electrical appliances and equipment;Equipment and Protective Systems Intended for use in Potentially Explosive Atmospheres;Gas appliances;Lifts;Machinery;Personal protective equipment;Pressure equipment, Simple pressure vessels		Germany		None (only my country of establishment)

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
500 or more	Construction products;Eco-design and Energy Labelling;Electrical appliances and equipment;Equipment and Protective Systems Intended for use in Potentially Explosive Atmospheres;Lifts;Machinery ;Marine equipment;Measuring instruments, Non-automatic weighing instruments, Pre-packaged products and Units of measurement;Medical		Germany		All EU / EEA countries

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
1 - 9	Other		Spain		None (only my country of establishment)

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
	Medical devices		Germany		None (only my country of establishment)

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
	Chemical substances, biocides, fertilisers;Construction products;Eco-design and Energy Labelling;Equipment and Protective Systems Intended for use in Potentially Explosive Atmospheres;Marine equipment;Medical devices;Motor vehicles;Personal protective equipment;Textile and Footwear labelling;Toys;Other	Private labels, national legislation	Belgium		All EU / EEA countries;Switzerland;Turkey; Other third countries

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
	Electrical appliances and equipment;Toys;Other	Varutillsyn generellt, ej kemiska produkter	Sweden		None (only my country of establishment)

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
	Construction products;Electrical appliances and equipment;Gas appliances;Machinery;Medical devices;Radio and telecommunications equipment		Finland		All EU / EEA countries

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
50 - 249	Chemical substances, biocides, fertilisers;Other		Poland		None (only my country of establishment)

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
10 - 49	Other		Poland		None (only my country of establishment)

How many employees does your organisation have?

2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.

Please specify

Other

3. Where are you based?

Please specify

Austria

4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?

None (only my country of establishment)

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
	Electrical appliances and equipment		Spain		Spain

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
	Measuring instruments, Non-automatic weighing instruments, Pre-packaged products and Units of measurement		Austria		None (only my country of establishment)

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
	Construction products;Eco-design and Energy Labelling;Electrical appliances and equipment;Gas appliances;Labelling of tyres;Lifts;Machinery;Personal protective equipment;Pressure equipment, Simple pressure vessels;Transportable pressure equipment;Textile and Footwear labelling		Croatia		None (only my country of establishment)

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
1 - 9	Other		Bulgaria		None (only my country of establishment)

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
500 or more	Medical devices		Bulgaria		All EU / EEA countries

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
10 - 49	Other		Bulgaria		All EU / EEA countries

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
1 - 9	Other		Bulgaria		All EU / EEA countries

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
	Chemical substances, biocides, fertilisers;Cosmetics;Textile and Footwear labelling;Toys	products closed to consumers (verbrauchernahe Produkte), chemical substances, cosmetics, toys, textiles, others	Germany		None (only my country of establishment);Germany

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
10 - 49	Measuring instruments, Non-automatic weighing instruments, Pre-packaged products and Units of measurement		Spain		Other third countries

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
1 - 9	Measuring instruments, Non-automatic weighing instruments, Pre-packaged products and Units of measurement		United Kingdom		None (only my country of establishment)

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
1 - 9	Electrical appliances and equipment;Radio and telecommunications equipment;Toys	Roboty edukacyjne, aplikacje mobilne	Poland		All EU / EEA countries

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
	Chemical substances, biocides, fertilisers; Construction products; Cosmetics; Eco-design and Energy Labelling; Electrical appliances and equipment; Gas appliances; Machinery; Medical devices; Motor vehicles; Personal protective equipment; Pressure equipment, Simple pressure vessels; Pyrotechnics; Radio and telecommunications	-	Netherlands		All EU / EEA countries

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
10 - 49	Electrical appliances and equipment;Measuring instruments, Non-automatic weighing instruments, Pre-packaged products and Units of measurement		Slovak Republic		All EU / EEA countries;Other third countries

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
10 - 49	Measuring instruments, Non-automatic weighing instruments, Pre-packaged products and Units of measurement		Spain		France;Germany;Italy;Netherlands;Portugal;Romania;Spain;Switzerland;United Kingdom;Other third countries

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
500 or more	Other		France		All EU / EEA countries

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
	Personal protective equipment; Textile and Footwear labelling		Belgium		All EU / EEA countries

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
	Toys	British Toy and Hobby Association	United Kingdom		None (only my country of establishment)

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
1 - 9	Other		Czech Republic		Germany;Hungary;Slovak Republic

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
1 - 9	Construction products		Poland		None (only my country of establishment)

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
250 - 499	Equipment and Protective Systems Intended for use in Potentially Explosive Atmospheres;Machinery;Measuring instruments, Non-automatic weighing instruments, Pre-packaged products and Units of measurement		Germany		Austria;Czech Republic;France;Germany;Italy;Norway;Poland;Spain;United Kingdom

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
	Chemical substances, biocides, fertilisers;Construction products;Cosmetics;Eco-design and Energy Labelling;Electrical appliances and equipment;Equipment and Protective Systems Intended for use in Potentially Explosive Atmospheres;Explosives for civil uses;Gas appliances;Lifts;Machinery;Measuring instruments, Non-	.	Turkey		None (only my country of establishment)

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
	Explosives for civil uses;Gas appliances;Transportable pressure equipment;Pyrotechnics;Other		Sweden		None (only my country of establishment)

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
Not applicable	Cableways;Chemical substances, biocides, fertilisers;Construction products;Eco-design and Energy Labelling;Electrical appliances and equipment;Equipment and Protective Systems Intended for use in Potentially Explosive Atmospheres;Machinery;Measuring instruments, Non-automatic weighing instruments, Pre-packaged products and Units of	podrobnosti lze dokázat různými dodatečnými dokumenty	Czech Republic		None (only my country of establishment)

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
	Chemical substances, biocides, fertilisers		Finland		None (only my country of establishment)

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
	Marine equipment;Recreational craft		Norway		None (only my country of establishment)

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
	Machinery		Belgium		All EU / EEA countries

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
10 - 49	Radio and telecommunications equipment		Hungary		Austria

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
1 - 9	Other		Hungary		Germany;Romania;Slovak Republic

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
500 or more	Electrical appliances and equipment		France		All EU / EEA countries

How many employees does your organisation have?	2. Which product sectors do you deal with? (multiple choice possible) NB: Questions will often refer to your experience in the sector(s) you select; if you deal with more than one sector and your replies might significantly differ between sectors, please consider filling in the questionnaire separately for each sector. The expressions "sector you deal with" or "your sector" used in the questions (here and in following sections) should be understood as meaning: - "sector of activity" for businesses supplying products and for conformity assessment bodies, - "sector of responsibility" for national authorities, - "sector in which they purchase products" for citizens, consumers, end users, - "sector for which studies have been conducted or expertise gained" for academics or other legal experts.	Please specify	3. Where are you based?	Please specify	4. In which countries, other than the country of your primary establishment, are you active ?
500 or more	Electrical appliances and equipment		France		All EU / EEA countries

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID
number here

6. Please choose from one
of the following options on
the use of your
contribution:

1. Are the products in your
sector(s) affected by non-
compliance with product
requirements laid down in
EU harmonisation
legislation?

2. What is the approximate
proportion of non-
compliant products for
your sector (product
volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-
compliance negatively
affect consumers and
other end-users in your
sector?

4. Do businesses
complying with legal
obligations experience
negative effects on sales
and/or market shares due
to the presence of non-
compliant products?

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID
number here

6. Please choose from one
of the following options on
the use of your
contribution:

1. Are the products in your
sector(s) affected by non-
compliance with product
requirements laid down in
EU harmonisation
legislation?

2. What is the approximate
proportion of non-
compliant products for
your sector (product
volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-
compliance negatively
affect consumers and
other end-users in your
sector?

4. Do businesses
complying with legal
obligations experience
negative effects on sales
and/or market shares due
to the presence of non-
compliant products?

My/our contribution can be
directly published with my
personal/organisation
information (I consent to
publication of all information
in my contribution in whole or
in part including my name/the
name of my organisation, and
I declare that nothing within
my response is unlawful or
would infringe the rights of
any third party in a manner
that would prevent
publication).

Yes, some of them

More than 50%

Yes, to a moderate extent

I do not know

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID
number here

6. Please choose from one
of the following options on
the use of your
contribution:

1. Are the products in your
sector(s) affected by non-
compliance with product
requirements laid down in
EU harmonisation
legislation?

2. What is the approximate
proportion of non-
compliant products for
your sector (product
volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-
compliance negatively
affect consumers and
other end-users in your
sector?

4. Do businesses
complying with legal
obligations experience
negative effects on sales
and/or market shares due
to the presence of non-
compliant products?

My/our contribution can be
directly published with my
personal/organisation
information (I consent to
publication of all information
in my contribution in whole or
in part including my name/the
name of my organisation, and
I declare that nothing within
my response is unlawful or
would infringe the rights of
any third party in a manner
that would prevent
publication).

Yes, some of them

Unable to estimate

Yes, to a significant extent

Yes, to a significant extent

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID
number here

6. Please choose from one
of the following options on
the use of your
contribution:

1. Are the products in your
sector(s) affected by non-
compliance with product
requirements laid down in
EU harmonisation
legislation?

2. What is the approximate
proportion of non-
compliant products for
your sector (product
volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-
compliance negatively
affect consumers and
other end-users in your
sector?

4. Do businesses
complying with legal
obligations experience
negative effects on sales
and/or market shares due
to the presence of non-
compliant products?

336901121934-39

My/our contribution can be
directly published with my
personal/organisation
information (I consent to
publication of all information
in my contribution in whole or
in part including my name/the
name of my organisation, and
I declare that nothing within
my response is unlawful or
would infringe the rights of
any third party in a manner
that would prevent
publication).

Yes, most of them

Unable to estimate

I do not know

Yes, to a moderate extent

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID number here

6. Please choose from one of the following options on the use of your contribution:

1. Are the products in your sector(s) affected by non-compliance with product requirements laid down in EU harmonisation legislation?

2. What is the approximate proportion of non-compliant products for your sector (product volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-compliance negatively affect consumers and other end-users in your sector?

4. Do businesses complying with legal obligations experience negative effects on sales and/or market shares due to the presence of non-compliant products?

My/our contribution can be directly published with my personal/organisation information (I consent to publication of all information in my contribution in whole or in part including my name/the name of my organisation, and I declare that nothing within my response is unlawful or would infringe the rights of any third party in a manner that would prevent publication).

Yes, some of them

Unable to estimate

Yes, to a moderate extent

Yes, to a moderate extent

5. For registered organisations: indicate your Register ID number here

6. Please choose from one of the following options on the use of your contribution:

1. Are the products in your sector(s) affected by non-compliance with product requirements laid down in EU harmonisation legislation?

2. What is the approximate proportion of non-compliant products for your sector (product volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-compliance negatively affect consumers and other end-users in your sector?

4. Do businesses complying with legal obligations experience negative effects on sales and/or market shares due to the presence of non-compliant products?

112003522636-01

My/our contribution can be directly published with my personal/organisation information (I consent to publication of all information in my contribution in whole or in part including my name/the name of my organisation, and I declare that nothing within my response is unlawful or would infringe the rights of any third party in a manner that would prevent publication).

Yes, most of them

More than 50%

Yes, to a significant extent

Yes, to a significant extent

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID
number here

6. Please choose from one
of the following options on
the use of your
contribution:

1. Are the products in your
sector(s) affected by non-
compliance with product
requirements laid down in
EU harmonisation
legislation?

2. What is the approximate
proportion of non-
compliant products for
your sector (product
volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-
compliance negatively
affect consumers and
other end-users in your
sector?

4. Do businesses
complying with legal
obligations experience
negative effects on sales
and/or market shares due
to the presence of non-
compliant products?

My/our contribution can be
directly published with my
personal/organisation
information (I consent to
publication of all information
in my contribution in whole or
in part including my name/the
name of my organisation, and
I declare that nothing within
my response is unlawful or
would infringe the rights of
any third party in a manner
that would prevent
publication).

Yes, a few of them

0 to 5%

Yes, to a moderate extent

Yes, to a moderate extent

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID
number here

6. Please choose from one
of the following options on
the use of your
contribution:

1. Are the products in your
sector(s) affected by non-
compliance with product
requirements laid down in
EU harmonisation
legislation?

2. What is the approximate
proportion of non-
compliant products for
your sector (product
volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-
compliance negatively
affect consumers and
other end-users in your
sector?

4. Do businesses
complying with legal
obligations experience
negative effects on sales
and/or market shares due
to the presence of non-
compliant products?

My/our contribution can be
directly published with my
personal/organisation
information (I consent to
publication of all information
in my contribution in whole or
in part including my name/the
name of my organisation, and
I declare that nothing within
my response is unlawful or
would infringe the rights of
any third party in a manner
that would prevent
publication).

Yes, most of them

More than 50%

Yes, to a moderate extent

Yes, to a significant extent

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID number here

6. Please choose from one of the following options on the use of your contribution:

1. Are the products in your sector(s) affected by non-compliance with product requirements laid down in EU harmonisation legislation?

2. What is the approximate proportion of non-compliant products for your sector (product volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-compliance negatively affect consumers and other end-users in your sector?

4. Do businesses complying with legal obligations experience negative effects on sales and/or market shares due to the presence of non-compliant products?

My/our contribution can be directly published with my personal/organisation information (I consent to publication of all information in my contribution in whole or in part including my name/the name of my organisation, and I declare that nothing within my response is unlawful or would infringe the rights of any third party in a manner that would prevent publication).

Yes, a few of them

6 to 10%

Yes, to a moderate extent

Yes, to a moderate extent

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID
number here

6. Please choose from one
of the following options on
the use of your
contribution:

1. Are the products in your
sector(s) affected by non-
compliance with product
requirements laid down in
EU harmonisation
legislation?

2. What is the approximate
proportion of non-
compliant products for
your sector (product
volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-
compliance negatively
affect consumers and
other end-users in your
sector?

4. Do businesses
complying with legal
obligations experience
negative effects on sales
and/or market shares due
to the presence of non-
compliant products?

My/our contribution can be
directly published with my
personal/organisation
information (I consent to
publication of all information
in my contribution in whole or
in part including my name/the
name of my organisation, and
I declare that nothing within
my response is unlawful or
would infringe the rights of
any third party in a manner
that would prevent
publication).

Yes, most of them

21 to 30%

Yes, to a moderate extent

Yes, to a significant extent

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID
number here

6. Please choose from one
of the following options on
the use of your
contribution:

1. Are the products in your
sector(s) affected by non-
compliance with product
requirements laid down in
EU harmonisation
legislation?

2. What is the approximate
proportion of non-
compliant products for
your sector (product
volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-
compliance negatively
affect consumers and
other end-users in your
sector?

4. Do businesses
complying with legal
obligations experience
negative effects on sales
and/or market shares due
to the presence of non-
compliant products?

My/our contribution can be
directly published with my
personal/organisation
information (I consent to
publication of all information
in my contribution in whole or
in part including my name/the
name of my organisation, and
I declare that nothing within
my response is unlawful or
would infringe the rights of
any third party in a manner
that would prevent
publication).

Yes, some of them

11 to 20%

Yes, to a significant extent

Yes, to a moderate extent

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID
number here

6. Please choose from one
of the following options on
the use of your
contribution:

1. Are the products in your
sector(s) affected by non-
compliance with product
requirements laid down in
EU harmonisation
legislation?

2. What is the approximate
proportion of non-
compliant products for
your sector (product
volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-
compliance negatively
affect consumers and
other end-users in your
sector?

4. Do businesses
complying with legal
obligations experience
negative effects on sales
and/or market shares due
to the presence of non-
compliant products?

My/our contribution can be
directly published with my
personal/organisation
information (I consent to
publication of all information
in my contribution in whole or
in part including my name/the
name of my organisation, and
I declare that nothing within
my response is unlawful or
would infringe the rights of
any third party in a manner
that would prevent
publication).

Yes, some of them

6 to 10%

Yes, to a moderate extent

Yes, to a moderate extent

5. For registered organisations: indicate your Register ID number here

6. Please choose from one of the following options on the use of your contribution:

1. Are the products in your sector(s) affected by non-compliance with product requirements laid down in EU harmonisation legislation?

2. What is the approximate proportion of non-compliant products for your sector (product volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-compliance negatively affect consumers and other end-users in your sector?

4. Do businesses complying with legal obligations experience negative effects on sales and/or market shares due to the presence of non-compliant products?

58744833263-19

My/our contribution can be directly published with my personal/organisation information (I consent to publication of all information in my contribution in whole or in part including my name/the name of my organisation, and I declare that nothing within my response is unlawful or would infringe the rights of any third party in a manner that would prevent publication).

Yes, most of them

More than 50%

Yes, to a significant extent

I do not know

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID
number here

6. Please choose from one
of the following options on
the use of your
contribution:

1. Are the products in your
sector(s) affected by non-
compliance with product
requirements laid down in
EU harmonisation
legislation?

2. What is the approximate
proportion of non-
compliant products for
your sector (product
volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-
compliance negatively
affect consumers and
other end-users in your
sector?

4. Do businesses
complying with legal
obligations experience
negative effects on sales
and/or market shares due
to the presence of non-
compliant products?

097910723114-44

My/our contribution can be
directly published with my
personal/organisation
information (I consent to
publication of all information
in my contribution in whole or
in part including my name/the
name of my organisation, and
I declare that nothing within
my response is unlawful or
would infringe the rights of
any third party in a manner
that would prevent
publication).

Yes, some of them

Unable to estimate

Yes, to a significant extent

Yes, to a moderate extent

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID number here

6. Please choose from one of the following options on the use of your contribution:

1. Are the products in your sector(s) affected by non-compliance with product requirements laid down in EU harmonisation legislation?

2. What is the approximate proportion of non-compliant products for your sector (product volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-compliance negatively affect consumers and other end-users in your sector?

4. Do businesses complying with legal obligations experience negative effects on sales and/or market shares due to the presence of non-compliant products?

My/our contribution can be directly published with my personal/organisation information (I consent to publication of all information in my contribution in whole or in part including my name/the name of my organisation, and I declare that nothing within my response is unlawful or would infringe the rights of any third party in a manner that would prevent publication).

Yes, some of them

Unable to estimate

Yes, to a moderate extent

Yes, to a moderate extent

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID number here

6. Please choose from one of the following options on the use of your contribution:

1. Are the products in your sector(s) affected by non-compliance with product requirements laid down in EU harmonisation legislation?

2. What is the approximate proportion of non-compliant products for your sector (product volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-compliance negatively affect consumers and other end-users in your sector?

4. Do businesses complying with legal obligations experience negative effects on sales and/or market shares due to the presence of non-compliant products?

My/our contribution can be directly published with my personal/organisation information (I consent to publication of all information in my contribution in whole or in part including my name/the name of my organisation, and I declare that nothing within my response is unlawful or would infringe the rights of any third party in a manner that would prevent publication).

Yes, most of them

Unable to estimate

Yes, to a moderate extent

Yes, to a moderate extent

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID
number here

6. Please choose from one
of the following options on
the use of your
contribution:

1. Are the products in your
sector(s) affected by non-
compliance with product
requirements laid down in
EU harmonisation
legislation?

2. What is the approximate
proportion of non-
compliant products for
your sector (product
volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-
compliance negatively
affect consumers and
other end-users in your
sector?

4. Do businesses
complying with legal
obligations experience
negative effects on sales
and/or market shares due
to the presence of non-
compliant products?

My/our contribution can be
directly published with my
personal/organisation
information (I consent to
publication of all information
in my contribution in whole or
in part including my name/the
name of my organisation, and
I declare that nothing within
my response is unlawful or
would infringe the rights of
any third party in a manner
that would prevent
publication).

Yes, a few of them

6 to 10%

No

Yes, to a moderate extent

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID
number here

6. Please choose from one
of the following options on
the use of your
contribution:

1. Are the products in your
sector(s) affected by non-
compliance with product
requirements laid down in
EU harmonisation
legislation?

2. What is the approximate
proportion of non-
compliant products for
your sector (product
volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-
compliance negatively
affect consumers and
other end-users in your
sector?

4. Do businesses
complying with legal
obligations experience
negative effects on sales
and/or market shares due
to the presence of non-
compliant products?

My/our contribution can be
directly published with my
personal/organisation
information (I consent to
publication of all information
in my contribution in whole or
in part including my name/the
name of my organisation, and
I declare that nothing within
my response is unlawful or
would infringe the rights of
any third party in a manner
that would prevent
publication).

Yes, some of them

6 to 10%

Yes, to a moderate extent

Yes, to a moderate extent

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID
number here

6. Please choose from one
of the following options on
the use of your
contribution:

1. Are the products in your
sector(s) affected by non-
compliance with product
requirements laid down in
EU harmonisation
legislation?

2. What is the approximate
proportion of non-
compliant products for
your sector (product
volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-
compliance negatively
affect consumers and
other end-users in your
sector?

4. Do businesses
complying with legal
obligations experience
negative effects on sales
and/or market shares due
to the presence of non-
compliant products?

My/our contribution can be
directly published with my
personal/organisation
information (I consent to
publication of all information
in my contribution in whole or
in part including my name/the
name of my organisation, and
I declare that nothing within
my response is unlawful or
would infringe the rights of
any third party in a manner
that would prevent
publication).

Yes, some of them

6 to 10%

Yes, to a significant extent

Yes, to a significant extent

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID
number here

6. Please choose from one
of the following options on
the use of your
contribution:

1. Are the products in your
sector(s) affected by non-
compliance with product
requirements laid down in
EU harmonisation
legislation?

2. What is the approximate
proportion of non-
compliant products for
your sector (product
volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-
compliance negatively
affect consumers and
other end-users in your
sector?

4. Do businesses
complying with legal
obligations experience
negative effects on sales
and/or market shares due
to the presence of non-
compliant products?

My/our contribution can be
directly published with my
personal/organisation
information (I consent to
publication of all information
in my contribution in whole or
in part including my name/the
name of my organisation, and
I declare that nothing within
my response is unlawful or
would infringe the rights of
any third party in a manner
that would prevent
publication).

Yes, a few of them

6 to 10%

Yes, to a moderate extent

Yes, to a moderate extent

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID number here

6. Please choose from one of the following options on the use of your contribution:

1. Are the products in your sector(s) affected by non-compliance with product requirements laid down in EU harmonisation legislation?

2. What is the approximate proportion of non-compliant products for your sector (product volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-compliance negatively affect consumers and other end-users in your sector?

4. Do businesses complying with legal obligations experience negative effects on sales and/or market shares due to the presence of non-compliant products?

My/our contribution can be directly published with my personal/organisation information (I consent to publication of all information in my contribution in whole or in part including my name/the name of my organisation, and I declare that nothing within my response is unlawful or would infringe the rights of any third party in a manner that would prevent publication).

Yes, some of them

6 to 10%

Yes, to a moderate extent

Yes, to a moderate extent

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID
number here

6. Please choose from one
of the following options on
the use of your
contribution:

1. Are the products in your
sector(s) affected by non-
compliance with product
requirements laid down in
EU harmonisation
legislation?

2. What is the approximate
proportion of non-
compliant products for
your sector (product
volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-
compliance negatively
affect consumers and
other end-users in your
sector?

4. Do businesses
complying with legal
obligations experience
negative effects on sales
and/or market shares due
to the presence of non-
compliant products?

22400601191-42

My/our contribution can be
directly published with my
personal/organisation
information (I consent to
publication of all information
in my contribution in whole or
in part including my name/the
name of my organisation, and
I declare that nothing within
my response is unlawful or
would infringe the rights of
any third party in a manner
that would prevent
publication).

Yes, a few of them

0 to 5%

I do not know

Yes, to a moderate extent

5. For registered organisations: indicate your Register ID number here

6. Please choose from one of the following options on the use of your contribution:

1. Are the products in your sector(s) affected by non-compliance with product requirements laid down in EU harmonisation legislation?

2. What is the approximate proportion of non-compliant products for your sector (product volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-compliance negatively affect consumers and other end-users in your sector?

4. Do businesses complying with legal obligations experience negative effects on sales and/or market shares due to the presence of non-compliant products?

62619749171-91

My/our contribution can be directly published with my personal/organisation information (I consent to publication of all information in my contribution in whole or in part including my name/the name of my organisation, and I declare that nothing within my response is unlawful or would infringe the rights of any third party in a manner that would prevent publication).

Yes, some of them

11 to 20%

Yes, to a significant extent

Yes, to a significant extent

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID number here

6. Please choose from one of the following options on the use of your contribution:

1. Are the products in your sector(s) affected by non-compliance with product requirements laid down in EU harmonisation legislation?

2. What is the approximate proportion of non-compliant products for your sector (product volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-compliance negatively affect consumers and other end-users in your sector?

4. Do businesses complying with legal obligations experience negative effects on sales and/or market shares due to the presence of non-compliant products?

My/our contribution can be directly published with my personal/organisation information (I consent to publication of all information in my contribution in whole or in part including my name/the name of my organisation, and I declare that nothing within my response is unlawful or would infringe the rights of any third party in a manner that would prevent publication).

Yes, some of them

31 to 40%

Yes, to a moderate extent

Yes, to a moderate extent

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID number here

6. Please choose from one of the following options on the use of your contribution:

1. Are the products in your sector(s) affected by non-compliance with product requirements laid down in EU harmonisation legislation?

2. What is the approximate proportion of non-compliant products for your sector (product volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-compliance negatively affect consumers and other end-users in your sector?

4. Do businesses complying with legal obligations experience negative effects on sales and/or market shares due to the presence of non-compliant products?

My/our contribution can be directly published with my personal/organisation information (I consent to publication of all information in my contribution in whole or in part including my name/the name of my organisation, and I declare that nothing within my response is unlawful or would infringe the rights of any third party in a manner that would prevent publication).

Yes, some of them

31 to 40%

Yes, to a moderate extent

Yes, to a moderate extent

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID
number here

6. Please choose from one
of the following options on
the use of your
contribution:

1. Are the products in your
sector(s) affected by non-
compliance with product
requirements laid down in
EU harmonisation
legislation?

2. What is the approximate
proportion of non-
compliant products for
your sector (product
volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-
compliance negatively
affect consumers and
other end-users in your
sector?

4. Do businesses
complying with legal
obligations experience
negative effects on sales
and/or market shares due
to the presence of non-
compliant products?

My/our contribution can be
directly published with my
personal/organisation
information (I consent to
publication of all information
in my contribution in whole or
in part including my name/the
name of my organisation, and
I declare that nothing within
my response is unlawful or
would infringe the rights of
any third party in a manner
that would prevent
publication).

Yes, a few of them

Unable to estimate

I do not know

I do not know

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID
number here

6. Please choose from one
of the following options on
the use of your
contribution:

1. Are the products in your
sector(s) affected by non-
compliance with product
requirements laid down in
EU harmonisation
legislation?

2. What is the approximate
proportion of non-
compliant products for
your sector (product
volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-
compliance negatively
affect consumers and
other end-users in your
sector?

4. Do businesses
complying with legal
obligations experience
negative effects on sales
and/or market shares due
to the presence of non-
compliant products?

My/our contribution can be
directly published with my
personal/organisation
information (I consent to
publication of all information
in my contribution in whole or
in part including my name/the
name of my organisation, and
I declare that nothing within
my response is unlawful or
would infringe the rights of
any third party in a manner
that would prevent
publication).

Yes, some of them

6 to 10%

Yes, to a significant extent

Yes, to a moderate extent

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID
number here

6. Please choose from one
of the following options on
the use of your
contribution:

1. Are the products in your
sector(s) affected by non-
compliance with product
requirements laid down in
EU harmonisation
legislation?

2. What is the approximate
proportion of non-
compliant products for
your sector (product
volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-
compliance negatively
affect consumers and
other end-users in your
sector?

4. Do businesses
complying with legal
obligations experience
negative effects on sales
and/or market shares due
to the presence of non-
compliant products?

My/our contribution can be
directly published with my
personal/organisation
information (I consent to
publication of all information
in my contribution in whole or
in part including my name/the
name of my organisation, and
I declare that nothing within
my response is unlawful or
would infringe the rights of
any third party in a manner
that would prevent
publication).

Yes, some of them

Unable to estimate

Yes, to a moderate extent

Yes, to a moderate extent

5. For registered organisations: indicate your Register ID number here

6. Please choose from one of the following options on the use of your contribution:

1. Are the products in your sector(s) affected by non-compliance with product requirements laid down in EU harmonisation legislation?

2. What is the approximate proportion of non-compliant products for your sector (product volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-compliance negatively affect consumers and other end-users in your sector?

4. Do businesses complying with legal obligations experience negative effects on sales and/or market shares due to the presence of non-compliant products?

07265875082-72

My/our contribution can be directly published with my personal/organisation information (I consent to publication of all information in my contribution in whole or in part including my name/the name of my organisation, and I declare that nothing within my response is unlawful or would infringe the rights of any third party in a manner that would prevent publication).

I do not know

Unable to estimate

I do not know

Yes, to a significant extent

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID number here

6. Please choose from one of the following options on the use of your contribution:

1. Are the products in your sector(s) affected by non-compliance with product requirements laid down in EU harmonisation legislation?

2. What is the approximate proportion of non-compliant products for your sector (product volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-compliance negatively affect consumers and other end-users in your sector?

4. Do businesses complying with legal obligations experience negative effects on sales and/or market shares due to the presence of non-compliant products?

My/our contribution can be directly published with my personal/organisation information (I consent to publication of all information in my contribution in whole or in part including my name/the name of my organisation, and I declare that nothing within my response is unlawful or would infringe the rights of any third party in a manner that would prevent publication).

Yes, some of them

More than 50%

Yes, to a moderate extent

Yes, to a significant extent

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID
number here

6. Please choose from one
of the following options on
the use of your
contribution:

1. Are the products in your
sector(s) affected by non-
compliance with product
requirements laid down in
EU harmonisation
legislation?

2. What is the approximate
proportion of non-
compliant products for
your sector (product
volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-
compliance negatively
affect consumers and
other end-users in your
sector?

4. Do businesses
complying with legal
obligations experience
negative effects on sales
and/or market shares due
to the presence of non-
compliant products?

694466214317-80

My/our contribution can be
directly published with my
personal/organisation
information (I consent to
publication of all information
in my contribution in whole or
in part including my name/the
name of my organisation, and
I declare that nothing within
my response is unlawful or
would infringe the rights of
any third party in a manner
that would prevent
publication).

Yes, most of them

Unable to estimate

Yes, to a significant extent

Yes, to a significant extent

5. For registered organisations: indicate your Register ID number here

6. Please choose from one of the following options on the use of your contribution:

1. Are the products in your sector(s) affected by non-compliance with product requirements laid down in EU harmonisation legislation?

2. What is the approximate proportion of non-compliant products for your sector (product volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-compliance negatively affect consumers and other end-users in your sector?

4. Do businesses complying with legal obligations experience negative effects on sales and/or market shares due to the presence of non-compliant products?

507800799-30

My/our contribution can be directly published with my personal/organisation information (I consent to publication of all information in my contribution in whole or in part including my name/the name of my organisation, and I declare that nothing within my response is unlawful or would infringe the rights of any third party in a manner that would prevent publication).

Yes, most of them

Unable to estimate

Yes, to a significant extent

Yes, to a significant extent

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID number here

6. Please choose from one of the following options on the use of your contribution:

1. Are the products in your sector(s) affected by non-compliance with product requirements laid down in EU harmonisation legislation?

2. What is the approximate proportion of non-compliant products for your sector (product volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-compliance negatively affect consumers and other end-users in your sector?

4. Do businesses complying with legal obligations experience negative effects on sales and/or market shares due to the presence of non-compliant products?

My/our contribution can be directly published with my personal/organisation information (I consent to publication of all information in my contribution in whole or in part including my name/the name of my organisation, and I declare that nothing within my response is unlawful or would infringe the rights of any third party in a manner that would prevent publication).

Yes, some of them

6 to 10%

Yes, to a moderate extent

Yes, to a moderate extent

5. For registered organisations: indicate your Register ID number here

6. Please choose from one of the following options on the use of your contribution:

1. Are the products in your sector(s) affected by non-compliance with product requirements laid down in EU harmonisation legislation?

2. What is the approximate proportion of non-compliant products for your sector (product volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-compliance negatively affect consumers and other end-users in your sector?

4. Do businesses complying with legal obligations experience negative effects on sales and/or market shares due to the presence of non-compliant products?

690605-3410

My/our contribution can be directly published with my personal/organisation information (I consent to publication of all information in my contribution in whole or in part including my name/the name of my organisation, and I declare that nothing within my response is unlawful or would infringe the rights of any third party in a manner that would prevent publication).

Yes, some of them

Unable to estimate

Yes, to a significant extent

I do not know

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID
number here

6. Please choose from one
of the following options on
the use of your
contribution:

1. Are the products in your
sector(s) affected by non-
compliance with product
requirements laid down in
EU harmonisation
legislation?

2. What is the approximate
proportion of non-
compliant products for
your sector (product
volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-
compliance negatively
affect consumers and
other end-users in your
sector?

4. Do businesses
complying with legal
obligations experience
negative effects on sales
and/or market shares due
to the presence of non-
compliant products?

83575061669-96

My/our contribution can be
directly published with my
personal/organisation
information (I consent to
publication of all information
in my contribution in whole or
in part including my name/the
name of my organisation, and
I declare that nothing within
my response is unlawful or
would infringe the rights of
any third party in a manner
that would prevent
publication).

Yes, a few of them

Unable to estimate

Yes, to a moderate extent

Yes, to a moderate extent

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID
number here

6. Please choose from one
of the following options on
the use of your
contribution:

1. Are the products in your
sector(s) affected by non-
compliance with product
requirements laid down in
EU harmonisation
legislation?

2. What is the approximate
proportion of non-
compliant products for
your sector (product
volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-
compliance negatively
affect consumers and
other end-users in your
sector?

4. Do businesses
complying with legal
obligations experience
negative effects on sales
and/or market shares due
to the presence of non-
compliant products?

188711163

My/our contribution can be
directly published with my
personal/organisation
information (I consent to
publication of all information
in my contribution in whole or
in part including my name/the
name of my organisation, and
I declare that nothing within
my response is unlawful or
would infringe the rights of
any third party in a manner
that would prevent
publication).

Yes, a few of them

Unable to estimate

I do not know

I do not know

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID number here

6. Please choose from one of the following options on the use of your contribution:

1. Are the products in your sector(s) affected by non-compliance with product requirements laid down in EU harmonisation legislation?

2. What is the approximate proportion of non-compliant products for your sector (product volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-compliance negatively affect consumers and other end-users in your sector?

4. Do businesses complying with legal obligations experience negative effects on sales and/or market shares due to the presence of non-compliant products?

Jstaigos kodas - 188781973

My/our contribution can be directly published with my personal/organisation information (I consent to publication of all information in my contribution in whole or in part including my name/the name of my organisation, and I declare that nothing within my response is unlawful or would infringe the rights of any third party in a manner that would prevent publication).

Yes, a few of them

0 to 5%

Yes, to a moderate extent

I do not know

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID
number here

6. Please choose from one
of the following options on
the use of your
contribution:

1. Are the products in your
sector(s) affected by non-
compliance with product
requirements laid down in
EU harmonisation
legislation?

2. What is the approximate
proportion of non-
compliant products for
your sector (product
volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-
compliance negatively
affect consumers and
other end-users in your
sector?

4. Do businesses
complying with legal
obligations experience
negative effects on sales
and/or market shares due
to the presence of non-
compliant products?

89424237848-89

My/our contribution can be
directly published with my
personal/organisation
information (I consent to
publication of all information
in my contribution in whole or
in part including my name/the
name of my organisation, and
I declare that nothing within
my response is unlawful or
would infringe the rights of
any third party in a manner
that would prevent
publication).

Yes, some of them

Unable to estimate

Yes, to a moderate extent

Yes, to a moderate extent

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID
number here

6. Please choose from one
of the following options on
the use of your
contribution:

1. Are the products in your
sector(s) affected by non-
compliance with product
requirements laid down in
EU harmonisation
legislation?

2. What is the approximate
proportion of non-
compliant products for
your sector (product
volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-
compliance negatively
affect consumers and
other end-users in your
sector?

4. Do businesses
complying with legal
obligations experience
negative effects on sales
and/or market shares due
to the presence of non-
compliant products?

My/our contribution can be
directly published with my
personal/organisation
information (I consent to
publication of all information
in my contribution in whole or
in part including my name/the
name of my organisation, and
I declare that nothing within
my response is unlawful or
would infringe the rights of
any third party in a manner
that would prevent
publication).

No

Unable to estimate

I do not know

I do not know

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID
number here

6. Please choose from one
of the following options on
the use of your
contribution:

1. Are the products in your
sector(s) affected by non-
compliance with product
requirements laid down in
EU harmonisation
legislation?

2. What is the approximate
proportion of non-
compliant products for
your sector (product
volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-
compliance negatively
affect consumers and
other end-users in your
sector?

4. Do businesses
complying with legal
obligations experience
negative effects on sales
and/or market shares due
to the presence of non-
compliant products?

82669082072-33

My/our contribution can be
directly published with my
personal/organisation
information (I consent to
publication of all information
in my contribution in whole or
in part including my name/the
name of my organisation, and
I declare that nothing within
my response is unlawful or
would infringe the rights of
any third party in a manner
that would prevent
publication).

Yes, some of them

Unable to estimate

Yes, to a significant extent

Yes, to a moderate extent

5. For registered organisations: indicate your Register ID number here

6. Please choose from one of the following options on the use of your contribution:

1. Are the products in your sector(s) affected by non-compliance with product requirements laid down in EU harmonisation legislation?

2. What is the approximate proportion of non-compliant products for your sector (product volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-compliance negatively affect consumers and other end-users in your sector?

4. Do businesses complying with legal obligations experience negative effects on sales and/or market shares due to the presence of non-compliant products?

22400601191-42

My/our contribution can be directly published with my personal/organisation information (I consent to publication of all information in my contribution in whole or in part including my name/the name of my organisation, and I declare that nothing within my response is unlawful or would infringe the rights of any third party in a manner that would prevent publication).

Yes, a few of them

0 to 5%

I do not know

Yes, to a moderate extent

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID
number here

6. Please choose from one
of the following options on
the use of your
contribution:

1. Are the products in your
sector(s) affected by non-
compliance with product
requirements laid down in
EU harmonisation
legislation?

2. What is the approximate
proportion of non-
compliant products for
your sector (product
volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-
compliance negatively
affect consumers and
other end-users in your
sector?

4. Do businesses
complying with legal
obligations experience
negative effects on sales
and/or market shares due
to the presence of non-
compliant products?

275591424071-19

My/our contribution can be
directly published with my
personal/organisation
information (I consent to
publication of all information
in my contribution in whole or
in part including my name/the
name of my organisation, and
I declare that nothing within
my response is unlawful or
would infringe the rights of
any third party in a manner
that would prevent
publication).

Yes, some of them

Unable to estimate

Yes, to a moderate extent

Yes, to a moderate extent

5. For registered organisations: indicate your Register ID number here

6. Please choose from one of the following options on the use of your contribution:

1. Are the products in your sector(s) affected by non-compliance with product requirements laid down in EU harmonisation legislation?

2. What is the approximate proportion of non-compliant products for your sector (product volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-compliance negatively affect consumers and other end-users in your sector?

4. Do businesses complying with legal obligations experience negative effects on sales and/or market shares due to the presence of non-compliant products?

9505781573-45

My/our contribution can be directly published with my personal/organisation information (I consent to publication of all information in my contribution in whole or in part including my name/the name of my organisation, and I declare that nothing within my response is unlawful or would infringe the rights of any third party in a manner that would prevent publication).

Yes, most of them

Unable to estimate

Yes, to a significant extent

Yes, to a significant extent

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID
number here

6. Please choose from one
of the following options on
the use of your
contribution:

1. Are the products in your
sector(s) affected by non-
compliance with product
requirements laid down in
EU harmonisation
legislation?

2. What is the approximate
proportion of non-
compliant products for
your sector (product
volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-
compliance negatively
affect consumers and
other end-users in your
sector?

4. Do businesses
complying with legal
obligations experience
negative effects on sales
and/or market shares due
to the presence of non-
compliant products?

141376015883-52

My/our contribution can be
directly published with my
personal/organisation
information (I consent to
publication of all information
in my contribution in whole or
in part including my name/the
name of my organisation, and
I declare that nothing within
my response is unlawful or
would infringe the rights of
any third party in a manner
that would prevent
publication).

Yes, some of them

Unable to estimate

Yes, to a moderate extent

Yes, to a moderate extent

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID
number here

6. Please choose from one
of the following options on
the use of your
contribution:

1. Are the products in your
sector(s) affected by non-
compliance with product
requirements laid down in
EU harmonisation
legislation?

2. What is the approximate
proportion of non-
compliant products for
your sector (product
volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-
compliance negatively
affect consumers and
other end-users in your
sector?

4. Do businesses
complying with legal
obligations experience
negative effects on sales
and/or market shares due
to the presence of non-
compliant products?

623275518575-76

My/our contribution can be
directly published with my
personal/organisation
information (I consent to
publication of all information
in my contribution in whole or
in part including my name/the
name of my organisation, and
I declare that nothing within
my response is unlawful or
would infringe the rights of
any third party in a manner
that would prevent
publication).

Yes, a few of them

Unable to estimate

I do not know

Yes, to a moderate extent

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID number here

6. Please choose from one of the following options on the use of your contribution:

1. Are the products in your sector(s) affected by non-compliance with product requirements laid down in EU harmonisation legislation?

2. What is the approximate proportion of non-compliant products for your sector (product volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-compliance negatively affect consumers and other end-users in your sector?

4. Do businesses complying with legal obligations experience negative effects on sales and/or market shares due to the presence of non-compliant products?

My/our contribution can be directly published with my personal/organisation information (I consent to publication of all information in my contribution in whole or in part including my name/the name of my organisation, and I declare that nothing within my response is unlawful or would infringe the rights of any third party in a manner that would prevent publication).

Yes, some of them

11 to 20%

Yes, to a moderate extent

Yes, to a moderate extent

5. For registered organisations: indicate your Register ID number here

6. Please choose from one of the following options on the use of your contribution:

1. Are the products in your sector(s) affected by non-compliance with product requirements laid down in EU harmonisation legislation?

2. What is the approximate proportion of non-compliant products for your sector (product volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-compliance negatively affect consumers and other end-users in your sector?

4. Do businesses complying with legal obligations experience negative effects on sales and/or market shares due to the presence of non-compliant products?

78241392020-85

My/our contribution can be directly published with my personal/organisation information (I consent to publication of all information in my contribution in whole or in part including my name/the name of my organisation, and I declare that nothing within my response is unlawful or would infringe the rights of any third party in a manner that would prevent publication).

Yes, some of them

11 to 20%

Yes, to a significant extent

Yes, to a significant extent

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID
number here

6. Please choose from one
of the following options on
the use of your
contribution:

1. Are the products in your
sector(s) affected by non-
compliance with product
requirements laid down in
EU harmonisation
legislation?

2. What is the approximate
proportion of non-
compliant products for
your sector (product
volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-
compliance negatively
affect consumers and
other end-users in your
sector?

4. Do businesses
complying with legal
obligations experience
negative effects on sales
and/or market shares due
to the presence of non-
compliant products?

60534525900-25

My/our contribution can be
directly published with my
personal/organisation
information (I consent to
publication of all information
in my contribution in whole or
in part including my name/the
name of my organisation, and
I declare that nothing within
my response is unlawful or
would infringe the rights of
any third party in a manner
that would prevent
publication).

Yes, most of them

Unable to estimate

Yes, to a moderate extent

Yes, to a significant extent

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID
number here

6. Please choose from one
of the following options on
the use of your
contribution:

1. Are the products in your
sector(s) affected by non-
compliance with product
requirements laid down in
EU harmonisation
legislation?

2. What is the approximate
proportion of non-
compliant products for
your sector (product
volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-
compliance negatively
affect consumers and
other end-users in your
sector?

4. Do businesses
complying with legal
obligations experience
negative effects on sales
and/or market shares due
to the presence of non-
compliant products?

511984324146-94

My/our contribution can be
directly published with my
personal/organisation
information (I consent to
publication of all information
in my contribution in whole or
in part including my name/the
name of my organisation, and
I declare that nothing within
my response is unlawful or
would infringe the rights of
any third party in a manner
that would prevent
publication).

Yes, a few of them

Unable to estimate

Yes, to a moderate extent

Yes, to a moderate extent

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID number here

6. Please choose from one of the following options on the use of your contribution:

1. Are the products in your sector(s) affected by non-compliance with product requirements laid down in EU harmonisation legislation?

2. What is the approximate proportion of non-compliant products for your sector (product volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-compliance negatively affect consumers and other end-users in your sector?

4. Do businesses complying with legal obligations experience negative effects on sales and/or market shares due to the presence of non-compliant products?

My/our contribution can be directly published with my personal/organisation information (I consent to publication of all information in my contribution in whole or in part including my name/the name of my organisation, and I declare that nothing within my response is unlawful or would infringe the rights of any third party in a manner that would prevent publication).

Yes, most of them

Unable to estimate

Yes, to a moderate extent

Yes, to a moderate extent

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID
number here

6. Please choose from one
of the following options on
the use of your
contribution:

1. Are the products in your
sector(s) affected by non-
compliance with product
requirements laid down in
EU harmonisation
legislation?

2. What is the approximate
proportion of non-
compliant products for
your sector (product
volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-
compliance negatively
affect consumers and
other end-users in your
sector?

4. Do businesses
complying with legal
obligations experience
negative effects on sales
and/or market shares due
to the presence of non-
compliant products?

My/our contribution can be
directly published with my
personal/organisation
information (I consent to
publication of all information
in my contribution in whole or
in part including my name/the
name of my organisation, and
I declare that nothing within
my response is unlawful or
would infringe the rights of
any third party in a manner
that would prevent
publication).

Yes, some of them

11 to 20%

Yes, to a significant extent

Yes, to a significant extent

5. For registered organisations: indicate your Register ID number here

6. Please choose from one of the following options on the use of your contribution:

1. Are the products in your sector(s) affected by non-compliance with product requirements laid down in EU harmonisation legislation?

2. What is the approximate proportion of non-compliant products for your sector (product volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-compliance negatively affect consumers and other end-users in your sector?

4. Do businesses complying with legal obligations experience negative effects on sales and/or market shares due to the presence of non-compliant products?

599743711223-32

My/our contribution can be directly published with my personal/organisation information (I consent to publication of all information in my contribution in whole or in part including my name/the name of my organisation, and I declare that nothing within my response is unlawful or would infringe the rights of any third party in a manner that would prevent publication).

Yes, some of them

11 to 20%

Yes, to a significant extent

Yes, to a significant extent

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID
number here

6. Please choose from one
of the following options on
the use of your
contribution:

1. Are the products in your
sector(s) affected by non-
compliance with product
requirements laid down in
EU harmonisation
legislation?

2. What is the approximate
proportion of non-
compliant products for
your sector (product
volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-
compliance negatively
affect consumers and
other end-users in your
sector?

4. Do businesses
complying with legal
obligations experience
negative effects on sales
and/or market shares due
to the presence of non-
compliant products?

My/our contribution can be
directly published with my
personal/organisation
information (I consent to
publication of all information
in my contribution in whole or
in part including my name/the
name of my organisation, and
I declare that nothing within
my response is unlawful or
would infringe the rights of
any third party in a manner
that would prevent
publication).

Yes, most of them

11 to 20%

Yes, to a significant extent

Yes, to a significant extent

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID
number here

6. Please choose from one
of the following options on
the use of your
contribution:

1. Are the products in your
sector(s) affected by non-
compliance with product
requirements laid down in
EU harmonisation
legislation?

2. What is the approximate
proportion of non-
compliant products for
your sector (product
volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-
compliance negatively
affect consumers and
other end-users in your
sector?

4. Do businesses
complying with legal
obligations experience
negative effects on sales
and/or market shares due
to the presence of non-
compliant products?

64270747023-20

My/our contribution can be
directly published with my
personal/organisation
information (I consent to
publication of all information
in my contribution in whole or
in part including my name/the
name of my organisation, and
I declare that nothing within
my response is unlawful or
would infringe the rights of
any third party in a manner
that would prevent
publication).

Yes, a few of them

6 to 10%

Yes, to a moderate extent

Yes, to a moderate extent

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID
number here

6. Please choose from one
of the following options on
the use of your
contribution:

1. Are the products in your
sector(s) affected by non-
compliance with product
requirements laid down in
EU harmonisation
legislation?

2. What is the approximate
proportion of non-
compliant products for
your sector (product
volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-
compliance negatively
affect consumers and
other end-users in your
sector?

4. Do businesses
complying with legal
obligations experience
negative effects on sales
and/or market shares due
to the presence of non-
compliant products?

27733374121-26

My/our contribution can be
directly published with my
personal/organisation
information (I consent to
publication of all information
in my contribution in whole or
in part including my name/the
name of my organisation, and
I declare that nothing within
my response is unlawful or
would infringe the rights of
any third party in a manner
that would prevent
publication).

Yes, some of them

Unable to estimate

Yes, to a moderate extent

Yes, to a moderate extent

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID
number here

6. Please choose from one
of the following options on
the use of your
contribution:

1. Are the products in your
sector(s) affected by non-
compliance with product
requirements laid down in
EU harmonisation
legislation?

2. What is the approximate
proportion of non-
compliant products for
your sector (product
volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-
compliance negatively
affect consumers and
other end-users in your
sector?

4. Do businesses
complying with legal
obligations experience
negative effects on sales
and/or market shares due
to the presence of non-
compliant products?

358284014848-82

My/our contribution can be
directly published with my
personal/organisation
information (I consent to
publication of all information
in my contribution in whole or
in part including my name/the
name of my organisation, and
I declare that nothing within
my response is unlawful or
would infringe the rights of
any third party in a manner
that would prevent
publication).

Yes, most of them

31 to 40%

Yes, to a significant extent

Yes, to a significant extent

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID
number here

6. Please choose from one
of the following options on
the use of your
contribution:

1. Are the products in your
sector(s) affected by non-
compliance with product
requirements laid down in
EU harmonisation
legislation?

2. What is the approximate
proportion of non-
compliant products for
your sector (product
volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-
compliance negatively
affect consumers and
other end-users in your
sector?

4. Do businesses
complying with legal
obligations experience
negative effects on sales
and/or market shares due
to the presence of non-
compliant products?

10405322962-08

My/our contribution can be
directly published with my
personal/organisation
information (I consent to
publication of all information
in my contribution in whole or
in part including my name/the
name of my organisation, and
I declare that nothing within
my response is unlawful or
would infringe the rights of
any third party in a manner
that would prevent
publication).

Yes, a few of them

Unable to estimate

I do not know

Yes, to a moderate extent

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID
number here

6. Please choose from one
of the following options on
the use of your
contribution:

1. Are the products in your
sector(s) affected by non-
compliance with product
requirements laid down in
EU harmonisation
legislation?

2. What is the approximate
proportion of non-
compliant products for
your sector (product
volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-
compliance negatively
affect consumers and
other end-users in your
sector?

4. Do businesses
complying with legal
obligations experience
negative effects on sales
and/or market shares due
to the presence of non-
compliant products?

My/our contribution can be
directly published with my
personal/organisation
information (I consent to
publication of all information
in my contribution in whole or
in part including my name/the
name of my organisation, and
I declare that nothing within
my response is unlawful or
would infringe the rights of
any third party in a manner
that would prevent
publication).

Yes, some of them

11 to 20%

Yes, to a moderate extent

Yes, to a moderate extent

5. For registered organisations: indicate your Register ID number here

6. Please choose from one of the following options on the use of your contribution:

1. Are the products in your sector(s) affected by non-compliance with product requirements laid down in EU harmonisation legislation?

2. What is the approximate proportion of non-compliant products for your sector (product volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-compliance negatively affect consumers and other end-users in your sector?

4. Do businesses complying with legal obligations experience negative effects on sales and/or market shares due to the presence of non-compliant products?

04201463642-88

My/our contribution can be directly published with my personal/organisation information (I consent to publication of all information in my contribution in whole or in part including my name/the name of my organisation, and I declare that nothing within my response is unlawful or would infringe the rights of any third party in a manner that would prevent publication).

Yes, some of them

Unable to estimate

Yes, to a moderate extent

Yes, to a moderate extent

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID
number here

6. Please choose from one
of the following options on
the use of your
contribution:

1. Are the products in your
sector(s) affected by non-
compliance with product
requirements laid down in
EU harmonisation
legislation?

2. What is the approximate
proportion of non-
compliant products for
your sector (product
volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-
compliance negatively
affect consumers and
other end-users in your
sector?

4. Do businesses
complying with legal
obligations experience
negative effects on sales
and/or market shares due
to the presence of non-
compliant products?

035366013790-68

My/our contribution can be
directly published with my
personal/organisation
information (I consent to
publication of all information
in my contribution in whole or
in part including my name/the
name of my organisation, and
I declare that nothing within
my response is unlawful or
would infringe the rights of
any third party in a manner
that would prevent
publication).

Yes, some of them

11 to 20%

No

Yes, to a moderate extent

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID
number here

6. Please choose from one
of the following options on
the use of your
contribution:

1. Are the products in your
sector(s) affected by non-
compliance with product
requirements laid down in
EU harmonisation
legislation?

2. What is the approximate
proportion of non-
compliant products for
your sector (product
volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-
compliance negatively
affect consumers and
other end-users in your
sector?

4. Do businesses
complying with legal
obligations experience
negative effects on sales
and/or market shares due
to the presence of non-
compliant products?

My/our contribution can be
directly published with my
personal/organisation
information (I consent to
publication of all information
in my contribution in whole or
in part including my name/the
name of my organisation, and
I declare that nothing within
my response is unlawful or
would infringe the rights of
any third party in a manner
that would prevent
publication).

Yes, most of them

31 to 40%

Yes, to a moderate extent

I do not know

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID
number here

6. Please choose from one
of the following options on
the use of your
contribution:

1. Are the products in your
sector(s) affected by non-
compliance with product
requirements laid down in
EU harmonisation
legislation?

2. What is the approximate
proportion of non-
compliant products for
your sector (product
volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-
compliance negatively
affect consumers and
other end-users in your
sector?

4. Do businesses
complying with legal
obligations experience
negative effects on sales
and/or market shares due
to the presence of non-
compliant products?

79465004946-12

My/our contribution can be
directly published with my
personal/organisation
information (I consent to
publication of all information
in my contribution in whole or
in part including my name/the
name of my organisation, and
I declare that nothing within
my response is unlawful or
would infringe the rights of
any third party in a manner
that would prevent
publication).

Yes, some of them

Unable to estimate

Yes, to a moderate extent

I do not know

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID
number here

6. Please choose from one
of the following options on
the use of your
contribution:

1. Are the products in your
sector(s) affected by non-
compliance with product
requirements laid down in
EU harmonisation
legislation?

2. What is the approximate
proportion of non-
compliant products for
your sector (product
volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-
compliance negatively
affect consumers and
other end-users in your
sector?

4. Do businesses
complying with legal
obligations experience
negative effects on sales
and/or market shares due
to the presence of non-
compliant products?

8497 376 1187 60

My/our contribution can be
directly published with my
personal/organisation
information (I consent to
publication of all information
in my contribution in whole or
in part including my name/the
name of my organisation, and
I declare that nothing within
my response is unlawful or
would infringe the rights of
any third party in a manner
that would prevent
publication).

Yes, some of them

Unable to estimate

Yes, to a moderate extent

Yes, to a moderate extent

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID
number here

6. Please choose from one
of the following options on
the use of your
contribution:

1. Are the products in your
sector(s) affected by non-
compliance with product
requirements laid down in
EU harmonisation
legislation?

2. What is the approximate
proportion of non-
compliant products for
your sector (product
volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-
compliance negatively
affect consumers and
other end-users in your
sector?

4. Do businesses
complying with legal
obligations experience
negative effects on sales
and/or market shares due
to the presence of non-
compliant products?

My/our contribution can be
directly published with my
personal/organisation
information (I consent to
publication of all information
in my contribution in whole or
in part including my name/the
name of my organisation, and
I declare that nothing within
my response is unlawful or
would infringe the rights of
any third party in a manner
that would prevent
publication).

Yes, some of them

6 to 10%

Yes, to a significant extent

Yes, to a significant extent

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID
number here

6. Please choose from one
of the following options on
the use of your
contribution:

1. Are the products in your
sector(s) affected by non-
compliance with product
requirements laid down in
EU harmonisation
legislation?

2. What is the approximate
proportion of non-
compliant products for
your sector (product
volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-
compliance negatively
affect consumers and
other end-users in your
sector?

4. Do businesses
complying with legal
obligations experience
negative effects on sales
and/or market shares due
to the presence of non-
compliant products?

991140723538-16

My/our contribution can be
directly published with my
personal/organisation
information (I consent to
publication of all information
in my contribution in whole or
in part including my name/the
name of my organisation, and
I declare that nothing within
my response is unlawful or
would infringe the rights of
any third party in a manner
that would prevent
publication).

Yes, most of them

More than 50%

Yes, to a moderate extent

Yes, to a moderate extent

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID
number here

6. Please choose from one
of the following options on
the use of your
contribution:

1. Are the products in your
sector(s) affected by non-
compliance with product
requirements laid down in
EU harmonisation
legislation?

2. What is the approximate
proportion of non-
compliant products for
your sector (product
volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-
compliance negatively
affect consumers and
other end-users in your
sector?

4. Do businesses
complying with legal
obligations experience
negative effects on sales
and/or market shares due
to the presence of non-
compliant products?

91447653655-65

My/our contribution can be
directly published with my
personal/organisation
information (I consent to
publication of all information
in my contribution in whole or
in part including my name/the
name of my organisation, and
I declare that nothing within
my response is unlawful or
would infringe the rights of
any third party in a manner
that would prevent
publication).

Yes, most of them

21 to 30%

Yes, to a significant extent

Yes, to a significant extent

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID
number here

6. Please choose from one
of the following options on
the use of your
contribution:

1. Are the products in your
sector(s) affected by non-
compliance with product
requirements laid down in
EU harmonisation
legislation?

2. What is the approximate
proportion of non-
compliant products for
your sector (product
volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-
compliance negatively
affect consumers and
other end-users in your
sector?

4. Do businesses
complying with legal
obligations experience
negative effects on sales
and/or market shares due
to the presence of non-
compliant products?

22276738915-67

My/our contribution can be
directly published with my
personal/organisation
information (I consent to
publication of all information
in my contribution in whole or
in part including my name/the
name of my organisation, and
I declare that nothing within
my response is unlawful or
would infringe the rights of
any third party in a manner
that would prevent
publication).

Yes, a few of them

6 to 10%

Yes, to a moderate extent

Yes, to a moderate extent

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID number here

6. Please choose from one of the following options on the use of your contribution:

1. Are the products in your sector(s) affected by non-compliance with product requirements laid down in EU harmonisation legislation?

2. What is the approximate proportion of non-compliant products for your sector (product volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-compliance negatively affect consumers and other end-users in your sector?

4. Do businesses complying with legal obligations experience negative effects on sales and/or market shares due to the presence of non-compliant products?

My/our contribution can be directly published with my personal/organisation information (I consent to publication of all information in my contribution in whole or in part including my name/the name of my organisation, and I declare that nothing within my response is unlawful or would infringe the rights of any third party in a manner that would prevent publication).

Yes, most of them

More than 50%

Yes, to a moderate extent

Yes, to a moderate extent

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID
number here

6. Please choose from one
of the following options on
the use of your
contribution:

1. Are the products in your
sector(s) affected by non-
compliance with product
requirements laid down in
EU harmonisation
legislation?

2. What is the approximate
proportion of non-
compliant products for
your sector (product
volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-
compliance negatively
affect consumers and
other end-users in your
sector?

4. Do businesses
complying with legal
obligations experience
negative effects on sales
and/or market shares due
to the presence of non-
compliant products?

20210641335-88

My/our contribution can be
directly published with my
personal/organisation
information (I consent to
publication of all information
in my contribution in whole or
in part including my name/the
name of my organisation, and
I declare that nothing within
my response is unlawful or
would infringe the rights of
any third party in a manner
that would prevent
publication).

Yes, most of them

Unable to estimate

Yes, to a moderate extent

Yes, to a moderate extent

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID
number here

6. Please choose from one
of the following options on
the use of your
contribution:

1. Are the products in your
sector(s) affected by non-
compliance with product
requirements laid down in
EU harmonisation
legislation?

2. What is the approximate
proportion of non-
compliant products for
your sector (product
volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-
compliance negatively
affect consumers and
other end-users in your
sector?

4. Do businesses
complying with legal
obligations experience
negative effects on sales
and/or market shares due
to the presence of non-
compliant products?

3978240953-79

My/our contribution can be
directly published with my
personal/organisation
information (I consent to
publication of all information
in my contribution in whole or
in part including my name/the
name of my organisation, and
I declare that nothing within
my response is unlawful or
would infringe the rights of
any third party in a manner
that would prevent
publication).

Yes, some of them

Unable to estimate

Yes, to a moderate extent

Yes, to a significant extent

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID
number here

6. Please choose from one
of the following options on
the use of your
contribution:

1. Are the products in your
sector(s) affected by non-
compliance with product
requirements laid down in
EU harmonisation
legislation?

2. What is the approximate
proportion of non-
compliant products for
your sector (product
volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-
compliance negatively
affect consumers and
other end-users in your
sector?

4. Do businesses
complying with legal
obligations experience
negative effects on sales
and/or market shares due
to the presence of non-
compliant products?

My/our contribution can be
directly published with my
personal/organisation
information (I consent to
publication of all information
in my contribution in whole or
in part including my name/the
name of my organisation, and
I declare that nothing within
my response is unlawful or
would infringe the rights of
any third party in a manner
that would prevent
publication).

Yes, a few of them

Unable to estimate

I do not know

Yes, to a moderate extent

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID
number here

6. Please choose from one
of the following options on
the use of your
contribution:

1. Are the products in your
sector(s) affected by non-
compliance with product
requirements laid down in
EU harmonisation
legislation?

2. What is the approximate
proportion of non-
compliant products for
your sector (product
volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-
compliance negatively
affect consumers and
other end-users in your
sector?

4. Do businesses
complying with legal
obligations experience
negative effects on sales
and/or market shares due
to the presence of non-
compliant products?

1274604847-34

My/our contribution can be
directly published with my
personal/organisation
information (I consent to
publication of all information
in my contribution in whole or
in part including my name/the
name of my organisation, and
I declare that nothing within
my response is unlawful or
would infringe the rights of
any third party in a manner
that would prevent
publication).

Yes, some of them

Unable to estimate

Yes, to a moderate extent

Yes, to a moderate extent

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID
number here

6. Please choose from one
of the following options on
the use of your
contribution:

1. Are the products in your
sector(s) affected by non-
compliance with product
requirements laid down in
EU harmonisation
legislation?

2. What is the approximate
proportion of non-
compliant products for
your sector (product
volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-
compliance negatively
affect consumers and
other end-users in your
sector?

4. Do businesses
complying with legal
obligations experience
negative effects on sales
and/or market shares due
to the presence of non-
compliant products?

001339619562-21

My/our contribution can be
directly published with my
personal/organisation
information (I consent to
publication of all information
in my contribution in whole or
in part including my name/the
name of my organisation, and
I declare that nothing within
my response is unlawful or
would infringe the rights of
any third party in a manner
that would prevent
publication).

Yes, some of them

Unable to estimate

Yes, to a significant extent

Yes, to a significant extent

5. For registered organisations: indicate your Register ID number here

6. Please choose from one of the following options on the use of your contribution:

1. Are the products in your sector(s) affected by non-compliance with product requirements laid down in EU harmonisation legislation?

2. What is the approximate proportion of non-compliant products for your sector (product volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-compliance negatively affect consumers and other end-users in your sector?

4. Do businesses complying with legal obligations experience negative effects on sales and/or market shares due to the presence of non-compliant products?

31200871765-41

My/our contribution can be directly published with my personal/organisation information (I consent to publication of all information in my contribution in whole or in part including my name/the name of my organisation, and I declare that nothing within my response is unlawful or would infringe the rights of any third party in a manner that would prevent publication).

Yes, some of them

Unable to estimate

Yes, to a significant extent

Yes, to a significant extent

5. For registered organisations: indicate your Register ID number here	6. Please choose from one of the following options on the use of your contribution:	1. Are the products in your sector(s) affected by non-compliance with product requirements laid down in EU harmonisation legislation?	2. What is the approximate proportion of non-compliant products for your sector (product volumes)?	3. Does the problem of non-compliance negatively affect consumers and other end-users in your sector?	4. Do businesses complying with legal obligations experience negative effects on sales and/or market shares due to the presence of non-compliant products?

5749958415-41

My/our contribution can be directly published with my personal/organisation information (I consent to publication of all information in my contribution in whole or in part including my name/the name of my organisation, and I declare that nothing within my response is unlawful or would infringe the rights of any third party in a manner that would prevent publication).

Yes, most of them

Unable to estimate

Yes, to a moderate extent

Yes, to a significant extent

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID
number here

6. Please choose from one
of the following options on
the use of your
contribution:

1. Are the products in your
sector(s) affected by non-
compliance with product
requirements laid down in
EU harmonisation
legislation?

2. What is the approximate
proportion of non-
compliant products for
your sector (product
volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-
compliance negatively
affect consumers and
other end-users in your
sector?

4. Do businesses
complying with legal
obligations experience
negative effects on sales
and/or market shares due
to the presence of non-
compliant products?

016371114093-01

My/our contribution can be
directly published with my
personal/organisation
information (I consent to
publication of all information
in my contribution in whole or
in part including my name/the
name of my organisation, and
I declare that nothing within
my response is unlawful or
would infringe the rights of
any third party in a manner
that would prevent
publication).

Yes, some of them

0 to 5%

Yes, to a moderate extent

Yes, to a significant extent

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID
number here

6. Please choose from one
of the following options on
the use of your
contribution:

1. Are the products in your
sector(s) affected by non-
compliance with product
requirements laid down in
EU harmonisation
legislation?

2. What is the approximate
proportion of non-
compliant products for
your sector (product
volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-
compliance negatively
affect consumers and
other end-users in your
sector?

4. Do businesses
complying with legal
obligations experience
negative effects on sales
and/or market shares due
to the presence of non-
compliant products?

68004524380-10

My/our contribution can be
directly published with my
personal/organisation
information (I consent to
publication of all information
in my contribution in whole or
in part including my name/the
name of my organisation, and
I declare that nothing within
my response is unlawful or
would infringe the rights of
any third party in a manner
that would prevent
publication).

Yes, some of them

Unable to estimate

Yes, to a moderate extent

Yes, to a moderate extent

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID
number here

6. Please choose from one
of the following options on
the use of your
contribution:

1. Are the products in your
sector(s) affected by non-
compliance with product
requirements laid down in
EU harmonisation
legislation?

2. What is the approximate
proportion of non-
compliant products for
your sector (product
volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-
compliance negatively
affect consumers and
other end-users in your
sector?

4. Do businesses
complying with legal
obligations experience
negative effects on sales
and/or market shares due
to the presence of non-
compliant products?

My/our contribution can be
directly published with my
personal/organisation
information (I consent to
publication of all information
in my contribution in whole or
in part including my name/the
name of my organisation, and
I declare that nothing within
my response is unlawful or
would infringe the rights of
any third party in a manner
that would prevent
publication).

Yes, most of them

Unable to estimate

Yes, to a significant extent

Yes, to a significant extent

5. For registered organisations: indicate your Register ID number here

6. Please choose from one of the following options on the use of your contribution:

1. Are the products in your sector(s) affected by non-compliance with product requirements laid down in EU harmonisation legislation?

2. What is the approximate proportion of non-compliant products for your sector (product volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-compliance negatively affect consumers and other end-users in your sector?

4. Do businesses complying with legal obligations experience negative effects on sales and/or market shares due to the presence of non-compliant products?

549033221890-77

My/our contribution can be directly published with my personal/organisation information (I consent to publication of all information in my contribution in whole or in part including my name/the name of my organisation, and I declare that nothing within my response is unlawful or would infringe the rights of any third party in a manner that would prevent publication).

Yes, most of them

Unable to estimate

Yes, to a moderate extent

Yes, to a moderate extent

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID number here

6. Please choose from one of the following options on the use of your contribution:

1. Are the products in your sector(s) affected by non-compliance with product requirements laid down in EU harmonisation legislation?

2. What is the approximate proportion of non-compliant products for your sector (product volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-compliance negatively affect consumers and other end-users in your sector?

4. Do businesses complying with legal obligations experience negative effects on sales and/or market shares due to the presence of non-compliant products?

My/our contribution can be directly published with my personal/organisation information (I consent to publication of all information in my contribution in whole or in part including my name/the name of my organisation, and I declare that nothing within my response is unlawful or would infringe the rights of any third party in a manner that would prevent publication).

Yes, most of them

Unable to estimate

Yes, to a moderate extent

Yes, to a significant extent

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID
number here

6. Please choose from one
of the following options on
the use of your
contribution:

1. Are the products in your
sector(s) affected by non-
compliance with product
requirements laid down in
EU harmonisation
legislation?

2. What is the approximate
proportion of non-
compliant products for
your sector (product
volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-
compliance negatively
affect consumers and
other end-users in your
sector?

4. Do businesses
complying with legal
obligations experience
negative effects on sales
and/or market shares due
to the presence of non-
compliant products?

1125121235196

My/our contribution can be
directly published with my
personal/organisation
information (I consent to
publication of all information
in my contribution in whole or
in part including my name/the
name of my organisation, and
I declare that nothing within
my response is unlawful or
would infringe the rights of
any third party in a manner
that would prevent
publication).

Yes, a few of them

Unable to estimate

Yes, to a moderate extent

Yes, to a moderate extent

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID
number here

6. Please choose from one
of the following options on
the use of your
contribution:

1. Are the products in your
sector(s) affected by non-
compliance with product
requirements laid down in
EU harmonisation
legislation?

2. What is the approximate
proportion of non-
compliant products for
your sector (product
volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-
compliance negatively
affect consumers and
other end-users in your
sector?

4. Do businesses
complying with legal
obligations experience
negative effects on sales
and/or market shares due
to the presence of non-
compliant products?

My/our contribution can be
directly published with my
personal/organisation
information (I consent to
publication of all information
in my contribution in whole or
in part including my name/the
name of my organisation, and
I declare that nothing within
my response is unlawful or
would infringe the rights of
any third party in a manner
that would prevent
publication).

Yes, some of them

11 to 20%

Yes, to a significant extent

Yes, to a significant extent

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID
number here

6. Please choose from one
of the following options on
the use of your
contribution:

1. Are the products in your
sector(s) affected by non-
compliance with product
requirements laid down in
EU harmonisation
legislation?

2. What is the approximate
proportion of non-
compliant products for
your sector (product
volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-
compliance negatively
affect consumers and
other end-users in your
sector?

4. Do businesses
complying with legal
obligations experience
negative effects on sales
and/or market shares due
to the presence of non-
compliant products?

My/our contribution can be
directly published with my
personal/organisation
information (I consent to
publication of all information
in my contribution in whole or
in part including my name/the
name of my organisation, and
I declare that nothing within
my response is unlawful or
would infringe the rights of
any third party in a manner
that would prevent
publication).

Yes, most of them

More than 50%

No

Yes, to a significant extent

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID
number here

6. Please choose from one
of the following options on
the use of your
contribution:

1. Are the products in your
sector(s) affected by non-
compliance with product
requirements laid down in
EU harmonisation
legislation?

2. What is the approximate
proportion of non-
compliant products for
your sector (product
volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-
compliance negatively
affect consumers and
other end-users in your
sector?

4. Do businesses
complying with legal
obligations experience
negative effects on sales
and/or market shares due
to the presence of non-
compliant products?

HU00704

My/our contribution can be
directly published with my
personal/organisation
information (I consent to
publication of all information
in my contribution in whole or
in part including my name/the
name of my organisation, and
I declare that nothing within
my response is unlawful or
would infringe the rights of
any third party in a manner
that would prevent
publication).

Yes, most of them

0 to 5%

Yes, to a significant extent

Yes, to a significant extent

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID number here

6. Please choose from one of the following options on the use of your contribution:

1. Are the products in your sector(s) affected by non-compliance with product requirements laid down in EU harmonisation legislation?

2. What is the approximate proportion of non-compliant products for your sector (product volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-compliance negatively affect consumers and other end-users in your sector?

4. Do businesses complying with legal obligations experience negative effects on sales and/or market shares due to the presence of non-compliant products?

My/our contribution can be directly published provided that I/my organisation remain(s) anonymous (I consent to publication of any information in my contribution in whole or in part (which may include quotes or opinions I express) provided that this is done anonymously. I declare that nothing within my response is unlawful or would infringe the rights of any third party in a manner that would prevent

Yes, a few of them

31 to 40%

Yes, to a significant extent

Yes, to a significant extent

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID number here

6. Please choose from one of the following options on the use of your contribution:

1. Are the products in your sector(s) affected by non-compliance with product requirements laid down in EU harmonisation legislation?

2. What is the approximate proportion of non-compliant products for your sector (product volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-compliance negatively affect consumers and other end-users in your sector?

4. Do businesses complying with legal obligations experience negative effects on sales and/or market shares due to the presence of non-compliant products?

My/our contribution can be directly published provided that I/my organisation remain(s) anonymous (I consent to publication of any information in my contribution in whole or in part (which may include quotes or opinions I express) provided that this is done anonymously. I declare that nothing within my response is unlawful or would infringe the rights of any third party in a manner that would prevent

Yes, some of them

11 to 20%

Yes, to a significant extent

I do not know

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID number here

6. Please choose from one of the following options on the use of your contribution:

1. Are the products in your sector(s) affected by non-compliance with product requirements laid down in EU harmonisation legislation?

2. What is the approximate proportion of non-compliant products for your sector (product volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-compliance negatively affect consumers and other end-users in your sector?

4. Do businesses complying with legal obligations experience negative effects on sales and/or market shares due to the presence of non-compliant products?

My/our contribution can be directly published provided that I/my organisation remain(s) anonymous (I consent to publication of any information in my contribution in whole or in part (which may include quotes or opinions I express) provided that this is done anonymously. I declare that nothing within my response is unlawful or would infringe the rights of any third party in a manner that would prevent

Yes, some of them

31 to 40%

Yes, to a significant extent

Yes, to a moderate extent

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID number here

6. Please choose from one of the following options on the use of your contribution:

1. Are the products in your sector(s) affected by non-compliance with product requirements laid down in EU harmonisation legislation?

2. What is the approximate proportion of non-compliant products for your sector (product volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-compliance negatively affect consumers and other end-users in your sector?

4. Do businesses complying with legal obligations experience negative effects on sales and/or market shares due to the presence of non-compliant products?

My/our contribution can be directly published provided that I/my organisation remain(s) anonymous (I consent to publication of any information in my contribution in whole or in part (which may include quotes or opinions I express) provided that this is done anonymously. I declare that nothing within my response is unlawful or would infringe the rights of any third party in a manner that would prevent

Yes, most of them

31 to 40%

Yes, to a moderate extent

Yes, to a significant extent

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID number here

6. Please choose from one of the following options on the use of your contribution:

1. Are the products in your sector(s) affected by non-compliance with product requirements laid down in EU harmonisation legislation?

2. What is the approximate proportion of non-compliant products for your sector (product volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-compliance negatively affect consumers and other end-users in your sector?

4. Do businesses complying with legal obligations experience negative effects on sales and/or market shares due to the presence of non-compliant products?

My/our contribution can be directly published provided that I/my organisation remain(s) anonymous (I consent to publication of any information in my contribution in whole or in part (which may include quotes or opinions I express) provided that this is done anonymously. I declare that nothing within my response is unlawful or would infringe the rights of any third party in a manner that would prevent

Yes, most of them

31 to 40%

Yes, to a significant extent

Yes, to a significant extent

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID number here

6. Please choose from one of the following options on the use of your contribution:

1. Are the products in your sector(s) affected by non-compliance with product requirements laid down in EU harmonisation legislation?

2. What is the approximate proportion of non-compliant products for your sector (product volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-compliance negatively affect consumers and other end-users in your sector?

4. Do businesses complying with legal obligations experience negative effects on sales and/or market shares due to the presence of non-compliant products?

My/our contribution can be directly published provided that I/my organisation remain(s) anonymous (I consent to publication of any information in my contribution in whole or in part (which may include quotes or opinions I express) provided that this is done anonymously. I declare that nothing within my response is unlawful or would infringe the rights of any third party in a manner that would prevent

Yes, a few of them

0 to 5%

Yes, to a moderate extent

I do not know

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID number here

6. Please choose from one of the following options on the use of your contribution:

1. Are the products in your sector(s) affected by non-compliance with product requirements laid down in EU harmonisation legislation?

2. What is the approximate proportion of non-compliant products for your sector (product volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-compliance negatively affect consumers and other end-users in your sector?

4. Do businesses complying with legal obligations experience negative effects on sales and/or market shares due to the presence of non-compliant products?

My/our contribution can be directly published provided that I/my organisation remain(s) anonymous (I consent to publication of any information in my contribution in whole or in part (which may include quotes or opinions I express) provided that this is done anonymously. I declare that nothing within my response is unlawful or would infringe the rights of any third party in a manner that would prevent

Yes, some of them

21 to 30%

Yes, to a moderate extent

Yes, to a moderate extent

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID number here

6. Please choose from one of the following options on the use of your contribution:

1. Are the products in your sector(s) affected by non-compliance with product requirements laid down in EU harmonisation legislation?

2. What is the approximate proportion of non-compliant products for your sector (product volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-compliance negatively affect consumers and other end-users in your sector?

4. Do businesses complying with legal obligations experience negative effects on sales and/or market shares due to the presence of non-compliant products?

My/our contribution can be directly published provided that I/my organisation remain(s) anonymous (I consent to publication of any information in my contribution in whole or in part (which may include quotes or opinions I express) provided that this is done anonymously. I declare that nothing within my response is unlawful or would infringe the rights of any third party in a manner that would prevent

Yes, most of them

11 to 20%

Yes, to a moderate extent

Yes, to a moderate extent

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID number here

6. Please choose from one of the following options on the use of your contribution:

1. Are the products in your sector(s) affected by non-compliance with product requirements laid down in EU harmonisation legislation?

2. What is the approximate proportion of non-compliant products for your sector (product volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-compliance negatively affect consumers and other end-users in your sector?

4. Do businesses complying with legal obligations experience negative effects on sales and/or market shares due to the presence of non-compliant products?

My/our contribution can be directly published provided that I/my organisation remain(s) anonymous (I consent to publication of any information in my contribution in whole or in part (which may include quotes or opinions I express) provided that this is done anonymously. I declare that nothing within my response is unlawful or would infringe the rights of any third party in a manner that would prevent

Yes, most of them

41 to 50%

Yes, to a moderate extent

No

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID number here

6. Please choose from one of the following options on the use of your contribution:

1. Are the products in your sector(s) affected by non-compliance with product requirements laid down in EU harmonisation legislation?

2. What is the approximate proportion of non-compliant products for your sector (product volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-compliance negatively affect consumers and other end-users in your sector?

4. Do businesses complying with legal obligations experience negative effects on sales and/or market shares due to the presence of non-compliant products?

My/our contribution can be directly published provided that I/my organisation remain(s) anonymous (I consent to publication of any information in my contribution in whole or in part (which may include quotes or opinions I express) provided that this is done anonymously. I declare that nothing within my response is unlawful or would infringe the rights of any third party in a manner that would prevent

Yes, a few of them

0 to 5%

Yes, to a moderate extent

Yes, to a moderate extent

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID number here

6. Please choose from one of the following options on the use of your contribution:

1. Are the products in your sector(s) affected by non-compliance with product requirements laid down in EU harmonisation legislation?

2. What is the approximate proportion of non-compliant products for your sector (product volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-compliance negatively affect consumers and other end-users in your sector?

4. Do businesses complying with legal obligations experience negative effects on sales and/or market shares due to the presence of non-compliant products?

My/our contribution can be directly published provided that I/my organisation remain(s) anonymous (I consent to publication of any information in my contribution in whole or in part (which may include quotes or opinions I express) provided that this is done anonymously. I declare that nothing within my response is unlawful or would infringe the rights of any third party in a manner that would prevent

Yes, some of them

11 to 20%

Yes, to a moderate extent

Yes, to a significant extent

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID number here

6. Please choose from one of the following options on the use of your contribution:

1. Are the products in your sector(s) affected by non-compliance with product requirements laid down in EU harmonisation legislation?

2. What is the approximate proportion of non-compliant products for your sector (product volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-compliance negatively affect consumers and other end-users in your sector?

4. Do businesses complying with legal obligations experience negative effects on sales and/or market shares due to the presence of non-compliant products?

My/our contribution can be directly published provided that I/my organisation remain(s) anonymous (I consent to publication of any information in my contribution in whole or in part (which may include quotes or opinions I express) provided that this is done anonymously. I declare that nothing within my response is unlawful or would infringe the rights of any third party in a manner that would prevent

Yes, some of them

21 to 30%

Yes, to a moderate extent

Yes, to a moderate extent

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID number here

6. Please choose from one of the following options on the use of your contribution:

1. Are the products in your sector(s) affected by non-compliance with product requirements laid down in EU harmonisation legislation?

2. What is the approximate proportion of non-compliant products for your sector (product volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-compliance negatively affect consumers and other end-users in your sector?

4. Do businesses complying with legal obligations experience negative effects on sales and/or market shares due to the presence of non-compliant products?

My/our contribution can be directly published provided that I/my organisation remain(s) anonymous (I consent to publication of any information in my contribution in whole or in part (which may include quotes or opinions I express) provided that this is done anonymously. I declare that nothing within my response is unlawful or would infringe the rights of any third party in a manner that would prevent publication of my contribution.

Yes, a few of them

0 to 5%

Yes, to a significant extent

Yes, to a significant extent

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID number here

6. Please choose from one of the following options on the use of your contribution:

1. Are the products in your sector(s) affected by non-compliance with product requirements laid down in EU harmonisation legislation?

2. What is the approximate proportion of non-compliant products for your sector (product volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-compliance negatively affect consumers and other end-users in your sector?

4. Do businesses complying with legal obligations experience negative effects on sales and/or market shares due to the presence of non-compliant products?

My/our contribution can be directly published provided that I/my organisation remain(s) anonymous (I consent to publication of any information in my contribution in whole or in part (which may include quotes or opinions I express) provided that this is done anonymously. I declare that nothing within my response is unlawful or would infringe the rights of any third party in a manner that would prevent

Yes, most of them

6 to 10%

Yes, to a significant extent

Yes, to a moderate extent

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID number here

6. Please choose from one of the following options on the use of your contribution:

1. Are the products in your sector(s) affected by non-compliance with product requirements laid down in EU harmonisation legislation?

2. What is the approximate proportion of non-compliant products for your sector (product volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-compliance negatively affect consumers and other end-users in your sector?

4. Do businesses complying with legal obligations experience negative effects on sales and/or market shares due to the presence of non-compliant products?

My/our contribution can be directly published provided that I/my organisation remain(s) anonymous (I consent to publication of any information in my contribution in whole or in part (which may include quotes or opinions I express) provided that this is done anonymously. I declare that nothing within my response is unlawful or would infringe the rights of any third party in a manner that would prevent

Yes, most of them

Unable to estimate

Yes, to a significant extent

I do not know

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID number here

6. Please choose from one of the following options on the use of your contribution:

1. Are the products in your sector(s) affected by non-compliance with product requirements laid down in EU harmonisation legislation?

2. What is the approximate proportion of non-compliant products for your sector (product volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-compliance negatively affect consumers and other end-users in your sector?

4. Do businesses complying with legal obligations experience negative effects on sales and/or market shares due to the presence of non-compliant products?

My/our contribution can be directly published provided that I/my organisation remain(s) anonymous (I consent to publication of any information in my contribution in whole or in part (which may include quotes or opinions I express) provided that this is done anonymously. I declare that nothing within my response is unlawful or would infringe the rights of any third party in a manner that would prevent publication of my contribution.

Yes, a few of them

Unable to estimate

Yes, to a moderate extent

Yes, to a significant extent

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID number here

6. Please choose from one of the following options on the use of your contribution:

1. Are the products in your sector(s) affected by non-compliance with product requirements laid down in EU harmonisation legislation?

2. What is the approximate proportion of non-compliant products for your sector (product volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-compliance negatively affect consumers and other end-users in your sector?

4. Do businesses complying with legal obligations experience negative effects on sales and/or market shares due to the presence of non-compliant products?

My/our contribution can be directly published provided that I/my organisation remain(s) anonymous (I consent to publication of any information in my contribution in whole or in part (which may include quotes or opinions I express) provided that this is done anonymously. I declare that nothing within my response is unlawful or would infringe the rights of any third party in a manner that would prevent

Yes, some of them

Unable to estimate

Yes, to a moderate extent

Yes, to a moderate extent

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID number here

6. Please choose from one of the following options on the use of your contribution:

1. Are the products in your sector(s) affected by non-compliance with product requirements laid down in EU harmonisation legislation?

2. What is the approximate proportion of non-compliant products for your sector (product volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-compliance negatively affect consumers and other end-users in your sector?

4. Do businesses complying with legal obligations experience negative effects on sales and/or market shares due to the presence of non-compliant products?

My/our contribution can be directly published provided that I/my organisation remain(s) anonymous (I consent to publication of any information in my contribution in whole or in part (which may include quotes or opinions I express) provided that this is done anonymously. I declare that nothing within my response is unlawful or would infringe the rights of any third party in a manner that would prevent

Yes, a few of them

21 to 30%

No

No

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID number here

6. Please choose from one of the following options on the use of your contribution:

1. Are the products in your sector(s) affected by non-compliance with product requirements laid down in EU harmonisation legislation?

2. What is the approximate proportion of non-compliant products for your sector (product volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-compliance negatively affect consumers and other end-users in your sector?

4. Do businesses complying with legal obligations experience negative effects on sales and/or market shares due to the presence of non-compliant products?

My/our contribution can be directly published provided that I/my organisation remain(s) anonymous (I consent to publication of any information in my contribution in whole or in part (which may include quotes or opinions I express) provided that this is done anonymously. I declare that nothing within my response is unlawful or would infringe the rights of any third party in a manner that would prevent

Yes, some of them

0 to 5%

Yes, to a moderate extent

Yes, to a moderate extent

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID number here

6. Please choose from one of the following options on the use of your contribution:

1. Are the products in your sector(s) affected by non-compliance with product requirements laid down in EU harmonisation legislation?

2. What is the approximate proportion of non-compliant products for your sector (product volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-compliance negatively affect consumers and other end-users in your sector?

4. Do businesses complying with legal obligations experience negative effects on sales and/or market shares due to the presence of non-compliant products?

My/our contribution can be directly published provided that I/my organisation remain(s) anonymous (I consent to publication of any information in my contribution in whole or in part (which may include quotes or opinions I express) provided that this is done anonymously. I declare that nothing within my response is unlawful or would infringe the rights of any third party in a manner that would prevent

Yes, a few of them

Unable to estimate

Yes, to a moderate extent

Yes, to a moderate extent

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID number here

6. Please choose from one of the following options on the use of your contribution:

1. Are the products in your sector(s) affected by non-compliance with product requirements laid down in EU harmonisation legislation?

2. What is the approximate proportion of non-compliant products for your sector (product volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-compliance negatively affect consumers and other end-users in your sector?

4. Do businesses complying with legal obligations experience negative effects on sales and/or market shares due to the presence of non-compliant products?

My/our contribution can be directly published provided that I/my organisation remain(s) anonymous (I consent to publication of any information in my contribution in whole or in part (which may include quotes or opinions I express) provided that this is done anonymously. I declare that nothing within my response is unlawful or would infringe the rights of any third party in a manner that would prevent

Yes, some of them

21 to 30%

Yes, to a moderate extent

No

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID number here

6. Please choose from one of the following options on the use of your contribution:

1. Are the products in your sector(s) affected by non-compliance with product requirements laid down in EU harmonisation legislation?

2. What is the approximate proportion of non-compliant products for your sector (product volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-compliance negatively affect consumers and other end-users in your sector?

4. Do businesses complying with legal obligations experience negative effects on sales and/or market shares due to the presence of non-compliant products?

My/our contribution can be directly published provided that I/my organisation remain(s) anonymous (I consent to publication of any information in my contribution in whole or in part (which may include quotes or opinions I express) provided that this is done anonymously. I declare that nothing within my response is unlawful or would infringe the rights of any third party in a manner that would prevent

Yes, some of them

0 to 5%

Yes, to a moderate extent

Yes, to a moderate extent

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID number here

6. Please choose from one of the following options on the use of your contribution:

1. Are the products in your sector(s) affected by non-compliance with product requirements laid down in EU harmonisation legislation?

2. What is the approximate proportion of non-compliant products for your sector (product volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-compliance negatively affect consumers and other end-users in your sector?

4. Do businesses complying with legal obligations experience negative effects on sales and/or market shares due to the presence of non-compliant products?

My/our contribution can be directly published provided that I/my organisation remain(s) anonymous (I consent to publication of any information in my contribution in whole or in part (which may include quotes or opinions I express) provided that this is done anonymously. I declare that nothing within my response is unlawful or would infringe the rights of any third party in a manner that would prevent

Yes, some of them

0 to 5%

Yes, to a significant extent

Yes, to a significant extent

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID number here

6. Please choose from one of the following options on the use of your contribution:

1. Are the products in your sector(s) affected by non-compliance with product requirements laid down in EU harmonisation legislation?

2. What is the approximate proportion of non-compliant products for your sector (product volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-compliance negatively affect consumers and other end-users in your sector?

4. Do businesses complying with legal obligations experience negative effects on sales and/or market shares due to the presence of non-compliant products?

My/our contribution can be directly published provided that I/my organisation remain(s) anonymous (I consent to publication of any information in my contribution in whole or in part (which may include quotes or opinions I express) provided that this is done anonymously. I declare that nothing within my response is unlawful or would infringe the rights of any third party in a manner that would prevent

Yes, some of them

Unable to estimate

I do not know

Yes, to a significant extent

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID number here

6. Please choose from one of the following options on the use of your contribution:

1. Are the products in your sector(s) affected by non-compliance with product requirements laid down in EU harmonisation legislation?

2. What is the approximate proportion of non-compliant products for your sector (product volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-compliance negatively affect consumers and other end-users in your sector?

4. Do businesses complying with legal obligations experience negative effects on sales and/or market shares due to the presence of non-compliant products?

My/our contribution can be directly published provided that I/my organisation remain(s) anonymous (I consent to publication of any information in my contribution in whole or in part (which may include quotes or opinions I express) provided that this is done anonymously. I declare that nothing within my response is unlawful or would infringe the rights of any third party in a manner that would prevent

Yes, most of them

Unable to estimate

Yes, to a significant extent

No

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID number here

6. Please choose from one of the following options on the use of your contribution:

1. Are the products in your sector(s) affected by non-compliance with product requirements laid down in EU harmonisation legislation?

2. What is the approximate proportion of non-compliant products for your sector (product volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-compliance negatively affect consumers and other end-users in your sector?

4. Do businesses complying with legal obligations experience negative effects on sales and/or market shares due to the presence of non-compliant products?

My/our contribution can be directly published provided that I/my organisation remain(s) anonymous (I consent to publication of any information in my contribution in whole or in part (which may include quotes or opinions I express) provided that this is done anonymously. I declare that nothing within my response is unlawful or would infringe the rights of any third party in a manner that would prevent

Yes, a few of them

0 to 5%

Yes, to a moderate extent

No

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID number here

6. Please choose from one of the following options on the use of your contribution:

1. Are the products in your sector(s) affected by non-compliance with product requirements laid down in EU harmonisation legislation?

2. What is the approximate proportion of non-compliant products for your sector (product volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-compliance negatively affect consumers and other end-users in your sector?

4. Do businesses complying with legal obligations experience negative effects on sales and/or market shares due to the presence of non-compliant products?

My/our contribution can be directly published provided that I/my organisation remain(s) anonymous (I consent to publication of any information in my contribution in whole or in part (which may include quotes or opinions I express) provided that this is done anonymously. I declare that nothing within my response is unlawful or would infringe the rights of any third party in a manner that would prevent

Yes, most of them

21 to 30%

I do not know

I do not know

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID number here

6. Please choose from one of the following options on the use of your contribution:

1. Are the products in your sector(s) affected by non-compliance with product requirements laid down in EU harmonisation legislation?

2. What is the approximate proportion of non-compliant products for your sector (product volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-compliance negatively affect consumers and other end-users in your sector?

4. Do businesses complying with legal obligations experience negative effects on sales and/or market shares due to the presence of non-compliant products?

My/our contribution can be directly published provided that I/my organisation remain(s) anonymous (I consent to publication of any information in my contribution in whole or in part (which may include quotes or opinions I express) provided that this is done anonymously. I declare that nothing within my response is unlawful or would infringe the rights of any third party in a manner that would prevent

Yes, a few of them

0 to 5%

Yes, to a moderate extent

Yes, to a moderate extent

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID number here

6. Please choose from one of the following options on the use of your contribution:

1. Are the products in your sector(s) affected by non-compliance with product requirements laid down in EU harmonisation legislation?

2. What is the approximate proportion of non-compliant products for your sector (product volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-compliance negatively affect consumers and other end-users in your sector?

4. Do businesses complying with legal obligations experience negative effects on sales and/or market shares due to the presence of non-compliant products?

My/our contribution can be directly published provided that I/my organisation remain(s) anonymous (I consent to publication of any information in my contribution in whole or in part (which may include quotes or opinions I express) provided that this is done anonymously. I declare that nothing within my response is unlawful or would infringe the rights of any third party in a manner that would prevent

I do not know

Unable to estimate

I do not know

I do not know

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID number here

6. Please choose from one of the following options on the use of your contribution:

1. Are the products in your sector(s) affected by non-compliance with product requirements laid down in EU harmonisation legislation?

2. What is the approximate proportion of non-compliant products for your sector (product volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-compliance negatively affect consumers and other end-users in your sector?

4. Do businesses complying with legal obligations experience negative effects on sales and/or market shares due to the presence of non-compliant products?

My/our contribution can be directly published provided that I/my organisation remain(s) anonymous (I consent to publication of any information in my contribution in whole or in part (which may include quotes or opinions I express) provided that this is done anonymously. I declare that nothing within my response is unlawful or would infringe the rights of any third party in a manner that would prevent

Yes, some of them

Unable to estimate

Yes, to a moderate extent

Yes, to a moderate extent

5. For registered organisations: indicate your Register ID number here

6. Please choose from one of the following options on the use of your contribution:

1. Are the products in your sector(s) affected by non-compliance with product requirements laid down in EU harmonisation legislation?

2. What is the approximate proportion of non-compliant products for your sector (product volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-compliance negatively affect consumers and other end-users in your sector?

4. Do businesses complying with legal obligations experience negative effects on sales and/or market shares due to the presence of non-compliant products?

My/our contribution can be directly published provided that I/my organisation remain(s) anonymous (I consent to publication of any information in my contribution in whole or in part (which may include quotes or opinions I express) provided that this is done anonymously. I declare that nothing within my response is unlawful or would infringe the rights of any third party in a manner that would prevent

Yes, some of them

31 to 40%

Yes, to a moderate extent

Yes, to a significant extent

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID number here

6. Please choose from one of the following options on the use of your contribution:

1. Are the products in your sector(s) affected by non-compliance with product requirements laid down in EU harmonisation legislation?

2. What is the approximate proportion of non-compliant products for your sector (product volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-compliance negatively affect consumers and other end-users in your sector?

4. Do businesses complying with legal obligations experience negative effects on sales and/or market shares due to the presence of non-compliant products?

My/our contribution can be directly published provided that I/my organisation remain(s) anonymous (I consent to publication of any information in my contribution in whole or in part (which may include quotes or opinions I express) provided that this is done anonymously. I declare that nothing within my response is unlawful or would infringe the rights of any third party in a manner that would prevent

Yes, some of them

41 to 50%

Yes, to a significant extent

Yes, to a significant extent

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID number here

6. Please choose from one of the following options on the use of your contribution:

1. Are the products in your sector(s) affected by non-compliance with product requirements laid down in EU harmonisation legislation?

2. What is the approximate proportion of non-compliant products for your sector (product volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-compliance negatively affect consumers and other end-users in your sector?

4. Do businesses complying with legal obligations experience negative effects on sales and/or market shares due to the presence of non-compliant products?

My/our contribution can be directly published provided that I/my organisation remain(s) anonymous (I consent to publication of any information in my contribution in whole or in part (which may include quotes or opinions I express) provided that this is done anonymously. I declare that nothing within my response is unlawful or would infringe the rights of any third party in a manner that would prevent

Yes, some of them

6 to 10%

Yes, to a moderate extent

I do not know

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID number here

6. Please choose from one of the following options on the use of your contribution:

1. Are the products in your sector(s) affected by non-compliance with product requirements laid down in EU harmonisation legislation?

2. What is the approximate proportion of non-compliant products for your sector (product volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-compliance negatively affect consumers and other end-users in your sector?

4. Do businesses complying with legal obligations experience negative effects on sales and/or market shares due to the presence of non-compliant products?

My/our contribution can be directly published provided that I/my organisation remain(s) anonymous (I consent to publication of any information in my contribution in whole or in part (which may include quotes or opinions I express) provided that this is done anonymously. I declare that nothing within my response is unlawful or would infringe the rights of any third party in a manner that would prevent

Yes, some of them

Unable to estimate

Yes, to a moderate extent

Yes, to a moderate extent

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID number here

6. Please choose from one of the following options on the use of your contribution:

1. Are the products in your sector(s) affected by non-compliance with product requirements laid down in EU harmonisation legislation?

2. What is the approximate proportion of non-compliant products for your sector (product volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-compliance negatively affect consumers and other end-users in your sector?

4. Do businesses complying with legal obligations experience negative effects on sales and/or market shares due to the presence of non-compliant products?

My/our contribution can be directly published provided that I/my organisation remain(s) anonymous (I consent to publication of any information in my contribution in whole or in part (which may include quotes or opinions I express) provided that this is done anonymously. I declare that nothing within my response is unlawful or would infringe the rights of any third party in a manner that would prevent

Yes, most of them

31 to 40%

Yes, to a moderate extent

Yes, to a moderate extent

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID number here

6. Please choose from one of the following options on the use of your contribution:

1. Are the products in your sector(s) affected by non-compliance with product requirements laid down in EU harmonisation legislation?

2. What is the approximate proportion of non-compliant products for your sector (product volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-compliance negatively affect consumers and other end-users in your sector?

4. Do businesses complying with legal obligations experience negative effects on sales and/or market shares due to the presence of non-compliant products?

My/our contribution can be directly published provided that I/my organisation remain(s) anonymous (I consent to publication of any information in my contribution in whole or in part (which may include quotes or opinions I express) provided that this is done anonymously. I declare that nothing within my response is unlawful or would infringe the rights of any third party in a manner that would prevent

Yes, most of them

0 to 5%

I do not know

Yes, to a moderate extent

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID number here

6. Please choose from one of the following options on the use of your contribution:

1. Are the products in your sector(s) affected by non-compliance with product requirements laid down in EU harmonisation legislation?

2. What is the approximate proportion of non-compliant products for your sector (product volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-compliance negatively affect consumers and other end-users in your sector?

4. Do businesses complying with legal obligations experience negative effects on sales and/or market shares due to the presence of non-compliant products?

My/our contribution can be directly published provided that I/my organisation remain(s) anonymous (I consent to publication of any information in my contribution in whole or in part (which may include quotes or opinions I express) provided that this is done anonymously. I declare that nothing within my response is unlawful or would infringe the rights of any third party in a manner that would prevent

Yes, some of them

6 to 10%

Yes, to a moderate extent

Yes, to a moderate extent

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID number here

6. Please choose from one of the following options on the use of your contribution:

1. Are the products in your sector(s) affected by non-compliance with product requirements laid down in EU harmonisation legislation?

2. What is the approximate proportion of non-compliant products for your sector (product volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-compliance negatively affect consumers and other end-users in your sector?

4. Do businesses complying with legal obligations experience negative effects on sales and/or market shares due to the presence of non-compliant products?

My/our contribution can be directly published provided that I/my organisation remain(s) anonymous (I consent to publication of any information in my contribution in whole or in part (which may include quotes or opinions I express) provided that this is done anonymously. I declare that nothing within my response is unlawful or would infringe the rights of any third party in a manner that would prevent

Yes, some of them

0 to 5%

Yes, to a moderate extent

Yes, to a moderate extent

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID number here

6. Please choose from one of the following options on the use of your contribution:

1. Are the products in your sector(s) affected by non-compliance with product requirements laid down in EU harmonisation legislation?

2. What is the approximate proportion of non-compliant products for your sector (product volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-compliance negatively affect consumers and other end-users in your sector?

4. Do businesses complying with legal obligations experience negative effects on sales and/or market shares due to the presence of non-compliant products?

My/our contribution can be directly published provided that I/my organisation remain(s) anonymous (I consent to publication of any information in my contribution in whole or in part (which may include quotes or opinions I express) provided that this is done anonymously. I declare that nothing within my response is unlawful or would infringe the rights of any third party in a manner that would prevent

No

0 to 5%

No

No

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID number here

6. Please choose from one of the following options on the use of your contribution:

1. Are the products in your sector(s) affected by non-compliance with product requirements laid down in EU harmonisation legislation?

2. What is the approximate proportion of non-compliant products for your sector (product volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-compliance negatively affect consumers and other end-users in your sector?

4. Do businesses complying with legal obligations experience negative effects on sales and/or market shares due to the presence of non-compliant products?

My/our contribution can be directly published provided that I/my organisation remain(s) anonymous (I consent to publication of any information in my contribution in whole or in part (which may include quotes or opinions I express) provided that this is done anonymously. I declare that nothing within my response is unlawful or would infringe the rights of any third party in a manner that would prevent

Yes, most of them

More than 50%

Yes, to a moderate extent

Yes, to a significant extent

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID number here

6. Please choose from one of the following options on the use of your contribution:

1. Are the products in your sector(s) affected by non-compliance with product requirements laid down in EU harmonisation legislation?

2. What is the approximate proportion of non-compliant products for your sector (product volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-compliance negatively affect consumers and other end-users in your sector?

4. Do businesses complying with legal obligations experience negative effects on sales and/or market shares due to the presence of non-compliant products?

My/our contribution can be directly published provided that I/my organisation remain(s) anonymous (I consent to publication of any information in my contribution in whole or in part (which may include quotes or opinions I express) provided that this is done anonymously. I declare that nothing within my response is unlawful or would infringe the rights of any third party in a manner that would prevent

I do not know

Unable to estimate

I do not know

Yes, to a moderate extent

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID number here

6. Please choose from one of the following options on the use of your contribution:

1. Are the products in your sector(s) affected by non-compliance with product requirements laid down in EU harmonisation legislation?

2. What is the approximate proportion of non-compliant products for your sector (product volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-compliance negatively affect consumers and other end-users in your sector?

4. Do businesses complying with legal obligations experience negative effects on sales and/or market shares due to the presence of non-compliant products?

My/our contribution can be directly published provided that I/my organisation remain(s) anonymous (I consent to publication of any information in my contribution in whole or in part (which may include quotes or opinions I express) provided that this is done anonymously. I declare that nothing within my response is unlawful or would infringe the rights of any third party in a manner that would prevent

Yes, some of them

11 to 20%

Yes, to a significant extent

Yes, to a moderate extent

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID number here

6. Please choose from one of the following options on the use of your contribution:

1. Are the products in your sector(s) affected by non-compliance with product requirements laid down in EU harmonisation legislation?

2. What is the approximate proportion of non-compliant products for your sector (product volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-compliance negatively affect consumers and other end-users in your sector?

4. Do businesses complying with legal obligations experience negative effects on sales and/or market shares due to the presence of non-compliant products?

My/our contribution can be directly published provided that I/my organisation remain(s) anonymous (I consent to publication of any information in my contribution in whole or in part (which may include quotes or opinions I express) provided that this is done anonymously. I declare that nothing within my response is unlawful or would infringe the rights of any third party in a manner that would prevent

Yes, a few of them

0 to 5%

No

Yes, to a moderate extent

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID number here

6. Please choose from one of the following options on the use of your contribution:

1. Are the products in your sector(s) affected by non-compliance with product requirements laid down in EU harmonisation legislation?

2. What is the approximate proportion of non-compliant products for your sector (product volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-compliance negatively affect consumers and other end-users in your sector?

4. Do businesses complying with legal obligations experience negative effects on sales and/or market shares due to the presence of non-compliant products?

My/our contribution can be directly published provided that I/my organisation remain(s) anonymous (I consent to publication of any information in my contribution in whole or in part (which may include quotes or opinions I express) provided that this is done anonymously. I declare that nothing within my response is unlawful or would infringe the rights of any third party in a manner that would prevent

Yes, some of them

More than 50%

Yes, to a significant extent

Yes, to a significant extent

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID number here

6. Please choose from one of the following options on the use of your contribution:

1. Are the products in your sector(s) affected by non-compliance with product requirements laid down in EU harmonisation legislation?

2. What is the approximate proportion of non-compliant products for your sector (product volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-compliance negatively affect consumers and other end-users in your sector?

4. Do businesses complying with legal obligations experience negative effects on sales and/or market shares due to the presence of non-compliant products?

My/our contribution can be directly published provided that I/my organisation remain(s) anonymous (I consent to publication of any information in my contribution in whole or in part (which may include quotes or opinions I express) provided that this is done anonymously. I declare that nothing within my response is unlawful or would infringe the rights of any third party in a manner that would prevent

Yes, some of them

Unable to estimate

Yes, to a moderate extent

Yes, to a significant extent

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID number here

6. Please choose from one of the following options on the use of your contribution:

1. Are the products in your sector(s) affected by non-compliance with product requirements laid down in EU harmonisation legislation?

2. What is the approximate proportion of non-compliant products for your sector (product volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-compliance negatively affect consumers and other end-users in your sector?

4. Do businesses complying with legal obligations experience negative effects on sales and/or market shares due to the presence of non-compliant products?

My/our contribution can be directly published provided that I/my organisation remain(s) anonymous (I consent to publication of any information in my contribution in whole or in part (which may include quotes or opinions I express) provided that this is done anonymously. I declare that nothing within my response is unlawful or would infringe the rights of any third party in a manner that would prevent

Yes, some of them

Unable to estimate

Yes, to a moderate extent

I do not know

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID number here

6. Please choose from one of the following options on the use of your contribution:

1. Are the products in your sector(s) affected by non-compliance with product requirements laid down in EU harmonisation legislation?

2. What is the approximate proportion of non-compliant products for your sector (product volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-compliance negatively affect consumers and other end-users in your sector?

4. Do businesses complying with legal obligations experience negative effects on sales and/or market shares due to the presence of non-compliant products?

My/our contribution can be directly published provided that I/my organisation remain(s) anonymous (I consent to publication of any information in my contribution in whole or in part (which may include quotes or opinions I express) provided that this is done anonymously. I declare that nothing within my response is unlawful or would infringe the rights of any third party in a manner that would prevent

Yes, a few of them

Unable to estimate

Yes, to a moderate extent

Yes, to a moderate extent

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID number here

6. Please choose from one of the following options on the use of your contribution:

1. Are the products in your sector(s) affected by non-compliance with product requirements laid down in EU harmonisation legislation?

2. What is the approximate proportion of non-compliant products for your sector (product volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-compliance negatively affect consumers and other end-users in your sector?

4. Do businesses complying with legal obligations experience negative effects on sales and/or market shares due to the presence of non-compliant products?

My/our contribution can be directly published provided that I/my organisation remain(s) anonymous (I consent to publication of any information in my contribution in whole or in part (which may include quotes or opinions I express) provided that this is done anonymously. I declare that nothing within my response is unlawful or would infringe the rights of any third party in a manner that would prevent publication of my contribution.

Yes, a few of them

Unable to estimate

No

I do not know

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID number here

6. Please choose from one of the following options on the use of your contribution:

1. Are the products in your sector(s) affected by non-compliance with product requirements laid down in EU harmonisation legislation?

2. What is the approximate proportion of non-compliant products for your sector (product volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-compliance negatively affect consumers and other end-users in your sector?

4. Do businesses complying with legal obligations experience negative effects on sales and/or market shares due to the presence of non-compliant products?

My/our contribution can be directly published provided that I/my organisation remain(s) anonymous (I consent to publication of any information in my contribution in whole or in part (which may include quotes or opinions I express) provided that this is done anonymously. I declare that nothing within my response is unlawful or would infringe the rights of any third party in a manner that would prevent

Yes, some of them

Unable to estimate

I do not know

I do not know

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID number here

6. Please choose from one of the following options on the use of your contribution:

1. Are the products in your sector(s) affected by non-compliance with product requirements laid down in EU harmonisation legislation?

2. What is the approximate proportion of non-compliant products for your sector (product volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-compliance negatively affect consumers and other end-users in your sector?

4. Do businesses complying with legal obligations experience negative effects on sales and/or market shares due to the presence of non-compliant products?

My/our contribution can be directly published provided that I/my organisation remain(s) anonymous (I consent to publication of any information in my contribution in whole or in part (which may include quotes or opinions I express) provided that this is done anonymously. I declare that nothing within my response is unlawful or would infringe the rights of any third party in a manner that would prevent

Yes, most of them

Unable to estimate

Yes, to a significant extent

Yes, to a significant extent

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID number here

6. Please choose from one of the following options on the use of your contribution:

1. Are the products in your sector(s) affected by non-compliance with product requirements laid down in EU harmonisation legislation?

2. What is the approximate proportion of non-compliant products for your sector (product volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-compliance negatively affect consumers and other end-users in your sector?

4. Do businesses complying with legal obligations experience negative effects on sales and/or market shares due to the presence of non-compliant products?

My/our contribution can be directly published provided that I/my organisation remain(s) anonymous (I consent to publication of any information in my contribution in whole or in part (which may include quotes or opinions I express) provided that this is done anonymously. I declare that nothing within my response is unlawful or would infringe the rights of any third party in a manner that would prevent

Yes, most of them

11 to 20%

Yes, to a significant extent

I do not know

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID number here

6. Please choose from one of the following options on the use of your contribution:

1. Are the products in your sector(s) affected by non-compliance with product requirements laid down in EU harmonisation legislation?

2. What is the approximate proportion of non-compliant products for your sector (product volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-compliance negatively affect consumers and other end-users in your sector?

4. Do businesses complying with legal obligations experience negative effects on sales and/or market shares due to the presence of non-compliant products?

My/our contribution can be directly published provided that I/my organisation remain(s) anonymous (I consent to publication of any information in my contribution in whole or in part (which may include quotes or opinions I express) provided that this is done anonymously. I declare that nothing within my response is unlawful or would infringe the rights of any third party in a manner that would prevent

Yes, some of them

11 to 20%

Yes, to a significant extent

Yes, to a moderate extent

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID number here

6. Please choose from one of the following options on the use of your contribution:

1. Are the products in your sector(s) affected by non-compliance with product requirements laid down in EU harmonisation legislation?

2. What is the approximate proportion of non-compliant products for your sector (product volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-compliance negatively affect consumers and other end-users in your sector?

4. Do businesses complying with legal obligations experience negative effects on sales and/or market shares due to the presence of non-compliant products?

My/our contribution can be directly published provided that I/my organisation remain(s) anonymous (I consent to publication of any information in my contribution in whole or in part (which may include quotes or opinions I express) provided that this is done anonymously. I declare that nothing within my response is unlawful or would infringe the rights of any third party in a manner that would prevent

Yes, most of them

Unable to estimate

Yes, to a significant extent

Yes, to a significant extent

5. For registered organisations: indicate your Register ID number here

6. Please choose from one of the following options on the use of your contribution:

1. Are the products in your sector(s) affected by non-compliance with product requirements laid down in EU harmonisation legislation?

2. What is the approximate proportion of non-compliant products for your sector (product volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-compliance negatively affect consumers and other end-users in your sector?

4. Do businesses complying with legal obligations experience negative effects on sales and/or market shares due to the presence of non-compliant products?

My/our contribution can be directly published provided that I/my organisation remain(s) anonymous (I consent to publication of any information in my contribution in whole or in part (which may include quotes or opinions I express) provided that this is done anonymously. I declare that nothing within my response is unlawful or would infringe the rights of any third party in a manner that would prevent

I do not know

Unable to estimate

No

No

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID number here

6. Please choose from one of the following options on the use of your contribution:

1. Are the products in your sector(s) affected by non-compliance with product requirements laid down in EU harmonisation legislation?

2. What is the approximate proportion of non-compliant products for your sector (product volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-compliance negatively affect consumers and other end-users in your sector?

4. Do businesses complying with legal obligations experience negative effects on sales and/or market shares due to the presence of non-compliant products?

My/our contribution can be directly published provided that I/my organisation remain(s) anonymous (I consent to publication of any information in my contribution in whole or in part (which may include quotes or opinions I express) provided that this is done anonymously. I declare that nothing within my response is unlawful or would infringe the rights of any third party in a manner that would prevent

No

0 to 5%

I do not know

I do not know

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID number here

6. Please choose from one of the following options on the use of your contribution:

1. Are the products in your sector(s) affected by non-compliance with product requirements laid down in EU harmonisation legislation?

2. What is the approximate proportion of non-compliant products for your sector (product volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-compliance negatively affect consumers and other end-users in your sector?

4. Do businesses complying with legal obligations experience negative effects on sales and/or market shares due to the presence of non-compliant products?

My/our contribution can be directly published provided that I/my organisation remain(s) anonymous (I consent to publication of any information in my contribution in whole or in part (which may include quotes or opinions I express) provided that this is done anonymously. I declare that nothing within my response is unlawful or would infringe the rights of any third party in a manner that would prevent

Yes, a few of them

Unable to estimate

Yes, to a moderate extent

Yes, to a moderate extent

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID number here

6. Please choose from one of the following options on the use of your contribution:

1. Are the products in your sector(s) affected by non-compliance with product requirements laid down in EU harmonisation legislation?

2. What is the approximate proportion of non-compliant products for your sector (product volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-compliance negatively affect consumers and other end-users in your sector?

4. Do businesses complying with legal obligations experience negative effects on sales and/or market shares due to the presence of non-compliant products?

My/our contribution can be directly published provided that I/my organisation remain(s) anonymous (I consent to publication of any information in my contribution in whole or in part (which may include quotes or opinions I express) provided that this is done anonymously. I declare that nothing within my response is unlawful or would infringe the rights of any third party in a manner that would prevent

Yes, most of them

6 to 10%

Yes, to a moderate extent

I do not know

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID number here

6. Please choose from one of the following options on the use of your contribution:

1. Are the products in your sector(s) affected by non-compliance with product requirements laid down in EU harmonisation legislation?

2. What is the approximate proportion of non-compliant products for your sector (product volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-compliance negatively affect consumers and other end-users in your sector?

4. Do businesses complying with legal obligations experience negative effects on sales and/or market shares due to the presence of non-compliant products?

My/our contribution can be directly published provided that I/my organisation remain(s) anonymous (I consent to publication of any information in my contribution in whole or in part (which may include quotes or opinions I express) provided that this is done anonymously. I declare that nothing within my response is unlawful or would infringe the rights of any third party in a manner that would prevent

Yes, some of them

0 to 5%

Yes, to a moderate extent

I do not know

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID number here

6. Please choose from one of the following options on the use of your contribution:

1. Are the products in your sector(s) affected by non-compliance with product requirements laid down in EU harmonisation legislation?

2. What is the approximate proportion of non-compliant products for your sector (product volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-compliance negatively affect consumers and other end-users in your sector?

4. Do businesses complying with legal obligations experience negative effects on sales and/or market shares due to the presence of non-compliant products?

My/our contribution can be directly published provided that I/my organisation remain(s) anonymous (I consent to publication of any information in my contribution in whole or in part (which may include quotes or opinions I express) provided that this is done anonymously. I declare that nothing within my response is unlawful or would infringe the rights of any third party in a manner that would prevent

Yes, some of them

21 to 30%

Yes, to a moderate extent

Yes, to a moderate extent

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID number here

6. Please choose from one of the following options on the use of your contribution:

1. Are the products in your sector(s) affected by non-compliance with product requirements laid down in EU harmonisation legislation?

2. What is the approximate proportion of non-compliant products for your sector (product volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-compliance negatively affect consumers and other end-users in your sector?

4. Do businesses complying with legal obligations experience negative effects on sales and/or market shares due to the presence of non-compliant products?

My/our contribution can be directly published provided that I/my organisation remain(s) anonymous (I consent to publication of any information in my contribution in whole or in part (which may include quotes or opinions I express) provided that this is done anonymously. I declare that nothing within my response is unlawful or would infringe the rights of any third party in a manner that would prevent

I do not know

Unable to estimate

I do not know

Yes, to a moderate extent

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID number here

6. Please choose from one of the following options on the use of your contribution:

1. Are the products in your sector(s) affected by non-compliance with product requirements laid down in EU harmonisation legislation?

2. What is the approximate proportion of non-compliant products for your sector (product volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-compliance negatively affect consumers and other end-users in your sector?

4. Do businesses complying with legal obligations experience negative effects on sales and/or market shares due to the presence of non-compliant products?

My/our contribution can be directly published provided that I/my organisation remain(s) anonymous (I consent to publication of any information in my contribution in whole or in part (which may include quotes or opinions I express) provided that this is done anonymously. I declare that nothing within my response is unlawful or would infringe the rights of any third party in a manner that would prevent

Yes, some of them

Unable to estimate

Yes, to a moderate extent

Yes, to a moderate extent

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID number here

6. Please choose from one of the following options on the use of your contribution:

1. Are the products in your sector(s) affected by non-compliance with product requirements laid down in EU harmonisation legislation?

2. What is the approximate proportion of non-compliant products for your sector (product volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-compliance negatively affect consumers and other end-users in your sector?

4. Do businesses complying with legal obligations experience negative effects on sales and/or market shares due to the presence of non-compliant products?

My/our contribution can be directly published provided that I/my organisation remain(s) anonymous (I consent to publication of any information in my contribution in whole or in part (which may include quotes or opinions I express) provided that this is done anonymously. I declare that nothing within my response is unlawful or would infringe the rights of any third party in a manner that would prevent

I do not know

Unable to estimate

I do not know

I do not know

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID number here

6. Please choose from one of the following options on the use of your contribution:

1. Are the products in your sector(s) affected by non-compliance with product requirements laid down in EU harmonisation legislation?

2. What is the approximate proportion of non-compliant products for your sector (product volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-compliance negatively affect consumers and other end-users in your sector?

4. Do businesses complying with legal obligations experience negative effects on sales and/or market shares due to the presence of non-compliant products?

My/our contribution can be directly published provided that I/my organisation remain(s) anonymous (I consent to publication of any information in my contribution in whole or in part (which may include quotes or opinions I express) provided that this is done anonymously. I declare that nothing within my response is unlawful or would infringe the rights of any third party in a manner that would prevent

No

Unable to estimate

No

No

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID number here

6. Please choose from one of the following options on the use of your contribution:

1. Are the products in your sector(s) affected by non-compliance with product requirements laid down in EU harmonisation legislation?

2. What is the approximate proportion of non-compliant products for your sector (product volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-compliance negatively affect consumers and other end-users in your sector?

4. Do businesses complying with legal obligations experience negative effects on sales and/or market shares due to the presence of non-compliant products?

My/our contribution can be directly published provided that I/my organisation remain(s) anonymous (I consent to publication of any information in my contribution in whole or in part (which may include quotes or opinions I express) provided that this is done anonymously. I declare that nothing within my response is unlawful or would infringe the rights of any third party in a manner that would prevent

Yes, some of them

Unable to estimate

No

No

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID number here

6. Please choose from one of the following options on the use of your contribution:

1. Are the products in your sector(s) affected by non-compliance with product requirements laid down in EU harmonisation legislation?

2. What is the approximate proportion of non-compliant products for your sector (product volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-compliance negatively affect consumers and other end-users in your sector?

4. Do businesses complying with legal obligations experience negative effects on sales and/or market shares due to the presence of non-compliant products?

My/our contribution can be directly published provided that I/my organisation remain(s) anonymous (I consent to publication of any information in my contribution in whole or in part (which may include quotes or opinions I express) provided that this is done anonymously. I declare that nothing within my response is unlawful or would infringe the rights of any third party in a manner that would prevent

Yes, a few of them

0 to 5%

Yes, to a moderate extent

I do not know

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID
number here

6. Please choose from one
of the following options on
the use of your
contribution:

1. Are the products in your
sector(s) affected by non-
compliance with product
requirements laid down in
EU harmonisation
legislation?

2. What is the approximate
proportion of non-
compliant products for
your sector (product
volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-
compliance negatively
affect consumers and
other end-users in your
sector?

4. Do businesses
complying with legal
obligations experience
negative effects on sales
and/or market shares due
to the presence of non-
compliant products?

My/our contribution can be
directly published provided
that I/my organisation
remain(s) anonymous (I
consent to publication of any
information in my contribution
in whole or in part (which
may include quotes or
opinions I express) provided
that this is done
anonymously. I declare that
nothing within my response is
unlawful or would infringe the
rights of any third party in a
manner that would prevent

Yes, some of them

Unable to estimate

Yes, to a significant extent

Yes, to a significant extent

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID number here

6. Please choose from one of the following options on the use of your contribution:

1. Are the products in your sector(s) affected by non-compliance with product requirements laid down in EU harmonisation legislation?

2. What is the approximate proportion of non-compliant products for your sector (product volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-compliance negatively affect consumers and other end-users in your sector?

4. Do businesses complying with legal obligations experience negative effects on sales and/or market shares due to the presence of non-compliant products?

My/our contribution can be directly published provided that I/my organisation remain(s) anonymous (I consent to publication of any information in my contribution in whole or in part (which may include quotes or opinions I express) provided that this is done anonymously. I declare that nothing within my response is unlawful or would infringe the rights of any third party in a manner that would prevent

Yes, some of them

Unable to estimate

Yes, to a moderate extent

Yes, to a moderate extent

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID number here

6. Please choose from one of the following options on the use of your contribution:

1. Are the products in your sector(s) affected by non-compliance with product requirements laid down in EU harmonisation legislation?

2. What is the approximate proportion of non-compliant products for your sector (product volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-compliance negatively affect consumers and other end-users in your sector?

4. Do businesses complying with legal obligations experience negative effects on sales and/or market shares due to the presence of non-compliant products?

My/our contribution can be directly published provided that I/my organisation remain(s) anonymous (I consent to publication of any information in my contribution in whole or in part (which may include quotes or opinions I express) provided that this is done anonymously. I declare that nothing within my response is unlawful or would infringe the rights of any third party in a manner that would prevent

I do not know

Unable to estimate

Yes, to a moderate extent

Yes, to a moderate extent

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID number here

6. Please choose from one of the following options on the use of your contribution:

1. Are the products in your sector(s) affected by non-compliance with product requirements laid down in EU harmonisation legislation?

2. What is the approximate proportion of non-compliant products for your sector (product volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-compliance negatively affect consumers and other end-users in your sector?

4. Do businesses complying with legal obligations experience negative effects on sales and/or market shares due to the presence of non-compliant products?

My/our contribution can be directly published provided that I/my organisation remain(s) anonymous (I consent to publication of any information in my contribution in whole or in part (which may include quotes or opinions I express) provided that this is done anonymously. I declare that nothing within my response is unlawful or would infringe the rights of any third party in a manner that would prevent

Yes, some of them

Unable to estimate

Yes, to a moderate extent

Yes, to a moderate extent

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID number here

6. Please choose from one of the following options on the use of your contribution:

1. Are the products in your sector(s) affected by non-compliance with product requirements laid down in EU harmonisation legislation?

2. What is the approximate proportion of non-compliant products for your sector (product volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-compliance negatively affect consumers and other end-users in your sector?

4. Do businesses complying with legal obligations experience negative effects on sales and/or market shares due to the presence of non-compliant products?

My/our contribution can be directly published provided that I/my organisation remain(s) anonymous (I consent to publication of any information in my contribution in whole or in part (which may include quotes or opinions I express) provided that this is done anonymously. I declare that nothing within my response is unlawful or would infringe the rights of any third party in a manner that would prevent

Yes, some of them

6 to 10%

Yes, to a moderate extent

Yes, to a moderate extent

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID number here

6. Please choose from one of the following options on the use of your contribution:

1. Are the products in your sector(s) affected by non-compliance with product requirements laid down in EU harmonisation legislation?

2. What is the approximate proportion of non-compliant products for your sector (product volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-compliance negatively affect consumers and other end-users in your sector?

4. Do businesses complying with legal obligations experience negative effects on sales and/or market shares due to the presence of non-compliant products?

My/our contribution can be directly published provided that I/my organisation remain(s) anonymous (I consent to publication of any information in my contribution in whole or in part (which may include quotes or opinions I express) provided that this is done anonymously. I declare that nothing within my response is unlawful or would infringe the rights of any third party in a manner that would prevent publication of my contribution.

Yes, most of them

Unable to estimate

Yes, to a moderate extent

Yes, to a significant extent

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID number here

6. Please choose from one of the following options on the use of your contribution:

1. Are the products in your sector(s) affected by non-compliance with product requirements laid down in EU harmonisation legislation?

2. What is the approximate proportion of non-compliant products for your sector (product volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-compliance negatively affect consumers and other end-users in your sector?

4. Do businesses complying with legal obligations experience negative effects on sales and/or market shares due to the presence of non-compliant products?

My/our contribution can be directly published provided that I/my organisation remain(s) anonymous (I consent to publication of any information in my contribution in whole or in part (which may include quotes or opinions I express) provided that this is done anonymously. I declare that nothing within my response is unlawful or would infringe the rights of any third party in a manner that would prevent

Yes, most of them

More than 50%

Yes, to a significant extent

Yes, to a significant extent

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID number here

6. Please choose from one of the following options on the use of your contribution:

1. Are the products in your sector(s) affected by non-compliance with product requirements laid down in EU harmonisation legislation?

2. What is the approximate proportion of non-compliant products for your sector (product volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-compliance negatively affect consumers and other end-users in your sector?

4. Do businesses complying with legal obligations experience negative effects on sales and/or market shares due to the presence of non-compliant products?

My/our contribution can be directly published provided that I/my organisation remain(s) anonymous (I consent to publication of any information in my contribution in whole or in part (which may include quotes or opinions I express) provided that this is done anonymously. I declare that nothing within my response is unlawful or would infringe the rights of any third party in a manner that would prevent

Yes, some of them

Unable to estimate

Yes, to a significant extent

Yes, to a moderate extent

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID number here

6. Please choose from one of the following options on the use of your contribution:

1. Are the products in your sector(s) affected by non-compliance with product requirements laid down in EU harmonisation legislation?

2. What is the approximate proportion of non-compliant products for your sector (product volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-compliance negatively affect consumers and other end-users in your sector?

4. Do businesses complying with legal obligations experience negative effects on sales and/or market shares due to the presence of non-compliant products?

My/our contribution can be directly published provided that I/my organisation remain(s) anonymous (I consent to publication of any information in my contribution in whole or in part (which may include quotes or opinions I express) provided that this is done anonymously. I declare that nothing within my response is unlawful or would infringe the rights of any third party in a manner that would prevent

Yes, most of them

Unable to estimate

Yes, to a moderate extent

Yes, to a significant extent

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID number here

6. Please choose from one of the following options on the use of your contribution:

1. Are the products in your sector(s) affected by non-compliance with product requirements laid down in EU harmonisation legislation?

2. What is the approximate proportion of non-compliant products for your sector (product volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-compliance negatively affect consumers and other end-users in your sector?

4. Do businesses complying with legal obligations experience negative effects on sales and/or market shares due to the presence of non-compliant products?

My/our contribution can be directly published provided that I/my organisation remain(s) anonymous (I consent to publication of any information in my contribution in whole or in part (which may include quotes or opinions I express) provided that this is done anonymously. I declare that nothing within my response is unlawful or would infringe the rights of any third party in a manner that would prevent

No

Unable to estimate

I do not know

No

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID number here

6. Please choose from one of the following options on the use of your contribution:

1. Are the products in your sector(s) affected by non-compliance with product requirements laid down in EU harmonisation legislation?

2. What is the approximate proportion of non-compliant products for your sector (product volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-compliance negatively affect consumers and other end-users in your sector?

4. Do businesses complying with legal obligations experience negative effects on sales and/or market shares due to the presence of non-compliant products?

My/our contribution can be directly published provided that I/my organisation remain(s) anonymous (I consent to publication of any information in my contribution in whole or in part (which may include quotes or opinions I express) provided that this is done anonymously. I declare that nothing within my response is unlawful or would infringe the rights of any third party in a manner that would prevent publication of my contribution.

No

Unable to estimate

No

Yes, to a significant extent

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID number here

6. Please choose from one of the following options on the use of your contribution:

1. Are the products in your sector(s) affected by non-compliance with product requirements laid down in EU harmonisation legislation?

2. What is the approximate proportion of non-compliant products for your sector (product volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-compliance negatively affect consumers and other end-users in your sector?

4. Do businesses complying with legal obligations experience negative effects on sales and/or market shares due to the presence of non-compliant products?

My/our contribution can be directly published provided that I/my organisation remain(s) anonymous (I consent to publication of any information in my contribution in whole or in part (which may include quotes or opinions I express) provided that this is done anonymously. I declare that nothing within my response is unlawful or would infringe the rights of any third party in a manner that would prevent

Yes, a few of them

0 to 5%

No

No

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID number here

6. Please choose from one of the following options on the use of your contribution:

1. Are the products in your sector(s) affected by non-compliance with product requirements laid down in EU harmonisation legislation?

2. What is the approximate proportion of non-compliant products for your sector (product volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-compliance negatively affect consumers and other end-users in your sector?

4. Do businesses complying with legal obligations experience negative effects on sales and/or market shares due to the presence of non-compliant products?

My/our contribution can be directly published provided that I/my organisation remain(s) anonymous (I consent to publication of any information in my contribution in whole or in part (which may include quotes or opinions I express) provided that this is done anonymously. I declare that nothing within my response is unlawful or would infringe the rights of any third party in a manner that would prevent

Yes, some of them

11 to 20%

Yes, to a moderate extent

Yes, to a moderate extent

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID number here

6. Please choose from one of the following options on the use of your contribution:

1. Are the products in your sector(s) affected by non-compliance with product requirements laid down in EU harmonisation legislation?

2. What is the approximate proportion of non-compliant products for your sector (product volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-compliance negatively affect consumers and other end-users in your sector?

4. Do businesses complying with legal obligations experience negative effects on sales and/or market shares due to the presence of non-compliant products?

My/our contribution can be directly published provided that I/my organisation remain(s) anonymous (I consent to publication of any information in my contribution in whole or in part (which may include quotes or opinions I express) provided that this is done anonymously. I declare that nothing within my response is unlawful or would infringe the rights of any third party in a manner that would prevent

Yes, some of them

Unable to estimate

Yes, to a moderate extent

I do not know

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID number here

6. Please choose from one of the following options on the use of your contribution:

1. Are the products in your sector(s) affected by non-compliance with product requirements laid down in EU harmonisation legislation?

2. What is the approximate proportion of non-compliant products for your sector (product volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-compliance negatively affect consumers and other end-users in your sector?

4. Do businesses complying with legal obligations experience negative effects on sales and/or market shares due to the presence of non-compliant products?

My/our contribution can be directly published provided that I/my organisation remain(s) anonymous (I consent to publication of any information in my contribution in whole or in part (which may include quotes or opinions I express) provided that this is done anonymously. I declare that nothing within my response is unlawful or would infringe the rights of any third party in a manner that would prevent

Yes, most of them

More than 50%

Yes, to a significant extent

Yes, to a significant extent

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID number here

6. Please choose from one of the following options on the use of your contribution:

1. Are the products in your sector(s) affected by non-compliance with product requirements laid down in EU harmonisation legislation?

2. What is the approximate proportion of non-compliant products for your sector (product volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-compliance negatively affect consumers and other end-users in your sector?

4. Do businesses complying with legal obligations experience negative effects on sales and/or market shares due to the presence of non-compliant products?

My/our contribution can be directly published provided that I/my organisation remain(s) anonymous (I consent to publication of any information in my contribution in whole or in part (which may include quotes or opinions I express) provided that this is done anonymously. I declare that nothing within my response is unlawful or would infringe the rights of any third party in a manner that would prevent

Yes, a few of them

0 to 5%

Yes, to a moderate extent

Yes, to a moderate extent

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID number here

6. Please choose from one of the following options on the use of your contribution:

1. Are the products in your sector(s) affected by non-compliance with product requirements laid down in EU harmonisation legislation?

2. What is the approximate proportion of non-compliant products for your sector (product volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-compliance negatively affect consumers and other end-users in your sector?

4. Do businesses complying with legal obligations experience negative effects on sales and/or market shares due to the presence of non-compliant products?

My/our contribution can be directly published provided that I/my organisation remain(s) anonymous (I consent to publication of any information in my contribution in whole or in part (which may include quotes or opinions I express) provided that this is done anonymously. I declare that nothing within my response is unlawful or would infringe the rights of any third party in a manner that would prevent publication of my contribution.

Yes, some of them

Unable to estimate

Yes, to a moderate extent

Yes, to a moderate extent

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID number here

6. Please choose from one of the following options on the use of your contribution:

1. Are the products in your sector(s) affected by non-compliance with product requirements laid down in EU harmonisation legislation?

2. What is the approximate proportion of non-compliant products for your sector (product volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-compliance negatively affect consumers and other end-users in your sector?

4. Do businesses complying with legal obligations experience negative effects on sales and/or market shares due to the presence of non-compliant products?

My/our contribution can be directly published provided that I/my organisation remain(s) anonymous (I consent to publication of any information in my contribution in whole or in part (which may include quotes or opinions I express) provided that this is done anonymously. I declare that nothing within my response is unlawful or would infringe the rights of any third party in a manner that would prevent

Yes, some of them

Unable to estimate

Yes, to a significant extent

Yes, to a moderate extent

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID number here

6. Please choose from one of the following options on the use of your contribution:

1. Are the products in your sector(s) affected by non-compliance with product requirements laid down in EU harmonisation legislation?

2. What is the approximate proportion of non-compliant products for your sector (product volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-compliance negatively affect consumers and other end-users in your sector?

4. Do businesses complying with legal obligations experience negative effects on sales and/or market shares due to the presence of non-compliant products?

My/our contribution can be directly published provided that I/my organisation remain(s) anonymous (I consent to publication of any information in my contribution in whole or in part (which may include quotes or opinions I express) provided that this is done anonymously. I declare that nothing within my response is unlawful or would infringe the rights of any third party in a manner that would prevent

I do not know

Unable to estimate

I do not know

I do not know

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID number here

6. Please choose from one of the following options on the use of your contribution:

1. Are the products in your sector(s) affected by non-compliance with product requirements laid down in EU harmonisation legislation?

2. What is the approximate proportion of non-compliant products for your sector (product volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-compliance negatively affect consumers and other end-users in your sector?

4. Do businesses complying with legal obligations experience negative effects on sales and/or market shares due to the presence of non-compliant products?

My/our contribution can be directly published provided that I/my organisation remain(s) anonymous (I consent to publication of any information in my contribution in whole or in part (which may include quotes or opinions I express) provided that this is done anonymously. I declare that nothing within my response is unlawful or would infringe the rights of any third party in a manner that would prevent

Yes, most of them

Unable to estimate

Yes, to a moderate extent

Yes, to a significant extent

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID number here

6. Please choose from one of the following options on the use of your contribution:

1. Are the products in your sector(s) affected by non-compliance with product requirements laid down in EU harmonisation legislation?

2. What is the approximate proportion of non-compliant products for your sector (product volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-compliance negatively affect consumers and other end-users in your sector?

4. Do businesses complying with legal obligations experience negative effects on sales and/or market shares due to the presence of non-compliant products?

My/our contribution can be directly published provided that I/my organisation remain(s) anonymous (I consent to publication of any information in my contribution in whole or in part (which may include quotes or opinions I express) provided that this is done anonymously. I declare that nothing within my response is unlawful or would infringe the rights of any third party in a manner that would prevent

Yes, a few of them

Unable to estimate

Yes, to a moderate extent

I do not know

5. For registered organisations:
indicate your Register ID number here

6. Please choose from one of the following options on the use of your contribution:

1. Are the products in your sector(s) affected by non-compliance with product requirements laid down in EU harmonisation legislation?

2. What is the approximate proportion of non-compliant products for your sector (product volumes)?

3. Does the problem of non-compliance negatively affect consumers and other end-users in your sector?

4. Do businesses complying with legal obligations experience negative effects on sales and/or market shares due to the presence of non-compliant products?

My/our contribution can be directly published provided that I/my organisation remain(s) anonymous (I consent to publication of any information in my contribution in whole or in part (which may include quotes or opinions I express) provided that this is done anonymously. I declare that nothing within my response is unlawful or would infringe the rights of any third party in a manner that would prevent

Yes, a few of them

Unable to estimate

I do not know

I do not know

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

5

1

4

2

3

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

5

3

2

4

1

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

Unable to estimate

1

4

5

2

3

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

Not applicable

1

4

5

5

1

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

Unable to estimate

1

2

4

3

5

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

5

2

1

4

3

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

0 to 10% of company turnover

2

1

5

4

3

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

Not applicable

3

2

3

2

3

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

Unable to estimate

2

4

4

5

4

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

3

2

1

4

5

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

Not applicable

5

1

1

1

1

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

Not applicable

3

1

4

2

5

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

Unable to estimate

4

2

3

5

1

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

Not applicable

5

1

2

4

3

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

1

4

4

3

2

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

Not applicable

3

4

5

5

5

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

5

1

3

4

2

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

0 to 10% of company turnover

1

3

5

2

4

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

1

3

4

2

5

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

1

3

4

2

5

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

Not applicable

1

3

2

2

4

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

Not applicable

5

5

5

5

5

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

3

1

4

2

4

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

1

3

4

2

5

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

1

3

4

2

5

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

3

2

4

1

4

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

Not applicable

5

5

1

5

1

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

1

2

4

3

5

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

Unable to estimate

3

2

2

3

2

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

Not applicable

1

2

5

3

4

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

1

2

5

4

3

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

Not applicable

1

3

4

2

5

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

Not applicable

1

3

3

2

3

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

Unable to estimate

4

1

5

3

2

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

11 to 20% of company turnover

5

3

4

2

1

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

Unable to estimate

1

3

4

2

5

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

Unable to estimate

4

1

2

3

2

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

1

2

5

3

4

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

0 to 10% of company turnover

1

3

5

2

4

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

0 to 10% of company turnover

1

3

5

2

4

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

11 to 20% of company turnover

5

1

4

3

2

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

Unable to estimate

2

2

3

3

4

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

Unable to estimate

2

2

3

2

2

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

1

5

2

4

3

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

Not applicable

2

2

3

3

3

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

Unable to estimate

1

3

5

3

2

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

Unable to estimate

2

3

5

4

1

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

Not applicable

3

3

3

3

3

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

Not applicable

1

3

3

2

3

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

0 to 10% of company turnover

1

3

5

2

4

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

Not applicable

3

1

4

5

2

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

Not applicable

2

1

5

4

3

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

Not applicable

2

1

3

4

5

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

Not applicable

3

4

2

3

1

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

Unable to estimate

2

3

4

3

2

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

Unable to estimate

1

3

4

3

2

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

Not applicable

1

2

5

3

4

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

Unable to estimate

2

2

3

3

2

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

Not applicable

1

2

5

3

4

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

Not applicable

2

1

3

1

3

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

Unable to estimate

1

3

5

5

5

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

3

2

5

1

4

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

Unable to estimate

1

4

5

3

2

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

1

3

4

2

5

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

Not applicable

5

3

3

3

4

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

Unable to estimate

4

3

4

4

4

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

Unable to estimate

1

2

5

4

3

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

41 to 50% of company turnover

5

1

5

1

1

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

41 to 50% of company turnover

4

5

4

4

5

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

Not applicable

2

2

3

2

1

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

21 to 30% of company turnover

5

1

2

4

2

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

11 to 20% of company turnover

1

2

2

1

2

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

1

3

2

4

5

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost

1

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge

1

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules

3

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness

2

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

5

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

Unable to estimate

1

4

2

3

5

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

21 to 30% of company turnover

2

1

3

5

4

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

Unable to estimate

1

2

2

4

4

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

5

3

1

3

2

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

5

2

4

3

2

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

4

2

3

1

5

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

1

5

4

4

5

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

Unable to estimate

4

3

3

3

2

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

Not applicable

3

4

1

2

5

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

1

3

2

4

5

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost

3

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge

1

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules

3

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness

3

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

4

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

Not applicable

5

5

1

5

5

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

0 to 10% of company turnover

1

3

5

3

5

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

11 to 20% of company turnover

1

3

4

4

3

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

Unable to estimate

2

1

3

4

5

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost

3

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge

3

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules

4

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness

3

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

5

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

Not applicable

1

5

4

3

2

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

0 to 10% of company turnover

2

2

4

3

4

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

Not applicable

3

3

4

4

2

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

Unable to estimate

1

3

2

4

5

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

Not applicable

2

2

3

4

4

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

21 to 30% of company turnover

1

5

2

4

3

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

Not applicable

3

1

3

4

5

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

Unable to estimate

1

3

2

3

3

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

11 to 20% of company turnover

1

5

4

3

2

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

Not applicable

1

2

3

5

4

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

Not applicable

2

2

2

4

1

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

Not applicable

3

5

2

2

2

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

0 to 10% of company turnover

5

1

1

1

2

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

Not applicable

4

3

4

4

4

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

11 to 20% of company turnover

1

5

2

4

3

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

0 to 10% of company turnover

5

4

4

5

4

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

Unable to estimate

3

2

4

5

3

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost

3

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge

3

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules

3

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness

3

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

3

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

Unable to estimate

4

3

3

3

4

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

Not applicable

1

3

3

5

4

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

Not applicable

3

2

4

1

5

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

Unable to estimate

3

1

5

2

4

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost

3

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge

2

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules

3

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness

2

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

3

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

Not applicable

5

5

4

5

4

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

Unable to estimate

2

4

3

5

1

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

Not applicable

5

5

5

5

5

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost

3

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge

1

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules

3

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness

1

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

1

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

Not applicable

5

4

1

4

4

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

1

5

2

3

4

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

Not applicable

4

1

3

2

4

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

Not applicable

2

2

3

3

4

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

Unable to estimate

3

3

4

3

4

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

Not applicable

5

5

5

5

5

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

Unable to estimate

3

4

1

5

5

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

Unable to estimate

1

1

3

2

4

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

Unable to estimate

4

1

2

4

3

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

Unable to estimate

2

2

5

4

5

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

Unable to estimate

1

2

3

5

4

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

Not applicable

1

3

3

2

3

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

0 to 10% of company turnover

1

2

4

3

5

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

31 to 40% of company turnover

1

2

3

4

5

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

More than 50% of company turnover

1

3

5

2

4

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

Unable to estimate

3

1

2

5

4

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

Unable to estimate

1

3

4

2

5

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

Not applicable

1

2

5

4

3

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

Not applicable

2

4

1

4

1

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules
0 to 10% of company turnover	5	4	3	2	1

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

5

2

3

4

1

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

Not applicable

3

3

2

4

4

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness

6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

Unable to estimate

1

2

5

4

4

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

Not applicable

3

3

3

3

3

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

Unable to estimate

5

3

3

4

2

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

Unable to estimate

4

1

2

3

2

5. [Question for businesses only:] What is the approximate loss in sales for your company due to competition from non-compliant products?	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A deliberate choice to exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A lack of knowledge	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): A technical or other type of inability to comply with rules	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Carelessness	6. What is the main reason for product non-compliance in the Single Market? (Please rank from 1 to 5, 1 being the most important reason): Ambiguity in the rules

Unable to estimate

4

1

2

4

1

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

Our own authority have had to focus Resources to other task, from time to time.
MS is not always prioritized

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

see answer B1-7

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

No

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

La carenza di risorse finanziarie incide molto sul numero di controlli effettuati dalla sorveglianza di mercato

No

No

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

I do not know

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

Inspection/enforcement departments in prefectures are not staffed adequately. As a result, they can not put together and execute the number and variety of inspection plans that local market requires. Examples from our sector are a) imported products with no local language legalities on them, b) counterfeit products.

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

No

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

No

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

Mobile machines

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

I do not know

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

I do not know

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

I do not know

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

I do not know

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

I do not know

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

I do not know

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

No

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

Medel saknas för att utföra marknadskontroll på en acceptable nivå då marknadskontroll inte prioriteras.

Yes

Ja, marknadskontroll är inte en prioriterad fråga.

Yes

Vi vet i dagsläget inte om vi kan upphandla den provning som behövs.

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

No

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

Durch den Druck auf öffentliche Haushalte wurde in vielen Behörde über Jahre Personal abgebaut, ohne die Aufgaben entsprechend anzupassen. Es müssen dann Entscheidungen getroffen werden, welche Aufgaben priorisiert werden.

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

Nicht alle Behörden auf den Gebiet der Metrologie verfügen über sämtliche erforderliche Prüfeinrichtungen. Es erfordert dann eine Kooperation zwischen den Behörden auch über nationale Grenzen hinweg.

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

Market surveillance projects in most member states only cover a small range and number of instruments because of cost. Most market surveillance authorities do not have the financial resources to carry out high level market surveillance as it is too expensive

Yes

Insufficient understanding of software issues

No

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

It is often stated in personal correspondence and within meetings and events that national MSAs lack sufficient staff and funding to physically test a suitable number of products entering their market

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

It is often stated in personal correspondence and within meetings and events that national MSAs lack sufficient staff and funding to physically test a suitable number of products entering their market

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

with a large number of product types covered by ecodesign and energy labelling, it is very often the case that no member state has adequate in-house experience and expertise to monitor and test all product categories. Further, member states will often require highly experience and fully certified laboratories to undertake testing in their country. This requires a lab to not only

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

Sommige delen van de geharmoniseerde eisen wordt nooit getoetst op in de markt gezette producten omdat dit te duur is. Er is dan alleen een verklaring overlegt dat deze test bij de type keuring uitgevoerd is.

I do not know

Yes

Sommige weegsystemen in de markt kunnen alleen getoetst worden met speciale hulpmiddelen, de markttoezichtautoriteit beschikt hier niet over.

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

From our member NGO survey, none of the EU MS today have adequate financial resources allocated to independent in-use testing of vehicles on the road. Some will start following the VW scandal, but adequate market surveillance lacked so far.

I do not know

Yes

For example, a considerable number of vehicles are approved (sometimes separately for emissions systems) in Luxembourg. To our knowledge, there is no independent emissions lab in Luxembourg where the authorities would be able to verify compliance of such vehicles with EU emissions legislation independently.

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

No

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

No

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

No

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

Det vil være relevant at teste flere produkter end finansieringen giver mulighed for i dag, men gennemførelse af test er omkostningstungt og testbudgettet er begrænset.

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

Arbejdstilsynet er nødt til at prioritere indberetninger og klager i forhold til graden af risiko. I forhold til at opnå en større effekt af markedsovervågningen vil der kunne gennemføres mere proaktiv markedsovervågning, både i form af informationsindsatser og kontrolindsatser.

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

Arbejdstilsynet kan normalt ikke teste større maskiner som ikke leveres som et samlet produkt, fx autolifte. Arbejdstilsynet har ikke mulighed for at teste sådanne produkter hos brugerne, bortset fra test af helt simple sikkerhedsfunktioner. Arbejdstilsynet er med i EU projektet om kontrol af autolifte, og får i dette projekt mulighed for at teste autolifte for første gang, inden autoliftene leveres til

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

No

Yes

Det finns önskemål från företagen om att marknadskontrollmyndigheten ska göra mycket mer arbete än vad som är möjligt med de personella resurser som finns tillgängliga.

No

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

Die Marktüberwachung benötigt ein gewisses Mindestmass an Personen und Mitteln. Wenn sämtliche Märkte glaubhaft auf Konformität müssen in verschiedenen Departementen erhebliche Personalressourcen und finanziellen Mittel aufgestockt werden, um eine Überwachung sicherzustellen. Das ist jedoch unrealistisch. Im Bereich Food / Non-Food bei

Yes

siehe oben

No

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

Kuna asutusel tuleb teostada järelvalvet paljudes erinevates valdkondades, siis on piiratud majanduslikud ressursid tinginud vajaduse seada prioriteedid nii valdkonniti kui ka valdkonna sees. Rahaliste vahendite täiendav vajadus puudutab eelkõige keemiliste ainete analüüsimetoodikate väljatöötamist ja rakendamist.

Yes

Kuna valdkond on lai ning ettevõtjate hulk suur alates suurte mahtudega ettevõtjatest väga väikeste ettevõtjateni (sh käsitöö), siis turust ülevaate saamine ning just väiksemate ettevõtjate toodeteni jõudmine on üsna inimressursimahukas.

Yes

Teatud juhtudel võib tekkida vajadus näiteks sellisteks keemilisteks ja füüsikalisteks katseteks, mille meetodid ei ole akrediteeritud ning nende katsete vähesuse tõttu puudub selleks ka vajadus. Samas on sellistel juhtudel võimalus pöörduda teiste riikide laborite poole.

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

DGCCRF et Douanes

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

DGCCRF et Douanes

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

Equipement des agents (cf. téléphone portables)

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

No

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

No

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

No

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

Der Markt ist größer als von der Marktüberwachung abdeckbar.
Teilweise sind Untersuchungen so aufwendig und teuer, dass sie aus finanziellen Gründen nicht durchgeführt werden können.

Yes

Der Markt ist größer als von der Marktüberwachung abdeckbar.

Yes

Teilweise sind für bestimmte Untersuchungen keine Methoden vorhanden (Chemikalien)

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

No

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

No

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

No

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

The number of custom officials has decreased from 19 298 in 2005 to 16 662 in 2014 in France (2 700 job cuts in 10 years). The public authorities have nevertheless decided to hire 1 000 custom officials in 2016 and 2017 (530 job creations) and to increase the budget of customs authorities of 45 million euros for this period (source: French customs, Results 2015, DGDDI).

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

The number of custom officials has decreased from 19 298 in 2005 to 16 662 in 2014 in France (2 700 job cuts in 10 years). The public authorities have nevertheless decided to hire 1 000 custom officials in 2016 and 2017 (530 job creations) and to increase the budget of customs authorities of 45 million euros for this period (source: French customs, Results 2015, DGDDI).

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

Due to innovation, the products in our sector are more and more technical that implies a need of new technical means and also a constant training of customs authorities to update their skills concerning existing and new products.

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

No

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

Tur4t7 b8ti daugiau inspektori7 vykdan2i7 rinkos

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

No

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

No

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

Turėtų būti daugiau inspektorių, vykdančių rinkos priežiūrą.

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

No

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

I do not know

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

I do not know

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

I do not know

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

En France, le nombre de contrôleur & fonctionnaires (DGCCRF et Douanes) a diminué, sur les 10 dernières années

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

Comme spécifié au point 7 ci- I do not know dessus, le nombre de contrôleurs a diminué alors que les moyens différents d'approvisionnement augmentent. Avant la distribution classique était bien physique et présente sur le territoire français. Maintenant avec le virtuel via internet, il est impossible de tout maîtriser. La nécessité d'allier les forces est impérative pour combattre le fléau de la vente et assurer

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

Testing budget is very limited. Yes

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Number of market surveillance personnel is diminishing.

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

All tests are made in free market laboratories.

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

I do not know

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

I do not know

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

I do not know

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

No

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

No

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

No

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

As a consumer organization we don't have the resources to be very active in this domain

Yes

As a consumer organization we don't have the resources to be very active in this domain

Yes

As a consumer organization we don't have the resources to be very active in this domain

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

We attend several EC Committees/experts groups (eg: Toys, TCAM, GPSD) where national market surveillance authorities regularly complain about lack of financial, human and technical resources.

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

We attend several EC Committees/experts groups (eg: Toys, TCAM, GPSD) where national market surveillance authorities regularly complain about lack of financial, human and technical resources.

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

We attend several EC Committees/experts groups (eg: Toys, TCAM, GPSD) where national market surveillance authorities regularly complain about lack of financial, human and technical resources.

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

Limited Resources to conduct spot checks and to conduct audits of Companies.

Yes

Limited personell to perform market surveillance campaigns and audits

No

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

In Iceland there is no quantitative rules on how much percentage of for example value of the market for non-good products should be spent on market surveillance to ensure compliance of the products in the market. Such objectives would bring more fairness to market surveillance authorities and give them more stable funding for their activities. Furthermore today there are example of

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

The Agency can not plan any proactive surveillance or campaigns due to lack of resources. Furthermore, here is very often not possible to carry out inspections to go on site when the Agency receives notifications for the products we are responsible for, many examples in the field of toys, playground equipment, PPE for consumers e.g. reflectors for the same reasons. New challenges in order to carry

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

The Agency has no facility for testing and no national funding to buy tests abroad. Furthermore the Agency also would need more specialized computers that would be dedicated for investigation of on-line shops selling illegal products and doing unfair trade practices.

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

No

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

No

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

No

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

I do not know

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

I do not know

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

I do not know

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

No

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

No

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

No

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

The actual costs to test compliance of the larger and complex products in HVACR are a barrier for MSAs to act.

The study "Market surveillance of energy labelling and ecodesign product requirements" does give an insight into testing activities. These reflect financial resources available. Up to now no real market surveillance activities have

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

The study "Market surveillance of energy labelling and ecodesign product requirements" does give an insight into staff resources available.

As no or only very few HVACR products are mentioned, it can be deducted that few human resources are available that possess the technical competence to deal with these products. activities. The

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

MSAs in general, do not have their own testing facilities. They rely on the availability of test laboratories. It is not clear if MSAs formulate accreditation requirements when ordering tests.

Even so testing, in particular for the larger products and within the HVACR sector is expensive. As a result Member States often limit themselves to a verification of

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

No

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

No

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

No

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

National authorities do mention in meetings with the EU institutions that they lack sufficient resources to carry out market surveillance actions, yet there is no actual evidence published so far in that sense.

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

National authorities do mention in meetings with the EU institutions that they lack sufficient resources to carry out market surveillance actions, yet there is no actual evidence published so far in that sense.

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

National authorities do mention in meetings with the EU institutions that they lack sufficient resources to carry out market surveillance actions, yet there is no actual evidence published so far in that sense.

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

No

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

No

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

No

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

Joissakin tilanteissa tuotteen testaus voi olla erittäin kallista.

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

On sektoreita, joihin proaktiivista valvontaa ei voida kohdistaa ollenkaan henkilöstön vähäisyyden vuoksi. Resurssit on suunnattava riskiperusteisesti niihin tuotteisiin, joiden turvallisuusriskit ovat suurimmat.

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

Testausfasiliitteja ei löydy kotimaasta eikä riittävän päteviä testauslaboratorioita löydy Euroopasta.

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

We attended multiple EC Committees/ expert groups (e.g. cosmetics, toys, CSN and GPSD Committee) where national market surveillance authorities regularly complain about a lack of financial, human and technical resources. ANEC and BEUC has also attended information visits to Rotterdam harbor where some concerns have been discussed.

Yes

See reply to Q 7

Yes

See reply to Q 7. We are in particular concerned that market surveillance authorities are missing the means to control new and emerging risks such as linked to nanomaterials.

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

I do not know

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

I do not know

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

I do not know

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

No

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

No

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

No

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

Confidential

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

Confidential

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

Confidential

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

Textiles and clothing products require specific knowledge and expertise. A market surveillance authority needs to have sufficient financial resources to afford hiring experts in textiles.

Yes

Expertise in textiles covers chemicals, safety, manufacturing processes and origin. Recurrent training are needed to adapt to the technological developments in textiles.

Yes

Laboratories and high skilled experts are needed for proper monitoring of textile and clothing products.

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

I do not know

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

I do not know

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

I do not know

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

The Portuguese government is struggling with budget/financial difficulties.

Yes

The Portuguese government is struggling with difficulties regarding competent human resources.

I do not know

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

Det går alltid att göra mer.
Exempelvis vid provning, antal exemplar vad som provas med mera.

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

Vi tvingas idag prioritera bland våra ärenden pga personalbrist.

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

No

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

Manufacturers members of AFME have experienced that market surveillance authorities lacks sufficient financial resources. This is a common problem in most EU Member States. An example of this is the following in France:
the number of custom officials has decreased from 19298 in 2005 to 16662 in 2014 in France (2700 job cuts in 10 years). The public authorities have nevertheless

Yes

The enforcement of product safety legislation is often limited to consumer products, leaving B2B products with extremely poor means of market surveillance. An example of having not enough human resources is the following:
The number of custom officials has decreased from 19298 in 2005 to 16662 in 2014 in France (2700 job cuts in 10 years). The public authorities have nevertheless

Yes

Manufacturers have the experience that market surveillance authorities have a disproportionate focus on administrative paperwork such as the provision of the EU declaration of conformity, instruction for use of the product, etc....and rarely request the technical file or test reports.

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

National Associations are members of CECAPI and they have experienced that market surveillance authorities lacks sufficient financial resources. This is a common problem in most EU Member States. An example of this is the following in France: the number of custom officials has decreased from 19298 in 2005 to 16662 in 2014 in France (2700 job cuts in 10 years). The public authorities

Yes

The enforcement of product safety legislation is often limited to consumer products, leaving B2B products with extremely poor means of market surveillance. An example of having not enough human resources is the following: The number of custom officials has decreased from 19298 in 2005 to 16662 in 2014 in France (2700 job cuts in 10 years). The public authorities have nevertheless decided to

Yes

The National associations have the experience that market surveillance authorities have a disproportionate focus on administrative paperwork such as the provision of the EU declaration of conformity, instruction for use of the product, etc...and rarely request the technical file or test reports.

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

In the UK it is estimated that only 12% of weighing and measuring instruments are inspected. This leaves vast amounts of uncontrolled and un-inspected equipments in the market place

Yes

Market surveillance authorities have very limited resources to carry out inspections. This is particular noticeable in the area of software

No

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

Several MaSu authorities acknowledged repeatedly a lack of sufficient financial and human resources (e.g. during the Blue Guide WS).

Yes

Several MaSu authorities acknowledged repeatedly a lack of sufficient financial and human resources (e.g. during the Blue Guide WS).

Yes

Industry experiences with some authorities a disproportionate focus on formal/administrative, rather than more substantive technical non-compliances.

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

No

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

No

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

No

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

The surveillance of the Energy Labelling Directive is notoriously under- funded and under-staffed. A study by Ecofys of December 2013 reports that the overall budget for all EU Member States is 7 million euros.

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

The surveillance of the Energy Labelling Directive is notoriously under- funded and under-staffed. A study by Ecofys of December 2013 reports that the overall budget for all EU Member States is a handful of full-time equivalent (between 0.5 and 4 per Member State, in the few ones that actually perform market surveillance on this topic).

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

I do not know

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

I do not know

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

I do not know

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

I do not know

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

Some countries participating in the AdCo meetings have little or no resources to carry out market surveillance tasks.

Yes

Some countries only have one person covering market surveillance activities of several directives/regulations.

No

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

I do not know

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

No resource to check Rohs implementation at the moment of launching Rohs

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

However, we see that a sharing of technology is done between the MSAs to enforce for example ecodesign.

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

Being in contact with market surveillance authorities is often subject for debate in the industry. It is also a cause for not joining efforts in further standardisation.

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

Idem B1Q7.

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

Idem B1Q7.

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

There are lacking financial resources to carry out chemical analyses to the extent that would be agreeable.

Yes

Lack of human resources to carry out enforcement.

No

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

The quality of checks by the market surveillance authorities is poor due to the lack of human and financial resources.

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

The quality of checks by the market surveillance authorities is poor due to the lack of human and financial resources.

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

CPR
Market surveillance authorities very often focus on document verification (e.g. Declaration of Performance, CE marking, etc) instead of paying particular attention to the actual performance of construction products. Some ceramic construction products manufacturers have reported that market surveillance authorities lack understanding of the CPR and are still making

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

I do not know

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

I do not know

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

I do not know

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

Based on the communication made by the French customs, results 2015, we know that the number of custom officials has decreased from 19 298 in 2005 to 16 662 in 2014 in France (2 700 job cuts in 10 years). The public authorities have nevertheless decided to hire 1 000 custom officials in 2016 and 2017 (530 job creations) and to increase the budget of customs authorities of 45 million euros for this period.

Yes

Based on the communication made by the French customs, results 2015, we know that the number of custom officials has decreased from 19 298 in 2005 to 16 662 in 2014 in France (2 700 job cuts in 10 years). The public authorities have nevertheless decided to hire 1 000 custom officials in 2016 and 2017 (530 job creations) and to increase the budget of customs authorities of 45 million euros for this period.

Yes

Based on the communication made by the French customs, results 2015, we know that the number of custom officials has decreased from 19 298 in 2005 to 16 662 in 2014 in France (2 700 job cuts in 10 years). The public authorities have nevertheless decided to hire 1 000 custom officials in 2016 and 2017 (530 job creations) and to increase the budget of customs authorities of 45 million euros for this period.

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

I do not know

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

I do not know

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

I do not know

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

in general PPE for professional use are rarely checked by authorities. Reason given is that professional users have knowledge about PPE and thus can judge on their own if product complies. In PPE, the limited resources are used with priority for consumer products. This means often no specific campaigns for professional PPE or only document checks, no testing. However in practice we see

Yes

same reasons as for question 7. Additional is that in several Member States the market surveillance authority is divided between professional and consumer use. In those cases, for the professional use the market surveillance is combined with labour inspection, which is known to lack inspectors in most countries.

there is a big variety of products in the PPE sector. In most cases the market surveillance authorities do not have own testing facilities and even lack the necessary technical knowledge on PPE. As a result, in many cases only documents and markings are checked, not the actual performance of the product.

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

HVACR equipment is difficult and expensive to test, no larger equipment has yet been tested, small AC only. Even within industry it is very time and resource consuming to come to a comparable test result for the same product on different test stands.

In addition, there are instances when products are made to order, which makes it difficult for MSAs to test and/or evaluate compliance

Yes

From conversations with MSAs it became evident that not many member states are able to make the financial investment necessary in order to test complex/difficult products. As said previously, the testing and checks focus on safety and health and not energy consumption or the f-gas logbook. Apart from the financial investments, many member states lack the necessary competencies for addressing non-compliance

Yes

There are no test facilities within MSAs for medium and large HVACR equipment.

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

I do not know

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

I do not know

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

I do not know

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

We believe that the market is not swamped by non-compliant products. However, to the first question under B1.6, our answer is meant to address the situation of rogue traders that deliberately do not comply and thereby exploit market opportunities at the lowest cost.

Yes

Our members reported examples of lack of enforcement in the area of environmental legislation (WEEE, RoHS, REACH). As regards to the enforcement of product safety legislation, it is often limited to consumer products, while market surveillance of business to business products is often relatively poor.

Yes

Our members repeatedly report that market surveillance authorities have a disproportionate focus on administrative paperwork such as the provision of the EU declaration of conformity, instruction for use of the product, etc... and rarely request the technical construction file or test reports. They rarely ask to test an engineering product in their facilities.

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

Yes. Budgets of national market surveillance authorities have been cut during the last ten years despite a constant increase in the volume of imports of consumer goods from third-countries.

For example, the Dutch Market Surveillance Authority (VWA) is particularly concerned by these restrictions. As a result, in Rotterdam, which alone

Yes

N/A

Yes

N/A

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

There have been significant funding cuts to the product safety authorities across the board in Europe which has led to less resourcing for product safety issues and delays in processing information. The lack of resources also hinders the build-up of expertise and the effective targeting of rogue products in the marketplace.

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

As noted above, we have experienced delays in processing information that we have provided on behalf of clients.

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

-

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

Several market surveillance authorities have acknowledged their lack of human and financial resources during EU product rules implementation workshops (Blue Guide, 2016).

Also member companies have have cited enforcement gaps with regard to WEEE, RoHS and REACH.

Product safety surveillance is

Yes

Several market surveillance authorities have acknowledged their lack of human and financial resources during EU product rules implementation workshops (Blue Guide, 2016).

Also member companies have have cited enforcement gaps with regard to WEEE, RoHS and REACH.

Product safety surveillance is

Yes

A common manufacturer's experience is that market surveillance is focused more on administrative paperwork such as the provision of user manuals, other instructions for use, and DoC documentation, rather than requesting test reports for review or products for testing at their premises.

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

Yes, for instance the market surveillance for PTO drive shafts (EN 12965) requires in practice a test lab with costly equipment.

I do not know

Yes

Yes, for instance the market surveillance for PTO drive shafts (EN 12965) requires in practice a test lab with costly equipment.

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

No

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

No

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

No

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

Very limited
marketsurveillance carried
out

I do not know

I do not know

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

RAPEX notifications show a large difference between Member States. A lack of activities due to a lack of resources devoted to market surveillance seems to be the main explanation for the lack of notification from a number of Member States.

I do not know

I do not know

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

The lack of sufficient financial and human resources were raised by market surveillance authorities in national advisory bodies when addressing the implementation of regulation 765/2008.

Yes

The lack of sufficient financial and human resources were raised by market surveillance authorities in national advisory bodies when addressing the implementation of regulation 765/2008.

Yes

Market surveillance authorities have a disproportionate focus on formal and administrative aspects rather than on technical aspects. The enforcement of product safety is often limited to consumer products, leaving professional products with poor means of market surveillance.

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

Danish authorities have less resources to carry out preventive market surveillance

Yes

Number of people involved is decreasing

Yes

Lack of technical knowledge to understand the technical documentation and less technical people involved. No labs connected to the authorities

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

Control authorities from Belgium and the United Kingdom confirmed to our association in 2013 that they did not have such resources.

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

Control authorities from Belgium and the United Kingdom confirmed to our association in 2013 that they did not have such resources.

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

No

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

I do not know

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

I do not know

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

No

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

Third country imports.

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

Third country imports.

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

Third country imports see Rapex.

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

We have presented evidence from an independent third party to authorities demonstrating that a product placed on the market was unsafe and that its performance did not match the claims made by the supplier - but little action appears to have been taken. Feedback direct from authorities to us has also confirmed that their shortage of resources has restricted their ability to take effective

Yes

Meetings with authorities have shown that there is a lack of knowledge and understanding of products in the electrical sector. As regards to the enforcement of product safety legislation, it is often limited to consumer products, while market surveillance of business to business products is often relatively poor.

Yes

Manufacturers have funded independent third party testing as there is no provision for this work to be carried out by authorities. For some sectors, for example motors, they have no laboratory facilities in the UK at all to test products against requirements of the Ecodesign Directive. In our experience we are unaware of any testing conducted in the UK on suspect products by authorities.

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

No

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

No

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

No

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

No

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

No

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

No

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

In Spain the market surveillance is transferred to the communities and the budget is never enough to carry out a good PPE control. Although, the motorcycle protections are not considered as PPEs by the customs or the users.

Yes

The market surveillance should be done o customs and market. On customs only CE marked are being controled, and not always, and on the market we haven't seen any surveillance.

No

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

No

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

No

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

Eigene Prüfstellen sind aus finanziellen Gründen und aufgrund der Vielzahl der Produkte oft nicht vorhanden.

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

No

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

I do not know

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

No

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

With regard to the EMC, MD and LVD market surveillance is almost non-existent. This is the main cause for the low rate of compliancy.

Yes

Human resources are available but not directed to market surveillance

Yes

This is no real issue as there are enough reliable commercial test facilities available.

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

REACH Implementation,
RoHS II Implementation

Yes

Biocidal Product Regulation: Yes
Dimethyl fumarate (DMF)
Scandal

REACH Candidate List

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

In reactive market surveillance or following a complaint, usually the cost of the necessary tests, in order to prove compliance of a particular product, exceeds the limited budget the authority has at its disposal and depends on the complexity of a product and the relevant applicable harmonised standards.

I do not know

Yes

There are not enough third party accredited laboratories in Cyprus to carry out the necessary tests in order to prove compliance of a product, having in mind the scope of application of the relevant policy sectors (e.g. ATEX). In almost all case products must be shipped abroad.

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

Brak wystarczających środków finansowych na kontrole wyrobów i badania techniczne oraz wyposażenie.

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

Zbyt mała liczba osób zaangażowanych do kontroli i postępowań pokontrolnych.

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

Niedostateczne wyposażenie do prowadzenia kontroli i badań laboratoryjnych.

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

brak

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

brak

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

brak

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

No

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

No

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

No

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

Local responsible authority denied the testing of a protective device (under the MD) due to lack of financial resources. The non-compliant product was retired 5 years later from the market

Yes

Same case as above mentioned

Yes

Same case as above

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

In lectures with MSA in the UK (trading standards) they clearly have to target just a very few product types etc. for analysis.

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

In lectures with MSA in the UK (trading standards) they clearly have to target just a very few product types etc. for analysis.

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

No

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

I do not know

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

I do not know

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

No

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

Data from 2013 indicated that I do not know market surveillance of construction products is 3% of the total market surveillance of industrial goods. There are not specific data of cement-based products

I do not know

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

No

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

Nedovoljan broj inspektora obzirom na opis poslova koje obavljamo

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

Nedovoljan broj mobilnih tehničkih sredstava za kratke provjere na licu mjesta, radi staranja indikatora za daljnje istrage

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

No

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

No

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

No

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

Muchas autoridades de vigilancia de mercado carecen de presupuesto específico para llevar a cabo este tipo de tareas.

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

Algunas autoridades de mercado no disponen de personal dedicado a este tipo de tareas.

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

En el caso de los contadores de energía térmica, cubiertos por la Directiva MID, existe un déficit importante de laboratorios en la UE con instalaciones, experiencia y capacidad adecuadas para poder llevar a cabo una correcta vigilancia de mercado. Los resultados obtenidos en laboratorio paracen variar de una instalación a otra, lo que lleva a pensar que resulta necesario mejorar los

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

I do not know

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

I do not know

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

I do not know

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

No

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

No

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

No

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

Více finančních zdrojů pro vyšší počet inspektorů (=odběr většího počtu vzorků resp. jejich analýzu) by přinesl vyšší efektivitu kontroly hnojiv (souvisí s následujícími)

Yes

Více finančních zdrojů pro vyšší počet inspektorů (=odběr většího počtu vzorků resp. jejich analýzu) by přinesl vyšší efektivitu kontroly hnojiv (souvisí s předchozím)

No

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

knappe Haushaltskassen bei den Ländern.

Yes

für die bestehenden Aufgaben haben wir zu wenig Mitarbeiter

I do not know

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

Arbejdstilsynet in Denmark lack sufficient resources to inspect for compliance with e.g. Machinery Directive.

Yes

It is not very attractive to work with this area.

No

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

I do not know

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

I do not know

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

I do not know

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

In most of the European countries, budget and resources for market surveillance are recognised as by far not sufficient. This leads to selective market surveillance activities, where not all sectors are covered, and most of the infringements are considered as not sufficient to justify action.

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

All countries

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

It is not exactly a matter of technical means, but mostly lack of budget to finance all needed actions, which are usually outsourced for reasons of technical expertise.

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

In France, it is very difficult to have a effective action coming from the market surveillance authority even if you give them all the necessary information (including third party test reports).

Yes

See above. Third party test report given for a non compliant product and no action from market surveillance authority. They said that they have a lack of human ressources.

No

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

Yes in as much as lack of financial resource results in a reduced personnel resource and therefore a reduction in market surveillance activities.

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

NCA confirmed that some vigilance filings and follow-ups were not reviewed.

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

No

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

Tasks of our authority are limited by annual budget. Rather foreseeable non-compliance is selected when planning the market surveillance activity as long as the budget is low (especially for carrying out experiments).

No

No

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

reprezentuję organ nadzoru rynku - środki finansowe na badania laboratoryjne są niewystarczające

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

reprezentuję organ nadzoru rynku - zakres zadań jakie mają wykonywać inspektorzy jest bardzo szeroki, brakuje specjalistów w danych dziedzinach

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

reprezentuję organ nadzoru rynku - urządzenia pozwalające na mobilne badania są bardzo drogie i czasami wymagają specjalistycznego przeszkolenia oraz dodatkowych uprawnień

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

UK enforcement authorities are under resourced with respect to consumer safety and are asked to prioritise projects that are politically motivated at the expense of routine market surveillance activities.

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

UK Trading standards have too few officers focussed on consumer safety generally

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

No

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

Available competent
ressources combined budget
limitations - priority effect

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

Lack of capacity with
adequate industry
understanding. Regulations
not updated/reflecting todays
practice.

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

Lack of relevant testing
facilities in region

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

I do not know

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

What we see is, that most surveillance authorities in the member states are understaffed.

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

No

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

At three EU countries I spent time being employed had direct response from surveillance authorities as they could not carry out their duties due to lack of funds. However these counties had conveniently very low penalties for non compliant products hence a justification for the excuse.

Yes

Cypriot and Greek authorities

Yes

In the counties where market surveillance was lacking the authorities although complaining about the lack of technical resources were conveniently unwilling to examine other possibilities, like subcontracting for example the whole aspect of market surveillance and testing.

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

customs

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

customs

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

customs

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

Zaťaženie inšpektorov práce úlohami, pri ktorých je za ostatných 10 rokov otázka kontroly bezpečnosti technických zariadení odsúvaná do pozadia; pozitívne je, že sa napriek tomu zisuje najviac nedostatkov na technických zariadeniach. Nízke mzdy inšpektorov spôsobujú rezervy v znalostiach problematiky inšpektorov a značné nedostatky v ich metodickom riadení. Nie sú

Yes

Nízke mzdové ohodnotenie inšpektorov práce spôsobuje vážne problémy pri náboře kvalifikovaných odborníkov.

Yes

Rozpočet inšpektorátov práce neobsahuje položky ani na nákup meracích prístrojov a ani na objednanie skúšobných kapacít.

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

MSA's do not have sufficient financial resources to test gaseous emissions from internal combustion engines.

Yes

UK Government have significantly reduced enforcement budgets and staff to levels which do not permit adequate monitoring and testing of products placed on the market.

No

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

I do not know

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

I do not know

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

I do not know

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

No

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

No

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

No

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

I do not know

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

I do not know

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

I do not know

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

No

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

I do not know

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

No

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

No

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

No

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

No

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

Please let me ask a question: Yes
Does the European Commission have knowledge of any evidence, that a market surveillance authority have a product's conformity to European legislation tested without prior reason for suspicion?
If the answer is "no" or "yes but only to an negligible extent", the financial resources are obviously insufficient to enable effective preventive market surveillance.

Please let me ask a question: I do not know
Does the European Commission have knowledge of any evidence, that a market surveillance authority have a product's conformity to European legislation tested without prior reason for suspicion?
If the answer is "no" or "yes but only to an negligible extent", the human resources are obviously insufficient to enable effective preventive market surveillance.

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

I do not know

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

I do not know

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

I do not know

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

Some tests/surveillance activities do not include testing on the product e.g. required by the harmonized standards - so the conformity is just checked on specific items / issues (mostly paper work).
The requirements are getting more complex and the market surveillance authorities do not get more staff, training and specific equipment

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

Some authorities have realised that more staff is required, some others did nothing and do not act.
Some responsible authorities question their responsibility in regards to market surveillance activities, and explain themselves furthermore among other things with missing capacities.

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

Specific test benches are missing, so surveillance authorities have to ask professional test houses / notified bodies/ manufactures to perform the specific tests.
For small spark ignited engines the setting / clamping for emission tests is of high importance, but as this is machine specific, this equipment / knowledge is most often only available at the manufacturer.

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

No

Yes

Quelques nouveaux entrants I do not know dans l'UE

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

CONSEQUENCE OF THE
REDUCTION OF PUBLIC
SPENDING

Yes

CHRONICAL PROBLEM IN
ITALY I do not know

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

it is a common Problem in the EU Member States.

I do not know

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

No

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

No

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

The currently available testing facilities and procedures in the field of energy efficiency are not sufficient.

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

I do not know

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

I do not know

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

I do not know

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

Länderübergreifendes Projekt No zur Untersuchung der Qualität der hygienischen Aufbereitung von Endoskopen scheiterte an den finanziellen Mitteln der Länder (Kosten für Leih- oder Ersatzendoskope in Gesundheitseinrichtungen, bei denen Proben gezogen werden sollten). Grundsätzliches Problem der Kosten für Produktuntersuchungen im Medizinproduktebereich. Zum

Yes

Im Medizinproduktebereich werden keine eigenen technischen Untersuchungen durchgeführt. Diese müssen i.d.R. extern an Sachverständige oder Prüflabore vergeben werden. Aufgrund der Vielfalt der Produkte und der unterschiedlichen Technologien ist ein Vorhalten bestimmter Untersuchungsmittel oder Methoden unwirtschaftlich.

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

e.g.about 20 textile products are tested on composition regarding the EU regulation 1007/2011 per year in Belgium due to lack of resources.

I do not know

No

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

Alla EU-länder utför inte den tillsyn som behövs

I do not know

I do not know

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

Member countries doesn't have equal sufficient resources this appears also inside countries between product areas.

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

Members countries are saving on this time of difficult economy. EU shall fund these actions at least partly

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

Authorities should use accredited competent service providers. The decision is made by authority the technical testing/evaluation shall outsourced.

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

I do not know

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

Brak możliwości skontrolowania przedsiębiorstw na produkcję zgodnie z wymogami.

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

I do not know

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

- urząd pracy nie posiada środków na doposażenie miejsc pracy

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

- brak dobrych i wykwalifikowanych pracowników w transporcie

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

No

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

I do not know

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

No

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

No

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

There is a lack of financial resources in our sector.

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

There is a lack of resources within the Administration.

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

It depends on the local market surveillance authority within Spain (within the different regions).

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

Finanzielle Mittel sind für die Ausstattung der Messmittel und Laboratorien notwendig.

Yes

Insgesamt ist eine Aufstockung der personellen Mittel wünschenswert.

I do not know

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

Nedostatak sredstava za provođenje planiranih uzorkovanja

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

Nedostaje dovoljan broj educiranih inspektora za pojedine sektore.

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

Pomagala za provjeru igrala na dječjim igralištima, cilindri itd

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

I do not know

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

I do not know

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

I do not know

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

I do not know

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

I do not know

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

I do not know

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

I do not know

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

I do not know

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

I do not know

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

I do not know

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

I do not know

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

I do not know

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

No

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

No

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

I do not know

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

No

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

I do not know

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

No

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

No

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

No

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

No

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

I do not know

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

I do not know

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

No

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

Trading Standards
enforcement of IP breach

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

Trading Standards
enforcement of IP breach

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

No

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

No

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

No

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

I do not know

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

I do not know

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

I do not know

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

I do not know

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

I do not know

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

No

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

I do not know

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

Las limitaciones en el gasto público en Catalunya son bien conocidas a través de los medios de comunicación. Me consta que no hay recursos presupuestarios para la vigilancia de mercado.

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

En Catalunya, con una población de 7 millones de habitantes, solo contamos con 2 inspectores! Y además, se ocupan de todo tipo de instrumentos de medida: IPFNA, contadores de gas y electricidad, surtidores de combustible...

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

En estos momentos, la autoridad de vigilancia en Catalunya no dispone de laboratorio de metrología, tras la venta de LGAI al sector privado. Por supuesto, tiene la posibilidad de realizar ensayos en laboratorios privados, pero no dispone de medios propios.

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

We collaborated with all market surveillance authorities in Europe, all lack sufficient financial resources.

One specific example could be NVWA.
Budgets of national market surveillance authorities have been cut during the last ten years despite a constant increase in the volume of imports of consumer goods from third-countries.

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

We collaborated with all market surveillance authorities in Europe, all lack sufficient human resources.

Dutch example:
Due to budget costs and staff that has left the NVWA there are only about 5 full time equivalent available to do import controls on non-food product safety, as illustrated by the figures mentioned in answer to question 7. The European Commission filed a

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

No

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

I do not know

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

I do not know

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

I do not know

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

The UK Trading Standards service has been significantly cut back, by up to 65% in recent years. We are aware that they do not have the resources or budget to enforce as much as we would like.

Yes

see above

No

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

I do not know

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

I do not know

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

I do not know

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

No

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

No

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

No

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

No

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

LFT market surveillance in Germany simply does not happen

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

No

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

lack of resources for testing of products.

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

Beside inspection inspectors have to deal with other jobs because of insufficient hr.

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

testing facilities, laboratory

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

ej genomfört teknisk provning Yes

--

No

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

I do not know

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

I do not know

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

ČOI není schopna si nechat výrobek předvést, ale nechává ho přezkoušet u autorizované zkušebny (a přitom se jedná o jednoduché věci). Podrobnosti lze doložit dokumentací.

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

Joidenkin testien kalleus, esimerkkinä räjähtämättömyystestaus ja orgaaniset haitta-aineet, vähentää merkittävästi testien teettämistä.

No

No

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

No

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

I do not know

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

No

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

Some tests / surveillance activities do not include all tests on the product required by the harmonised standards so the conformity is checked on specific items / issues. The requirements are getting more complex and yet the market surveillance authorities do not get more staff, training or specific equipment.

Yes

Some authorities have realised that more staff is needed, and acted upon this issue, others have not.

Yes

Specific test benches are missing, so surveillance authorities have to ask professional test houses / notified bodies to perform the specific tests. For small spark ignited engines, the setting / clamping for emissions tests is of high importance, but as this is machine specific the equipment / knowledge is only available with the manufacturer.

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

I do not know

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

I do not know

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

I do not know

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

No

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

No

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

No

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

I do not know

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

I do not know

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

Yes

Lack of technical knowledge and means is usual for industrial and B2B products.

7. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient financial resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

No

8. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked sufficient human resources to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

No

9. Do you have experience/knowledge of instances where a market surveillance authority lacks/lacked the technical means (notably testing facilities) to carry out specific tasks in your sector?

Please provide details

I do not know

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Unable to estimate

Strongly agree

Strongly disagree

Agree

Agree

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

More than 50%

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

Strongly agree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

Agree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

Strongly agree

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

Gli introiti delle sanzioni dovrebbero essere destinati nella maggior parte al miglioramento quantitativo dei controlli su tutto il territorio nazionale. Sarebbe opportuno che a livello europeo fossero definiti dei programmi di finanziamento specifici per supportare l'attività di controllo da parte degli organi di sorveglianza

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Agree

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Unable to estimate

Agree

Strongly disagree

Agree

Relocation/transfer of competent personnel to the field controls/inspections.

Strongly agree

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Unable to estimate

Agree

Agree

No opinion

Penalties for violations are usually so mild and the time between that we find a deficiency and punishes the manufacturer often so long that it often pays to cheat. This increases the risk of injury and unfavorable reputable manufacturers.

Agree

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Not applicable

Strongly disagree

Disagree

Disagree

The best way of increase resources for MSA is not invest "lab, testing engineer and document handling people" to them, but construct a high efficiency multi-sector network with existing third party: such like certification body, testing lab and inspection body located in EU and NON-EU country(original producing country).all of these need a well designed legislation to authorization.

Agree

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Unable to estimate

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

Disagree

Enforcement in the toy and consumer product sector does not specifically require laboratory testing to be carried out. There will be occasions when testing is necessary but, in the main, economic operators should have done the necessary technical assessments themselves and paid for that. If they have not, then they are not complying with the necessary Directives in any case and should be

Agree

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

More than 50%

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

Disagree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

Disagree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

Strongly agree

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

Marknadskontrollen bör finansieras genom registreringsavgift

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Disagree

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

6 to 10%

Agree

Agree

Strongly agree

In Deutschland ist es seit 2015 möglich, bei Tätigkeiten der Marktüberwachung bei Messgeräten und Waagen Verwaltungsgebühren zu erheben, wenn Mängel festgestellt wurden. Bei der Marktüberwachung von Fertigpackungen werden ebenfalls Verwaltungsgebühren erhoben.

Agree

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

41 to 50%

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

Agree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

Agree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

Agree

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Strongly agree

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

More than 50%

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

Strongly agree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

Agree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

Strongly agree

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

- the European database of products registration fee could be used to finance joint testing initiatives
- pan-European projects should be greatly expanded. Simple resource allocation is not sufficient to cover many of the problems faced by MSAs. capacity building, experience-sharing, and establishing clear methodologies for difficult products is absolutely vital to improve performance - and

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Agree

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Unable to estimate

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

Strongly agree

Centraal in europa registreren hoeveel systemen er van een bepaalde fabrikant verkocht worden, en dan ook weer centraal in europa regelen door wie er een volledige typekeuring herhaald wordt. Om kosten te besparen, niet door elke lidstaat afzonderlijk dezelfde testen herhalen.

Strongly agree

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

Unable to estimate

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

Agree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

Agree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

Agree

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

An effective way to increase resources for market surveillance of motor vehicles would be to charge an administrative fee on each new car sold EU-wide. Such a fee should be placed on manufacturers and will be minimal even if they choose to pass it on to consumers. We suggest a fee of 10 EUR per new vehicle, which would levy well over 100 million euros. A similar system - to fund in-use emissions testing

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Strongly agree

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

Unable to estimate

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

Strongly agree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

Agree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

Agree

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Agree

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Unable to estimate

Disagree

Disagree

Agree

Der kunne fastsættes særskilte bevillinger og målkrav. Beføjelser til EU Kommissionen til at fastlægge årlige fælles EU indsatser kunne være relevant.

Disagree

I de efterfølgende spørgsmål har vi svaret 'ueinig' til flere spørgsmål. Det er ikke fordi vi er uenige i selve indholdet af spørgsmålene, men vi mener at vi allerede udnytter de forskellige muligheder og

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

Unable to estimate

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

No opinion

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

Disagree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

No opinion

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

No opinion

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Unable to estimate

Strongly disagree

Disagree

Agree

Es sollte verstärkt auf die Eigenüberwachung der Branche gesetzt werden. Durch Anreize ist dies möglich. Die Überwachung ist hier m.E. am effizientesten möglich.

Strongly agree

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

Unable to estimate

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

Agree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

Disagree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

Agree

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Agree

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

Unable to estimate

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

Strongly agree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

Strongly disagree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

Strongly agree

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Strongly agree

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

Not applicable

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

Agree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

Agree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

Strongly agree

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

Ne

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Agree

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

31 to 40%

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

Strongly agree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

Strongly agree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

Strongly agree

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Agree

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Unable to estimate

Agree

Strongly disagree

Disagree

Eine Entscheidung über die Verwendung von Einnahmen aus Sanktionen sollte ggf. auf nationaler Ebene erfolgen. Zudem muss ggf. verhindert werden, dass Einnahmen aus Sanktionen ein fester Bestandteil der Haushaltsplanung von Marktüberwachungsbehörden werden und dadurch Anreize für eine bevorzugte Verfolgung "besonders umsatzstarker" Branchen oder Produktkategorien

Agree

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

Unable to estimate

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

Strongly agree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

Disagree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

Strongly agree

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

It is essential to make market surveillance a high political priority at the national and European level in order to establish a level playing field between companies at the European and global level that will favor competitiveness and employment within European industries and improve consumer safety.

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Strongly agree

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

Not applicable

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

Agree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

Disagree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

Agree

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Agree

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

Not applicable

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

Agree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

Disagree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

Agree

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Agree

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

Unable to estimate

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

No opinion

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

No opinion

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

Agree

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

No opinion

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Unable to estimate

Strongly agree

Strongly disagree

Strongly agree

Au vu de notre association et des découvertes faites sur le terrain, la surveillance du marché doit devenir l'une des plus hautes priorités politique, tant national qu'europpéen. L'objectif est de créer un marché sain et équitable pour toutes les entreprises. Mais aussi d'assurer la sécurité des utilisateurs de l'électricité et des consommateurs.

Strongly agree

Les justes ressources

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

Unable to estimate

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

Disagree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

Agree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

Strongly agree

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Agree

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Not applicable

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

No opinion

When it comes to the financial resources of market surveillance authorities, in our opinion, market surveillance activities must be funded by the government budget. Evidently, we do not see it appropriate that market surveillance activities would be funded by the revenues collected from sanctions or administrative fees. Firstly, it would be remarkably difficult to plan the budget in practice and therefore also

Agree

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Unable to estimate

Strongly disagree

Disagree

No opinion

Agree

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Not applicable

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

It will be crucial to make changes in the legislative frameworks at EU level and of Member States to make sure that costly double testing will be avoided. If one Member State already tested a product and found it to be non-compliant, another Member State should be able to take legal action based on the other authorities test results.

Agree

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

Not applicable

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

Strongly agree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

Strongly agree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

Strongly agree

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Agree

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

Unable to estimate

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

Agree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

Agree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

Agree

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Agree

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

Unable to estimate

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

Strongly disagree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

Strongly agree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

Strongly agree

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Strongly agree

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Unable to estimate

Agree

Disagree

Agree

Strongly agree

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

Unable to estimate

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

No opinion

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

No opinion

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

Agree

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Agree

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

21 to 30%

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

Strongly agree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

Agree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

Strongly agree

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

ES finansavimas

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Strongly agree

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Unable to estimate

Agree

No opinion

Agree

Maybe this question should be formulated differently. How to make use of existing voluntary schemes to support market surveillance activities of MSAs. It could be appropriate to use the revenue from sanctions to build up sufficient laboratory capacity in Europe, not necessarily in each Member State. One should avoid that minor formal non-compliances become a source of revenue.

Agree

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Not applicable

No opinion

Strongly disagree

Strongly agree

No opinion

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Unable to estimate

Strongly agree

Strongly disagree

Agree

One way to increase resources for market surveillance authorities it to allocate more funding from the EU, rather than from individual Member States for this purpose. Also, in case a product is found to be non-compliant and this is the final result, one suggestion could be for the importer/manufacturer to pay for the costs of the tests.

Strongly agree

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Unable to estimate

Agree

Strongly disagree

Disagree

Eine Entscheidung über die Verwendung von Einnahmen aus Sanktionen sollte ggf. auf nationaler Ebene erfolgen. Zudem muss ggf. verhindert werden, dass Einnahmen aus Sanktionen ein fester Bestandteil der Haushaltsplanung von Marktüberwachungsbehörden werden und dadurch Anreize für eine bevorzugte Verfolgung "besonders umsatzstarker" Branchen oder Produktkategorien

Agree

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Unable to estimate

Strongly disagree

Disagree

Disagree

Lainsäädäntöä tulisi muuttaa vähemmän byrokraattiseksi, jotta markkinavalvonnan prosessit sujuvoituisivat eikä lainsäädäntö lisäisi hallinnollista taakkaa.

Agree

Tärkeintä on, että testausmahdollisuuksia on ylipäänsä tarjolla Euroopassa. Olisi hyvä kehittää laitoksista digitaalinen järjestelmä, joka hyödyttäisi myös yrityksiä. Järjestelmässä voisi olla hankintasopimuspohjat

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Not applicable

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

It will be crucial to make changes in the legislative frameworks at EU level and of Member States to make sure that costly double testing will be avoided. If one Member State already tested a product and found it to be non-compliant, another Member State should be able to take legal action based on the other authorities test results.

Agree

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Not applicable

No opinion

Strongly disagree

No opinion

Real risk-based selection of enforcement focuses and smart use of resources should be aimed at.

No opinion

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Unable to estimate

Disagree

Strongly disagree

No opinion

We think that placing additional burdens on businesses, notably SMEs, is not the way forward.

Agree

We favour public funding/contributions from both Member States and funds at EU level as a more efficient and foreseeable budgeting for market surveillance authorities.

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

21 to 30%

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

Strongly agree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

Strongly disagree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

Agree

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Strongly agree

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Unable to estimate

Disagree

Disagree

Strongly agree

Very often sanctions are not fair because applied the same way to entities which have not the same leverage to monitor their imports and production chains. Administrative fees would increase costs for European producers that are already putting a lot of efforts to comply with the European rules (REACH, PPE regulation etc). To increase laboratory capacities in Europe to

Strongly agree

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Unable to estimate

Strongly agree

Strongly disagree

No opinion

Regarding questions 1 to 5, in 2011, CECE held a survey among manufacturers, dealers, and rental companies to understand the size of the non-compliant products problem:

- ☐ half of these economic operators saw illegal machines at the construction sites;
- ☐ 1 out of 3 respondents missed out on sales because customers opted for a non-

Strongly agree

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Unable to estimate

Strongly agree

Strongly disagree

Agree

Apply administrative fees on operators have no direct result in the improvement of the relevant public service.

Agree

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Unable to estimate

No opinion

Agree

Strongly agree

Bistå med bättre statistikunderlag i syfte att underlätta mer träffsäkra prioriteringar. Myndigheter behöver hjälp med att prioritera.

Agree

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Unable to estimate

Strongly agree

Strongly disagree

Strongly agree

It is essential to make market surveillance a high political priority at the national and European level in order to establish a level playing field between companies at the European and global level that will favor competitiveness and employment within European industries and improve consumer safety. Right national resources must be allocated to this task, and when necessary,

Strongly agree

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Unable to estimate

Strongly agree

Strongly disagree

Strongly agree

It is essential to make market surveillance a high political priority at the national and European level in order to establish a level playing field between companies at the European and global level that will favor competitiveness and employment within European industries and improve consumer safety. Right national resources must be allocated to this task, and when necessary,

Strongly agree

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

More than 50%

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

Agree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

Strongly disagree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

Agree

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Agree

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

More than 50%

No opinion

Strongly disagree

Agree

Market Surveillance needs to respect the principle of proportionality regarding revenues obtained through sanctions. We could see a potential conflict of interest if the revenues from sanctions finance Market Surveillance activities, as it may increase sanctions for formal non-compliances, rather than for more substantive technical non-compliances. Instead, the European Commission should ask Member States to

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

Unable to estimate

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

Strongly disagree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

Strongly disagree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

Agree

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

Creating a sanctions regime could lead to conflicts of interest and legal uncertainty for all operators.

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

No opinion

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

More than 50%

Strongly agree

Disagree

Strongly agree

Market surveillance is a full responsibility of public authorities. It should thus be part of the general budget, and NOT be the purpose of a specific tax or administrative fees. Such administrative fees would be subject to criticism as being a "threat to (cost) competitiveness" for the local players. This criticism would be partially justified, also because it is difficult to have importers to contribute to such

No opinion

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

Unable to estimate

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

No opinion

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

Strongly disagree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

Agree

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

No opinion

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

6 to 10%

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

Agree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

No opinion

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

No opinion

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Strongly agree

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

Unable to estimate

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

No opinion

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

No opinion

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

No opinion

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

We cannot give an opinion. This is mainly based on the individual member state situation.

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Agree

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Unable to estimate

Disagree

Strongly disagree

Disagree

Requirements should be unambiguous and controlled. Revenues from sanctions could however be used as a financing possibility on a higher level. The main focus should be the alignment of the effort made by each of the Member States. Furthermore, there should be clear and aligned programmes, central financing. Authorities should have clearly defined accountabilities and powers

Strongly agree

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

Unable to estimate

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

Agree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

Strongly disagree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

Agree

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Agree

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

Unable to estimate

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

No opinion

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

No opinion

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

No opinion

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Strongly agree

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Not applicable

No opinion

Strongly disagree

No opinion

Instead of suggesting additional resources - which is difficult in times when national authorities are being scaled down - EuroCommerce considers that improving cost efficiency and operational effectiveness, including applying a real risk-based approach and better cooperation between Member States and between the public and private sector, will lead to better functioning market surveillance authority.

No opinion

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Unable to estimate

Strongly agree

Strongly disagree

Strongly agree

It is essential to make market surveillance a high political priority at the national and European level in order to establish a level playing field between companies at the European and global level that will favor competitiveness and employment within European industries and improve consumer safety. Right national resources must be allocated to this task, and when necessary,

Strongly agree

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

Unable to estimate

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

Strongly agree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

Disagree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

Strongly agree

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Strongly agree

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

Unable to estimate

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

Strongly agree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

Strongly agree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

Strongly agree

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

The efficient use of the available resources is even a bigger problem. A better coordination and cooperation between member states and different authorities could make a huge difference.

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Strongly agree

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Unable to estimate

No opinion

Strongly disagree

Agree

Industry voluntary certification schemes are used by some manufacturers as a marketing tool and as a means of providing "self-policing". They can help avoiding non-compliant products in the market and MSAs could recognize the results of existing testing facilities where the voluntary certification schemes operate under an accreditation system. Voluntary certification schemes are not

Strongly agree

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

0 to 5%

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

Agree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

Disagree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

Agree

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Agree

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Unable to estimate

Strongly agree

Strongly disagree

Agree

Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities. This should, however, be established under two conditions:
1.the collection of fines from enforcement sanctions should be organised in a European collective scheme to avoid biased and unbalanced market surveillance practices from one Member State to another;

Agree

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Unable to estimate

Agree

Disagree

Disagree

Penalties should be proportionate and dissuasive; remain a Member State competence; and in no way become an incentive for market surveillance authorities to finance their operations.

Agree

The revenues of sanctions could be allocated to market surveillance authorities. Fines could be collected into a European scheme to avoid biased and unbalanced

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Unable to estimate

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

Agree

Administrative fees are unlikely to work as they would remove the incentive for companies that are already complying with the internal market rules to continue to comply. Revenues from fines will not come from the non-compliant who have less resources and will instead unfairly target companies with more resources but who are compliant. It benefits everyone in the community to ensure that markets are filled

Strongly agree

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Unable to estimate

Disagree

Disagree

Agree

Market Surveillance needs to stay mindful of the risks related to allocating revenues obtained through sanctions. If revenues obtained through market surveillance sanctions are allocated to surveillance activities there is an inherent potential conflict of interest that may result in the increase in use of sanctions for formal non-compliances, rather than for more substantive technical non-compliances.

Agree

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Unable to estimate

Strongly agree

Strongly disagree

Agree

Rather than increasing resources, it is necessary to increase cooperation between authorities, increase the cohernece of their action, increase the level of uniformity in their evaluations, define a list of priorities valid across EU. When all of these points will be achieved, next will come the evaluation of the resources.

Strongly agree

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Not applicable

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

No opinion

In Artikel 18 Punkt 3 der Verordnung (EG) Nr. 765/2008 über die Vorschriften für die Akkreditierung und Marktüberwachung im Zusammenhang mit der Vermarktung von Produkten ist festgelegt, dass die Mitgliedstaaten „die Marktüberwachungsbehörden mit den erforderlichen Befugnissen, Ressourcen und Kenntnissen zur ordnungsgemäßen

No opinion

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Unable to estimate

Agree

Disagree

Agree

The resources allocated for market surveillance ought to be increased, as the resources currently allocated must be used more effectively. Additional funding of market surveillance should impose the same level of burden on all business operators. This could take place through related consumer taxes. For instance, in Denmark, a tax on energy and gas supply is applied to partially finance market

Agree

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Unable to estimate

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

No opinion

Market surveillance should focus on non-compliance with a risk for the health and safety. In case market surveillance is financed through revenues obtained by sanctions, there is a big risk market surveillance will be increasingly focused on trivial issues were fines can be issued easily. The proposal to levy an administrative fee for operators in the sectors targeted will lead to additional costs for reputable

Agree

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Unable to estimate

Strongly agree

Strongly disagree

Agree

Testing costs should be recovered from the manufacturer or the importer in case the product is found to be technically non-compliant. The revenues from sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance (e.g. setting up a market surveillance funds).

Agree

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

Unable to estimate

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

Strongly agree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

Strongly agree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

Strongly agree

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Agree

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

Unable to estimate

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

Strongly agree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

Strongly disagree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

Strongly agree

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

A more effective identification and notification process for problem products.

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Agree

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Unable to estimate

Strongly agree

Strongly disagree

No opinion

Any regulatory framework on market surveillance should ensure that the member states charge all costs for testing, investigation and follow-up actions to the economic operators who have infringed the product legislation. These charges could be used to help fund the market surveillance authorities.

Strongly agree

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Unable to estimate

Disagree

Disagree

Strongly agree

Agree

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Unable to estimate

Strongly agree

Strongly disagree

Strongly agree

While sanctions should be strong for effective deterrent and enforcement, there should be safeguards to ensure that these are not wildly excessive or that decisions are excessively strict for the rules that are in place.
Manufacturers already contribute significant funding to market surveillance efforts, whether by complying to Directives and Standards themselves, or funding

Strongly agree

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Not applicable

Disagree

Disagree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

Unable to estimate

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

Strongly agree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

Strongly disagree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

Strongly agree

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Agree

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

Unable to estimate

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

Strongly agree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

Agree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

Strongly agree

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

All the products included on the different Directives should be controled on the market, but most of them are not since they have no CE mark. If we shouldn't wear a high visibility garment, not certified, we shouldn't use a non certified glove or garment for the motorcycle.

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Strongly agree

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

Unable to estimate

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

Disagree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

Strongly agree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

Agree

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Agree

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

Unable to estimate

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

Agree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

Agree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

Agree

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Disagree

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

21 to 30%

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

Strongly agree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

Strongly disagree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

Agree

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

This is mainly a political choice. Furthermore a matter of efficiency.

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Agree

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

21 to 30%

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

Strongly agree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

Agree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

Strongly agree

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

Market Surveillance Authorities and other competent bodies should feed in results of their surveillance to EMAS III as well as ISO 14001 registrars. Such certificates should publicly be withdrawn in case of major deviations of noncompliance related to product safety, e.g. arising from REACH.

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Strongly agree

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Unable to estimate

Agree

No opinion

Strongly agree

Disagree

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

31 to 40%

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

Strongly agree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

Agree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

Strongly agree

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

Zobowiązanie państw członkowskich do zwiększania wydatków wraz ze wzrostem zakresu wyrobów podlegających wymaganiom. Wprowadzenie drobnych sankcji finansowych w przypadku niezgodności formalnych zamiast nakazu wycofania z obrotu. Utrzymanie wysokich sankcji finansowych za niespełnianie wymagań zasadniczych (technicznych) wraz z naazem wycofania

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Agree

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

Unable to estimate

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

Strongly agree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

No opinion

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

Agree

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Agree

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

Not applicable

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

Agree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

Agree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

Agree

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Agree

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

Unable to estimate

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

Disagree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

Strongly disagree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

Strongly agree

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Strongly agree

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

31 to 40%

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

Strongly disagree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

Strongly disagree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

Agree

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

Allocation of resources based upon fines or sanctions is morally wrong. The authorities would become "power strong" and flex their muscles in the wrong way. They need to remain 100% impartial. The market is meant to be "self policing" with companies highlighting non compliance of competitors; but no one is going to throw that first stone in the glass house; everyone is likely to have some minor

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

No opinion

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Unable to estimate

Agree

Strongly disagree

No opinion

Die Mittel der Marktüberwachungsbehörden sollten aus Sanktionen stammen. Da in der EU Marktüberwachungsbehörden aber nur im promill Bereich kontrolliert oder erst nach einem Unfall sollten die EU wie andere Märkte auch beim Import in die Union kontrollieren.
In China/USA/Eurasische Union/Türkei kommt kein Produkt, das nicht den nationalen Anforderungen entspricht.

Agree

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

Unable to estimate

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

Strongly agree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

Disagree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

Strongly agree

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Strongly agree

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

21 to 30%

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

Strongly agree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

Agree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

Strongly agree

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Agree

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

Not applicable

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

Disagree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

Disagree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

Agree

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Agree

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

More than 50%

Strongly agree

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

En mi opinión sería fundamental concienciar a la clase política sobre la importancia de la vigilancia de mercado para lograr que ésta fuese impulsada dentro de los Estados miembros. También es muy interesante que la Comisión Europea continúe financiando proyectos de cooperación de vigilancia de mercado dentro de la UE.

Strongly agree

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

Unable to estimate

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

Agree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

Disagree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

Agree

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

Creating a general database of all radio certified products in EU market with unique identifier for each product (FCC style). Doing so, the control of goods on the market would be easier and faster.

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Disagree

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Not applicable

Disagree

Strongly agree

Disagree

Nein

Agree

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

Unable to estimate

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

Disagree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

Disagree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

Agree

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Disagree

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

Unable to estimate

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

Strongly agree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

Disagree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

Strongly agree

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Agree

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

Unable to estimate

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

No opinion

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

Disagree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

Agree

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Disagree

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

Unable to estimate

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

Strongly disagree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

Strongly disagree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

Agree

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Agree

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

More than 50%

Strongly agree

Strongly disagree

Agree

Cooperation with industry and stakeholders would enable to optimize efficiency

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

11 to 20%

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

Strongly agree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

Strongly agree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

Agree

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

No.

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Agree

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

Unable to estimate

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

Strongly agree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

Strongly agree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

No opinion

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Strongly agree

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

More than 50%

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

Strongly agree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

Disagree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

Agree

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

Promoting of financial resources allocation by member state by the European Commission, if possible.

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Disagree

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

Unable to estimate

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

Agree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

Disagree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

Agree

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Agree

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

11 to 20%

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

Disagree

Trading Standards could save Agree money by checking that toy manufacturers have undertaken testing of their own, rather than perform their own testing. In cases where manufacturers decide not to test, trading standards should check that such decision are based upon a sound rationale and that appropriate quality control procedures are in place and functioning. Trading standards officers

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

Unable to estimate

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

Agree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

Agree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

Strongly agree

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

Improve budget, and secure updated training - and make roles more appealing to attract relevant staff.

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Strongly agree

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Unable to estimate

Strongly agree

Strongly disagree

Agree

Agree

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Unable to estimate

Strongly agree

Agree

Disagree

By applying appropriate penalties and examine the avenue of not centrally based resources

Agree

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

Unable to estimate

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

Strongly agree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

Strongly agree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

Strongly agree

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Strongly agree

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

11 to 20%

Strongly agree

Strongly disagree

Disagree

Zaráža ma opakovaná neinformovanosť úradníctva v EÚ, že kontroly v tejto veci nie ba laboratórne skúšky. Z neznámych dôvodov EA potiera postavenie inšpekčných a certifikačných orgánov a vytrvalo hovorí iba o laboratórnych skúškach, čím nepriamo diskriminuje malé a stredné podniky v sektore TIC (testing, inspection, certification) a zvyhodňuje veľké private subjekty najmä zo

Strongly agree

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

Unable to estimate

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

Strongly agree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

Strongly disagree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

Strongly agree

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

EU funding

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Agree

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

11 to 20%

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

Strongly agree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

Disagree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

Agree

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Strongly agree

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Not applicable

Agree

Disagree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

Not applicable

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

Strongly agree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

Strongly disagree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

Strongly agree

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Strongly agree

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

Unable to estimate

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

Strongly agree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

Strongly agree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

Strongly agree

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Agree

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

Unable to estimate

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

Strongly agree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

Strongly disagree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

Strongly agree

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Strongly agree

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Unable to estimate

Strongly agree

Disagree

Agree

No opinion

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

Not applicable

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

No opinion

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

No opinion

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

No opinion

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Agree

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Unable to estimate

Strongly agree

Disagree

Agree

If the results are "that the products are not compliant" the importer/ manufacturer should pay for the costs of the tests/ checks. All authorities shall have the same proceedings/ processes. Paid fines shall be used as budget for market surveillance.

Strongly agree

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Unable to estimate

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

Strongly agree

Agree

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

Unable to estimate

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

Strongly agree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

Strongly disagree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

Strongly agree

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Strongly agree

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Unable to estimate

Strongly agree

Strongly disagree

Agree

Administrative fees would have the same effect as a new tax. This is not desirable.

Agree

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

Unable to estimate

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

No opinion

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

Agree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

Agree

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Agree

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

Unable to estimate

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

Agree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

Strongly disagree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

Agree

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Agree

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Unable to estimate

Strongly agree

Disagree

Agree

Hay que facilitar procedimientos y fichas muy claras que la administración y empresas afectadas pudieran seguir

Strongly agree

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Unable to estimate

Strongly disagree

Agree

Strongly agree

Wenn sich Überwachungsbehörden durch Einnahmen aus Sanktionen finanzieren, ist dies ein Widerspruch zur unparteilichen und unabhängigen Wahrnehmung der Tätigkeit. Die Erhebung von Verwaltungsgebühren für Fälle, in denen der Wirtschaftsakteur seine Verpflichtungen erfüllt hat, ist rechtlich zulässig, wenn auch ggf. moralisch fraglich. Für

Agree

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

Unable to estimate

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

Strongly agree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

Disagree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

Strongly agree

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

This should be organised by the European Commission instead of its member states. This should increase the objectiveness, now quite often member states try to protect their market favoring national companies. In addition, now always the same companies are surveilled because they have a big market share.

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Agree

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Unable to estimate

Agree

Disagree

Agree

Svaret i punkt tre (ja delvis) om det skulle innebära att marknadskontrollmyndigheter skulle få tillgång till ackrediterade analyser till en låg kostnad (se även svar i fråga 7)

Strongly agree

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Unable to estimate

Agree

Strongly disagree

Agree

Use competent service providers.

Strongly agree

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

Unable to estimate

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

Strongly agree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

Disagree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

Strongly agree

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Agree

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

Unable to estimate

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

Disagree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

Disagree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

No opinion

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

No opinion

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

Unable to estimate

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

No opinion

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

No opinion

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

No opinion

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Agree

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

More than 50%

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

Agree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

Strongly disagree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

Strongly agree

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

none

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Agree

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

Unable to estimate

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

Agree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

Agree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

Strongly agree

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Agree

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

31 to 40%

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

Agree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

Disagree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

Agree

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Strongly agree

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

Not applicable

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

Agree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

Agree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

Strongly agree

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Strongly agree

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

Unable to estimate

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

Agree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

Agree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

No opinion

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

републикански бюджет

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Agree

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

Unable to estimate

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

Strongly agree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

Agree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

Agree

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

ne

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Agree

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

Not applicable

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

Agree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

Agree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

Disagree

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Agree

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

Unable to estimate

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

Agree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

Agree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

Agree

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Agree

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

Unable to estimate

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

Strongly agree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

Agree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

Strongly agree

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

ne

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Strongly agree

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Not applicable

Agree

Disagree

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

Unable to estimate

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

Strongly agree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

Disagree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

Strongly agree

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Agree

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

41 to 50%

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

Agree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

Disagree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

No opinion

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Agree

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Not applicable

Strongly disagree

Disagree

Strongly agree

Agree

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Not applicable

Disagree

Strongly disagree

No opinion

Het is belangrijk dat de kosteneffectiviteit en operationele effectiviteit van toezichthouders worden verbeterd door een risicogebaseerde aanpak te hanteren, en beter samen te werken met toezichthouders in andere lidstaten. Het gebruiken van inkomsten uit sancties kan leiden tot verkeerde motivaties bij het instellen van onderzoeken.

No opinion

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

Unable to estimate

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

Disagree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

Disagree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

Agree

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Agree

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

More than 50%

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

Strongly agree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

Strongly disagree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

Strongly agree

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Agree

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Unable to estimate

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Agree

Outside of State funding, several remedies could strongly increase resources for market surveillance authorities:

1.Efficiency gains:
competencies and management system
The first issue to address is the brain drain of competent experts. If the number of inspectors in ports could be increased, for instance in the short term by vesting the

Agree

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

Unable to estimate

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

Agree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

Strongly disagree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

Agree

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

The European Commission should improve communication and information-sharing between market surveillance authorities in different Member States, and should ensure that market surveillance authorities take a harmonised approach to corrective actions in the EU. This would incentivise economic operators to report non-compliance. Economic operators would have

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Strongly agree

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Unable to estimate

Agree

Strongly disagree

Disagree

Increase budgets and people Agree

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

Unable to estimate

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

Agree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

Strongly disagree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

Agree

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Agree

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Not applicable

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

Disagree

Agree

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

Unable to estimate

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

Agree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

Disagree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

Disagree

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Disagree

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

Unable to estimate

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

Agree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

Agree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

Agree

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Strongly agree

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

Unable to estimate

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

Strongly disagree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

Strongly disagree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

Agree

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Agree

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Unable to estimate

Strongly agree

No opinion

Strongly agree

Vyškoliť osoby provádějící kontrolu výrobků (např. pracovníky ČOI a inspektorátů práce) a dát jim ke kontrolám reálné předpisy a na základě těchto podkladů vyměřovat sankce.

Strongly agree

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

Unable to estimate

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

Agree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

Strongly agree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

Disagree

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Agree

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

Unable to estimate

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

Disagree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

Agree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

Disagree

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

Refers to questions 7, 8, 9 and 10. Answers depend on the level of surveillance activities.

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Agree

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Unable to estimate

Strongly agree

Strongly disagree

Agree

If the results are "that the product is not compliant" the importer / manufacturer should pay for the cost of the test. Currently, there is no common procedure.

Strongly agree

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

Unable to estimate

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

No opinion

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

No opinion

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

No opinion

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Disagree

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Not applicable

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

Strongly agree

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Unable to estimate

Strongly agree

Strongly disagree

Disagree

Laboratory capacity in each member state is not necessary. A list a accredited laboratories existing in Europe could avoid to invest in each member states. For some products categories, one or two laboratories could be enough in Europe. A better cooperation between Member States would be beneficial.

Strongly agree

10. What is the approximate financial resource gap of the national authority in your sector?

Unable to estimate

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Revenues obtained through sanctions should be allocated to market surveillance activities

Strongly agree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should levy administrative fees on operators in their sector to finance controls

Strongly disagree

11. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be increased in your sector?: Programmes at European level should finance sufficient laboratory capacity in each Member State

Strongly agree

12. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase resources for market surveillance authorities?

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have more knowledge about the relevant sector (type and number of economic operators, market trends, etc.)

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

No opinion

Strongly agree

No opinion

No opinion

Agree

No opinion

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

Strongly agree

Agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

Strongly disagree

Strongly agree

Agree

Strongly agree

Agree

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

Agree

Disagree

Agree

Disagree

Agree

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

Strongly agree

Disagree

Disagree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

Disagree

Agree

Agree

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

Disagree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

Disagree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

Disagree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

Disagree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

Disagree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

Disagree

Disagree

Agree

Strongly disagree

Disagree

Disagree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

Agree

Disagree

Disagree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

Agree

Agree

Agree

No opinion

Strongly agree

No opinion

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

Disagree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

Disagree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

Disagree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

Disagree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

Disagree

Agree

Agree

Strongly agree

Agree

Disagree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

Agree

Agree

Agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

Disagree

No opinion

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

Strongly disagree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

Agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Agree

Strongly agree

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

Agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Agree

Strongly agree

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Agree

Strongly agree

Disagree

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

Agree

Agree

No opinion

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

No opinion

Agree

No opinion

Agree

Agree

No opinion

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

Agree

Disagree

Disagree

No opinion

No opinion

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

Disagree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

Agree

Agree

Agree

Strongly agree

Agree

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

No opinion

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

No opinion

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

Disagree

Agree

Disagree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

No opinion

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Agree

Agree

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

Strongly disagree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

Strongly agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Strongly agree

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

No opinion

Agree

Agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

Disagree

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

Agree

Agree

Disagree

Agree

Agree

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

Disagree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

Disagree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

Disagree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

Disagree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

Agree

Agree

Strongly agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

Disagree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Agree

Strongly agree

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

Strongly disagree

No opinion

No opinion

Strongly agree

Agree

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

Strongly agree

No opinion

Strongly agree

No opinion

Strongly agree

No opinion

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

No opinion

No opinion

Agree

No opinion

Strongly agree

No opinion

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

No opinion

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

Agree

No opinion

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

No opinion

Strongly agree

Agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

No opinion

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

No opinion

Agree

Agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

Disagree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

Agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

No opinion

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

Agree

Disagree

Disagree

Agree

Disagree

Disagree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

Disagree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

Disagree

Agree

Strongly agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

Disagree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

No opinion

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Agree

Strongly agree

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

Strongly disagree

No opinion

No opinion

Strongly agree

Agree

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

Disagree

Agree

Agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

Disagree

Strongly agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

No opinion

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

Agree

Agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

Strongly agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Strongly agree

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

Disagree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

Agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

Agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

Strongly disagree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

Disagree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

Disagree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

Disagree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

Agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

Agree

Disagree

Disagree

Agree

Agree

Disagree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

Agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Agree

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

Agree

Disagree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

Agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

Disagree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

Agree

Agree

Disagree

Agree

Agree

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

Agree

Agree

Strongly agree

No opinion

No opinion

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

Strongly disagree

Strongly agree

Agree

Disagree

Agree

Disagree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

Disagree

Agree

Strongly agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

Agree

Agree

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

Agree

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

Agree

Disagree

Disagree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

Agree

Agree

Disagree

Disagree

Agree

Disagree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

Disagree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

Disagree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

Disagree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

Disagree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

Agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Agree

Agree

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

Disagree

Agree

Strongly agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

Disagree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

No opinion

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

Strongly agree

Agree

Disagree

Disagree

Disagree

Disagree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

Strongly agree

Agree

Agree

No opinion

Agree

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

No opinion

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

No opinion

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

No opinion

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

Disagree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

Strongly disagree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

Disagree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

Disagree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

Disagree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

No opinion

Agree

No opinion

Agree

Strongly agree

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

Disagree

Strongly agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

No opinion

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

Agree

Strongly agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

Strongly agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

Strongly agree

Agree

Agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

Agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

Strongly disagree

Strongly agree

Strongly disagree

Agree

Agree

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

Disagree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

Disagree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

Agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Agree

Strongly agree

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

Strongly agree

No opinion

Strongly agree

Agree

Strongly agree

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

Agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

No opinion

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

No opinion

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

No opinion

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

No opinion

No opinion

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

Agree

Agree

Agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

Strongly disagree

Agree

Strongly agree

Agree

Strongly agree

No opinion

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

Agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

Disagree

Agree

Agree

Agree

No opinion

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

No opinion

Agree

Strongly agree

No opinion

Agree

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

Disagree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

Disagree

Agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

Disagree

Agree

Strongly agree

Agree

Disagree

Disagree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

Disagree

Disagree

Disagree

Agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

Agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

Agree

Agree

Strongly agree

Agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

Disagree

Strongly agree

No opinion

No opinion

Agree

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

Disagree

No opinion

Agree

Disagree

Agree

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

Agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Agree

Agree

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

Disagree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

Disagree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

No opinion

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

No opinion

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

No opinion

Disagree

Disagree

Disagree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

Agree

Strongly agree

Agree

Agree

Disagree

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

No opinion

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

No opinion

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

No opinion

Agree

Disagree

Agree

Agree

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

No opinion

Agree

Agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

No opinion

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

Disagree

No opinion

Agree

No opinion

No opinion

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

Agree

Strongly agree

Agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

No opinion

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

Disagree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

No opinion

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

No opinion

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

No opinion

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

Disagree

Agree

No opinion

Agree

Agree

Disagree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

Disagree

Agree

Agree

No opinion

No opinion

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

Agree

Disagree

Disagree

Disagree

Disagree

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

Agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Agree

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

Disagree

Agree

Strongly agree

Agree

Strongly agree

No opinion

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

Agree

Agree

Agree

No opinion

Agree

No opinion

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

Agree

Strongly agree

Agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

Disagree

Agree

Agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

Agree

No opinion

No opinion

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Strongly agree

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities should have stronger powers

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive better training

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities' inspectors should receive more standardised training across the EU

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share more intelligence

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should better coordinate action

Disagree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Agree

Strongly agree

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Agree

No opinion

No opinion

Yes, to a moderate extent

No opinion

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Agree

Disagree

Disagree

Yes, to a moderate extent

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Agree

Strongly agree

Agree

No

The illegal activities described in question 8 have not diminished at all. On the contrary, the nature of the penalties is not deterrent to the illegal operators.

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Agree

No opinion

No opinion

Yes, to a moderate extent

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

No

Disagree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

No opinion

Disagree

Disagree

Yes, to a moderate extent

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Disagree

Disagree

Agree

No

Marknadskontrollen har inte de resurser som erfordras för att marknadskontrollen ska kunna utföras på en sådan nivå att risken för upptäkt vid avvikelser är uppenbar.

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Agree

Agree

Strongly agree

Yes, to a moderate extent

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Strongly agree

Agree

Agree

No

insufficient market surveillance to provide deterrence ?

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Yes, to a moderate extent

Disagree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

No

Nog nooit gehoord dat er binnen onze branche er systemen verplicht van de markt gehaald zijn.

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Strongly agree

Agree

Strongly agree

No

The latest investigations into VW emissions scandal show that a big majority of vehicles on the road do not respect the EU emission standards, often by a big margin. This clearly shows that being caught on the road during in-use testing is not a deterrent or a concern for carmakers.

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Yes, to a moderate extent

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Agree

Disagree

Agree

No

Vi har svaret nej, men det er efter Arbejdstilsynets opfattelse urealistisk at have en så omfattende markedsovervågning, at det er afskrækkelseseffekten der får de erhvervsdrivende til at overholde reglerne.

No opinion

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

Yes, to a moderate extent

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Yes, to a significant extent

Strongly disagree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Agree

Agree

Strongly agree

Yes, to a moderate extent

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

No

Les sanctions ne sont pas suffisamment appliquées.

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Yes, to a significant extent

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Agree

Strongly agree

Agree

No

Die Maßnahmen sind nicht stark genug, um vom Fehlverhalten abzuhalten. Es gibt zu viele Fälle, in denen keine Maßnahme ergriffen werden kann, weil z. B. der verantwortliche Wirtschaftsakteur nicht greifbar ist.

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Agree

No opinion

No opinion

Yes, to a significant extent

Strongly disagree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Strongly agree

Agree

Agree

No

The knowledge of market surveillance issues in the electrical sector by market surveillance authorities should be reinforced. Furthermore, the duration of procedures is too long and the level of sanctions not dissuasive enough.

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Agree

Disagree

Disagree

Yes, to a moderate extent

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

Disagree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

Disagree

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Yes, to a moderate extent

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

No opinion

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Yes, to a significant extent

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Strongly agree

Disagree

Disagree

No

La surveillance du marché dans le secteur électrique par les autorités de surveillance devrait être renforcée avec des formations adaptées. Les procédures sont trop longues et les sanctions pas assez dissuasives. L'Efficacité des actions de surveillance du marché doit être renforcée et le Processus de sanction plus réactif.

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Strongly agree

Agree

Agree

No

The coverage of market surveillance is limited. Usually consequences of placing noncompliant products on the market are mild.

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

Yes, to a significant extent

No opinion

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Agree

Disagree

Disagree

No

Market surveillance is a non-prioritised activity in MS, the major part of economic operators are not aware of the existence of MSAs.

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Strongly agree

Agree

Strongly agree

No

In RAPEX, the same categories of products and often the same non-compliances and risks can be observed over many years which means the influx of non-compliant products into the EU market does not stop. As there are not enough controls and fines are not high enough and sometimes the responsible economic operator cannot be found, there is insufficient

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Strongly agree

Agree

Strongly agree

No

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

No

The economic burden of selling non-compliant Products is too small to provide sufficient dererrence.

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Strongly agree

No opinion

Strongly agree

Yes, to a significant extent

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

Agree

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Yes, to a significant extent

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Agree

Agree

Agree

I do not know

No opinion

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Agree

Strongly agree

Agree

Yes, to a moderate extent

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Agree

Agree

Agree

No

There is very limited market surveillance and therefore limited deterrence.

No opinion

For the larger and complex HVACR products it looks very unlikely that MSAs would find sufficient funding and human resources competence at national level to deal with these products.

Up to now, most of the MSAs have been acting within the context of projects that have

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Strongly agree

Agree

Agree

Yes, to a moderate extent

Disagree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Yes, to a moderate extent

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Agree

Agree

Agree

Yes, to a significant extent

Strongly disagree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Agree

No opinion

No opinion

No

Markkinavalvonnalla on vaikutusta, mutta aina on yrityksiä, joiden strategia on tuoda mahdollisimman edullisia tuotteita. Erityisen edullisissa tuotteissa on usein säästetty myös turvallisuusominaisuuksissa. Vaikka yrityksen tuotteita on poistetaan markkinoilta, se ei riitä - seuraamukset tästä ovat yritykselle liian pieniä ja todennäköisyys jäädä kiinni on kohtalaisen pieni.

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Strongly agree

Agree

Strongly agree

No

In RAPEX, the same categories of products and often the same non-compliances and risks can be observed over many years which means the influx of non-compliant products into the EU market does not stop. As there are not enough controls and fines are not high enough and sometimes the responsible economic operator cannot be found, there is insufficient

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Strongly agree

Agree

Agree

Yes, to a moderate extent

No opinion

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

No opinion

Agree

Agree

Yes, to a moderate extent

No opinion

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Agree

Agree

Agree

No

Hardly exists

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

No

In 2015 only more or less 400 notifications related to textile and clothing items were received by RAPEX in the EU+EFTA. This is an obvious sign that no sufficient deterrence exist.

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Strongly agree

No opinion

No opinion

No

There are numerous examples of consistent, repeated, deliberate non-compliance even where market surveillance authorities have 'made contact' with the offender, hence it is clear that deterrence is ineffective.

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Agree

Strongly agree

Agree

Yes, to a moderate extent

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Yes, to a moderate extent

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Agree

No

The knowledge of market surveillance issues in the electrical sector by market surveillance authorities should be reinforced. Furthermore, the duration of procedures is too long and the level of sanctions not dissuasive enough. Efficiency of market surveillance actions must be reinforced and processes must be more reactive.

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Agree

No

The knowledge of market surveillance issues in the electrical sector by market surveillance authorities should be reinforced. Furthermore, the duration of procedures is too long and the level of sanctions not dissuasive enough. Efficiency of market surveillance actions must be reinforced and processes must be more reactive.

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Agree

Agree

Agree

No

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Strongly agree

Agree

Strongly agree

No

We believe that the primary function of Market Surveillance Authorities is not deterrence, but strengthening a compliance culture among economic operators through enforcement of the existing rules. At the moment, we see too much focus on formal non-compliance, rather than investigating instances of substantive technical non-compliance. There is also an issue with different levels of laws and sanctions in different countries. Sur-

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

Agree

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Yes, to a moderate extent

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Disagree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Strongly agree

No opinion

Strongly agree

No

The proportion of models being tested for Energy Efficiency is 0.1% (one in a thousand) according to Ecofys. No deterrence effect should be expected when the probability of being detected is so low.

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Strongly agree

No opinion

No opinion

Yes, to a moderate extent

No opinion

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Strongly agree

No opinion

No opinion

Yes, to a moderate extent

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Yes, to a moderate extent

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

No

The answer is No because there is an assumption among "free riders" that market surveillance authorities will not intervene. So there is not sufficient deterrence.

Disagree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

Yes, to a moderate extent

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Strongly agree

Agree

No opinion

I do not know

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Strongly agree

Agree

Strongly agree

I do not know

No opinion

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Agree

No

The knowledge of market surveillance issues in the electrical sector by market surveillance authorities should be reinforced. Furthermore, the duration of procedures is too long and the level of sanctions not dissuasive enough. Efficiency of market surveillance actions must be reinforced and processus must be more reactive.

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

No

There have been so few prosecutions of manufacturers with relation to CE marking of machinery in the 21 years since it became mandatory, that it is extremely unlikely that a manufacturer would be prosecuted for a non-compliance, even if someone gets killed because of negligence. The end user is much more likely to be prosecuted under National legislation, as it is easier for

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

No

actions are too rare and there is very little communication about the results towards the sector and stakeholders. The vast majority of stakeholders (including manufacturers, importers and distributors) is not even aware of the existence of market surveillance activities

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

No

Experience shows that some market players are honorable and responsible and will always respect the rules, while others are not and will not. Given that many MSAs do not have the financial or the human resources to actually surveil the market, the responsible players are the 'losers', while the non-compliant face a small risk of being caught and when they are caught the consequences are not sufficient.

No opinion

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Disagree

Disagree

Disagree

No

The market surveillance within the sector is not visible enough for the economic operators.

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

No

Several of our members' companies have done check measurements on products available on their market and found a number of instances of non-compliance.

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Agree

No opinion

No opinion

Yes, to a moderate extent

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

Agree

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Yes, to a moderate extent

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

No

Member companies' occasional monitoring of products on the market have uncovered a number of non-compliant products. This would imply lack of deterrence. Also the fact that the surveillance activities concentrates more on compliance of documentation rather than technical compliance is conducive to allowing technically non-compliant products to the market.

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Yes, to a moderate extent

Disagree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Agree

No opinion

No opinion

No

Grundsätzlich erzielen die deutschen Marktüberwachungsbehörden mir ihrer Arbeit einen zufriedenstellenden Abschreckungseffekt. Wir beobachten allerdings auch, dass dieser Abschreckungseffekt je nach Branche, Produktgruppe oder Vertriebskanal stark schwanken kann. So gibt es z.B. bei der Rechtsdurchsetzung gegenüber Onlinehändlern

Disagree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

No

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

No opinion

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Yes, to a moderate extent

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

No

Member companies have done check measurements on products available on the market and detected instances of non-compliance.
The duration of procedures is too long and the level of sanctions not dissuasive enough.

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Strongly agree

Agree

Strongly agree

No

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

No

While controls are exercised on food and beverage service packaging manufactured within the EU, there is no systematic market surveillance taking place for the same packaging imported from third countries.

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Strongly agree

No opinion

No opinion

No

There have been many cases Agree in the UK where there has been repeated, deliberate placing on the market of non-compliant machinery over many years by the same companies. There is clearly no effective deterrent in the current system.

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Yes, to a moderate extent

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Yes, to a moderate extent

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Agree

Yes, to a significant extent

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

Agree

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Yes, to a moderate extent

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Disagree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

No

There is no control on PPEs no certified if they don't have the CE mark, even if the use could be dangerous for the user.

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

Agree

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Yes, to a moderate extent

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Yes, to a moderate extent

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action	13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories	13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories	14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?	Please justify your answer	15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Agree	Disagree	Disagree	No	As the changes of being caught are very very low, there is no deterrence at all.	Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Yes, to a moderate extent

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Agree

Agree

Disagree

No

Market surveillance activities are limited in absolute numbers and the lack of immediate punitive action against the stakeholder, in some cases, does not send the message that the authorities are vigilant.

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Agree

Agree

Agree

No

Brak sankcji finansowych za stwierdzone niezgodności formalne, które działałyby bardziej odstraszająco niż nakazy wycofania wyrobu.

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Agree

Disagree

Disagree

Yes, to a moderate extent

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

Agree

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Yes, to a moderate extent

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Strongly agree

Agree

Agree

No

There is a strong inconsistency between the field experience of our service personnel and the number of known withdrawn products.

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Agree

Agree

Agree

I do not know

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Strongly agree

No opinion

No opinion

No

Alle Jahre wieder: Allg. Warnungen vor billig Produkten (z.B. Lichterketten) aus z.B. China vor Weihnachten. Eine effiziente Prüfung durch die Marktüberwachungsbehörden findet nicht statt. Überprüfung erst nach einem Unfall.
Noch ein Beispiel: RAPEX: Aus welchen Ländern kommen die meisten unsichereren Produkte her? Wann hat dort jje eine Marktüberwachung?

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Strongly agree

No opinion

No opinion

Yes, to a significant extent

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

Agree

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Yes, to a significant extent

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Agree

Agree

No opinion

Yes, to a significant extent

Disagree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Yes, to a moderate extent

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

No

Controls are too limited, critical aspect is also regarding on-line internet market

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

Disagree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

Disagree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

Disagree

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Yes, to a significant extent

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Disagree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Agree

Disagree

Disagree

Yes, to a moderate extent

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

No

viele Aktionen laufen ins Leere. Sanktionen unwirksam da zu gering.

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Agree

Agree

Agree

Yes, to a moderate extent

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

Yes, to a moderate extent

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Disagree

Agree

Disagree

No

Processes are slow and MS authorities have no sufficient power to implement sanctions

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Agree

Strongly agree

No opinion

No

In our sector, the market surveillance is insufficient. The risk for companies which sells non compliant products is to low.

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Agree

No opinion

Agree

No

No, absolutely not. The absence of pro-active surveillance in the IVD/devices sector means that vigilance filings rely entirely on the diligence of the manufacturer. My experience in the private sector has shown thousands of examples of concealing product issues from regulators. One company in business for almost 30 years only filed two vigilance reports and yet the notified

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Agree

Disagree

Disagree

Yes, to a moderate extent

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Agree

No opinion

Agree

Yes, to a moderate extent

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

No opinion

Agree

Disagree

Yes, to a moderate extent

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Agree

Agree

Agree

No

Volume and level of controls in place are not existing / sufficient.

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Agree

Agree

Agree

No

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Agree

Strongly agree

Agree

No

Insufficient and VERY UNEVEN surveillance across the EU countries.

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Strongly agree

Agree

Agree

No

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Disagree

Agree

Disagree

No

Chýba rozlišovanie tých, ktorí nemajú dosť informácií a chcú naplniť požiadavky od tých, ktorí sú neochotní (different approach for enforcement on "willings" and "unwillings") - tí čo nevedia a chcú sú často znechucovaní nepodstatnými požiadavkami a tí čo nechú si to vedia "vybaviť" (a čím vyššia možná sankcia, tým rýchlejšie).

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Agree

Agree

Agree

No

MS activity is extremely rare in the garden and grounds maintenance sector. They usually concentrate on toys, cosmetics and simple electrical products.

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

No

Je sais qu'en France les autorité de surveillance agissent sur les acteurs économiques présents mais se limitent à un examen de la sécurité des produits alors même que la compétition entre acteurs économiques a lieu sur les performances des produits. Ces performances sont malgré tout comprises dans le marquage CE et vont se durcir avec la mise en place des règlements ECODSIGN

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

No

nadzór rynku jest prowadzony, ale personel jest jeszcze zbyt mało doświadczony i wykwalifikowany (chodzi o szkolenia w tym zakresie), aby móc udowodnić winę przedsiębiorcy i zastosować działania odstraszające

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Strongly agree

Agree

Strongly agree

No

Es hapert bereits an der Diskrepanz zwischen EU- und deutscher Gesetzgebung, z.B. TrinkwV 2001 §17.

Disagree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Yes, to a moderate extent

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

I do not know

No opinion

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

No

For some formal non conformities there are (at least in Germany) no fines defined when detected for the first time. Keeping such formal requirements anyhow can cause significantly higher costs than ignoring them.

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Agree

Agree

Agree

I do not know

No opinion

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

No

The results/ measures taken towards importers or manufactures of not compliant products are not public. Many do not know how active or inactive the authorities are. Even with non-compliant results in tests performed by market surveillance activities, consequences for manufacturer / importers / dealers are not very popular. Market surveillance authorities are highly afraid of

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Strongly agree

Agree

Strongly agree

No

Hétérogénéité entre la surveillance du marché entre les Etats membres. Inexistante en réalité dans certains Etats. L'ensemble crée une concurrence déloyale.

No opinion

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

No

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

I do not know

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action	13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories	13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories	14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?	Please justify your answer	15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Strongly agree	Strongly agree	Strongly agree	No		No opinion

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Agree

Yes, to a moderate extent

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Agree

Agree

Strongly agree

I do not know

Disagree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

Agree

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Yes, to a moderate extent

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

No

Due to the insufficient surveillance.

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Strongly agree

No opinion

No opinion

No

I de fall ärenden lämnas till åklagare läggs de flesta ner.

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Strongly agree

Agree

Agree

No

CE still meand "China Export". EU is only economic area where you can export you electrical appliance without third party certification. This has lead to situation that EU is a "junk yard" of the world. Just but CE in you product and that's it. Ref. US and China, not so easy to enter those markets.

Disagree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Yes, to a moderate extent

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

No

- brak doświadczeń w tym temacie

Disagree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

Agree

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Yes, to a moderate extent

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Disagree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Agree

Strongly agree

Agree

Yes, to a moderate extent

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Yes, to a moderate extent

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Strongly agree

Disagree

Agree

Yes, to a moderate extent

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

No

Disagree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Yes, to a moderate extent

Disagree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Agree

Agree

Agree

Yes, to a moderate extent

Disagree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Agree

Agree

Agree

I do not know

No opinion

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

Agree

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Yes, to a moderate extent

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

I do not know

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

Disagree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

Disagree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

Disagree

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Yes, to a moderate extent

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Disagree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Disagree

Agree

Agree

No

No real market surveillance, only minor spot checks, general opinion is that market surveillance does not exist.

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Agree

No opinion

No opinion

No

Not enough coverage

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Agree

No opinion

No opinion

I do not know

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Strongly agree

Agree

Strongly agree

I do not know

No opinion

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

Agree

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Yes, to a moderate extent

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Strongly agree

Agree

Agree

No

Es diferente según la autoridad en cada país y región, pero en España la vigilancia se centra sobre los fabricantes / distribuidores / importadores registrados que son los únicos que finalmente cumplen con los requisitos. Sin embargo, no se impide de manera efectiva el uso de productos no conformes en el comercio y la industria, donde los importadores / fabricantes / distribuidores de estos productos tienen un amplio

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Strongly agree

Agree

Agree

No

Due to poor detection of non-compliant products, fines that are currently in place are too rarely applied to discourage unethical operators from selling potentially dangerous products on the EU market. The absence of deterrence is such that figures show an increase in the number of non-compliant products entering the market (see answer to question 7). Fines and penalties need to be not only harmonised across

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

No

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Agree

Agree

Disagree

No

We recently saw action regarding Hoverboards which has substantially affected this category and removed many dangerous products from the market. We are ware that manufacturers of new hover boards are extremely careful to ensure compliance. This would be a great benefit to the toy industry as there are still companies that do not comply and know they will not be challenged.

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Agree

Agree

Agree

No

Zadny dozor neexistuje, jelikoz neexistuje ani zadna norma ci smernice tykajici se zdravotni nezavadnosti vyrobku.

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Disagree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Yes, to a moderate extent

Strongly disagree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Agree

Strongly agree

Agree

No

Fines are not sufficient, the survey activities are too few

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Agree

Strongly agree

Agree

No

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Disagree

No opinion

Disagree

Yes, to a moderate extent

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Yes, to a significant extent

No opinion

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Agree

No opinion

Agree

Yes, to a moderate extent

Agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Agree

Agree

Agree

Yes, to a moderate extent

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Strongly agree

Agree

Agree

No

The results / measures taken against importers or manufacturers of non-compliant products are not public. It is therefore hard to know how active or not the authorities are.

Even with non-compliant results in tests performed by market surveillance activities, consequences for manufacturers / importers / dealers are not very popular. Market surveillance

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Strongly agree

No opinion

No opinion

No

Disagree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Yes, to a significant extent

Strongly disagree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Strongly agree

Agree

Strongly agree

I do not know

Strongly agree

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should better coordinate action

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities within a Member State should share capacity of testing laboratories

13. How could the resources for market surveillance activities be used more efficiently in your sector?: Market surveillance authorities of different Member States should share capacity of testing laboratories

14. Do you think that market surveillance in your sector provides sufficient deterrence?

Please justify your answer

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more resources

Strongly agree

Agree

Strongly agree

No

Strongly agree

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Agree

No opinion

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Deterrence is only be on aspect. MS is also an oportunity to spread knowledge.
In the "stick and carrot"-approach, there should be more emphasis on the "carrot"-part, ie. the benefit of having a CE-markt Product i a global marked. CE can be seen as a Quality stamp i other markets.

Agree

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Agree

Coinvolgere maggiormente le associazioni dei produttori per sensibilizzare i propri associati

Strongly agree

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Agree

Disagree

Agree

Disagree

a) Relocating more human resources to surveillance, b) conducting targeted surveillance plans in market sectors which are known to have an abundance of operators with a non-conforming behaviour (small-size market, e-shopping through TV, Internet and similar channels) and c) applying directly restrictive measures to those who place products in the market with no local language labels,

Agree

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Include actions on non-serious notified bodies. Manufacturers need serious help to meet the requirements even from notified bodies with low prices.

Agree

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Agree

establishing a high efficiency big data base for batch production compliance control, survey and recall.

Strongly agree

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Strongly agree

Disagree

Disagree

Strongly disagree

The quality and volume of enforcement activities in the EU varies widely. This creates uncertainty by economic operators and consumers. The publication of restrictive measures (eg RAPEX) does create reputation effects but unfortunately the quality of the data within RAPEX is poor. In many instances, RAPEX notifications are made which, were the matters to be taken to court,

Strongly agree

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Strongly disagree

Disagree

Disagree

Agree

No opinion

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Disagree

Strongly disagree

Disagree

Agree

Bei Veröffentlichung von Feststellungen und Maßnahmen aus dem Bereich der Marktüberwachung ist es schwierig zwischen dem Informationsbedürfnis der Öffentlichkeit und dem Schutz der betroffenen Firmen oder Personen abzuwägen. Es besteht immer die Gefahr von Schadenersatzklagen, die für eine Behörde schlecht kalkulierbare finanzielle Belastungen darstellen.

Strongly agree

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Agree

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Disagree

Agree

Agree

Strongly agree

Publicity is needed not just for restrictive measures - where non-compliance has been discovered. monitoring actions should be published regardless of the outcome. This would reassure manufacturers that actions are being undertaken and further encourage a level playing field.

There are however, restrictions and complications to this point. Some member

Strongly agree

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Strongly agree

Agree

Agree

Strongly agree

De pakkans vergroten is veel belangrijker dan hoge boete's. Als de kans 1 op 10000 is dat je gepakt wordt en dan een mega boete krijgt, of de kans is 1 op 100 bij een normale boete, lijkt me het 2e systeem veel beter. Een schrikbewind lijkt me zo wie zo niet de oplossing

Agree

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Agree

No opinion

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Having independent market surveillance which is done not by the same Member State and/or testing service that issued the original type approval for a vehicle would greatly help. For this reason, the European Commission - via its JRC lab - should be given powers to conduct independent compliance verification of vehicles already in use, to take remedy action such as mandating recalls and to fine

Agree

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Agree

Agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Disagree

Disagree

No opinion

Agree

I de efterfølgende spørgsmål har vi svaret 'uenig' til en lang række spørgsmål. Det er ikke fordi vi er uenige i selve indholdet af spørgsmålene, men vi mener at vi allerede udnytter de forskellige muligheder og er effektive.

Disagree

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

No opinion

Agree

No opinion

No opinion

Strongly agree

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Strongly agree

Disagree

Disagree

Disagree

Die Überwachungsbehörden sollten Ihre Ergebnisse mit den Branchenorganisationen teilen. Die Auswertung in den Organisationen kann häufig Fehlentwicklungen aufdecken (ggf. ist eine Konforme Produktion in einem bestimmten Prozess mit einer bestimmten Maschine nicht möglich). Voneinander lernen steigert die Effizienz der Kontrollmassnahmen.

Agree

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Disagree

Disagree

Disagree

Agree

Eestis on antud nõuete rikkumise korral järelevalveasutusele võimalus erinevate meetmete rakendamiseks. Samuti on jäetud iga konkreetse juhtumi puhul ka kaalutlusõigus, mis üldjuhul annab järelevalveasutusele piisava paindlikkuse. Seega on üldiselt piisavad vahendid õiguslikult olemas.

Agree

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Strongly agree

Disagree

Disagree

Strongly agree

No opinion

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Agree

Strongly agree

Agree

Strongly agree

Ne

Agree

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Agree

Agree

Strongly agree

Agree

Die Veröffentlichung der Informationen über gefährliche Produkte und Maßnahmen muss auf EU-Ebene zentral vorgehalten und verbraucherfreundlicher gestaltet werden.

Strongly agree

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Agree

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

Die Fragestellung klammert den wichtigen Umstand aus, dass bei Verstoß gegen Produktsicherheits-Vorschriften erhebliche finanzielle und auch strafrechtliche Risiken für Unternehmen und deren Führungskräfte infolge der Produkthaftung, Produzentenhaftung bzw. vertraglichen Mängelhaftung sowie weiterer Gesetze entstehen. Darüber hinaus führen die üblicherweise

Agree

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

A stronger public-private partnership between market surveillance authorities and industries could improve the effectiveness of market surveillance actions. The creation of a non-profit private entity of collective interest composed by representatives of public authorities and industries and recognized by the French public authorities (through a delegation agreement) could help to support market

Strongly agree

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Agree

Agree

Disagree

Strongly agree

Disagree

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Agree

Agree

Disagree

Strongly agree

Disagree

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Agree

No opinion

No opinion

Agree

No opinion

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Un partenariat public-privé renforcée entre les autorités de surveillance du marché et les instances privées (comme ASEC) pourrait améliorer l'efficacité des actions de surveillance du marché. La principale question est de savoir comment obtenir la reconnaissance des preuves "privées" afin de lancer des actions officielles contre les opérateurs économiques injustes. Prenez en exemple

Strongly agree

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Agree

No opinion

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

What comes to the deterrence of market surveillance action, we do not believe that higher fines and other sanctions would be the most efficient way to develop the current system. In order to improve market surveillance system, in our view, as a first step, cooperation between the authorities and economic operators should be improved. In addition, guidance on product

No opinion

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

More Resources, better coordination among MSAs on the single market.

Agree

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Disagree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

For serious risks, products should more often be seized and destroyed instead of sending them back to an economic operator, e.g. outside the EU as they could either come back to the EU market through another channel or being sold outside the EU, thereby threatening consumers in other parts of the world.

Strongly agree

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

No opinion

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Market surveillance is only part of the solution. Information about EEA legislation is very important. Large European Companies do not know restrictions on substances in the POP's regulation and REACH annex XVII. Non-compliance cannot be solved by market surveillance alone.

Strongly agree

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Strongly disagree

Agree

Agree

Strongly agree

The Agency has very limited human resources that are used to fully and the most efficient way possible. Therefore we strongly disagree on second question above.

Strongly agree

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Strongly agree

Disagree

No opinion

Disagree

Agree

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

Agree

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

No opinion

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Disagree

No opinion

Agree

Agree

It may be appropriate to give more visibility to market surveillance actions and sanctions, even though these last are limited at present.

Agree

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Strongly agree

Disagree

Disagree

Strongly agree

Agree

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Strongly agree

No opinion

Agree

Strongly agree

The measures taken by authorities against importers/manufacturers for non-compliance are not made public, which makes it difficult to judge how active or inactive the authorities are. All Member States should regularly publish the results of their market surveillance activities/decisions. If findings of non-compliance were published, this would have a negative reputational impact on the manufacturers that did

Agree

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Agree

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

No opinion

Die Fragestellung klammert den wichtigen Umstand aus, dass bei Verstoß gegen Produktsicherheitsvorschriften erhebliche finanzielle und auch strafrechtliche Risiken für Unternehmen und deren Führungskräfte infolge der Produkthaftung, Produzentenhaftung bzw. vertraglichen Mängelhaftung sowie weiterer Gesetze entstehen. Darüber hinaus führen die üblicherweise verhängten

Agree

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Agree

Agree

Strongly agree

Agree

Avataan markkinavalvontarekisteri (open data), jolloin yritykset voivat tehdä siitä applikaatioita, joilla kuluttajat voivat tarkistaa tuotteen vaatimustenmukaisuuden.

Strongly agree

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Disagree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

For serious risks, products should more often be seized and destroyed instead of sending them back to an economic operator, e.g. outside the EU as they could either come back to the EU market through another channel or being sold outside the EU, thereby threatening consumers in other parts of the world.

Strongly agree

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Strongly agree

No opinion

Disagree

Strongly disagree

In our view RAPEX is the sufficient tool to gathering the overall data and monitoring trends and developments of product safety. Our understanding is that commercial operators find RAPEX a useful tool in their daily work.

Agree

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

No opinion

Disagree

No opinion

Disagree

We believe there is no need to improve the deterrence of market surveillance action.

The businesses shall be sanctioned by court, not by the market surveillance authorities.

Agree

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Strongly agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Strongly agree

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

No opinion

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

A better and stronger coordination between market surveillance authorities would increase deterrence.

Strongly agree

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Agree

Disagree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Fines and other penalties should not be based on a set amount but be determined in relation to the actual or potential benefit from trading in non-compliant products.

Strongly agree

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Strongly agree

Disagree

Strongly disagree

Disagree

Portuguese authorities have extended sufficient power to control the market.
The fines are already incredible high taking into consideration the Portuguese economy and market.
Enough publicity is already given.

Agree

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Disagree

Agree

Strongly agree

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

A stronger public-private partnership between market surveillance authorities and industries could improve the effectiveness of market surveillance actions. The creation of a non-profit private entity of collective interest composed by representatives of public authorities and industries and recognized by the national public authorities could help to support market surveillance. Main issue is

Strongly agree

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

A stronger public-private partnership between market surveillance authorities and industries could improve the effectiveness of market surveillance actions. The creation of a non-profit private entity of collective interest composed by representatives of public authorities and industries and recognized by the national public authorities could help to support market surveillance. Main issue is

Strongly agree

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Agree

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Agree

Disagree

Disagree

Disagree

Any kind of public information on non-compliance in the market should respect the reputation of the economic operators. The included data must be anonymized, except maybe in very serious cases. Instead of more publicity, a homogeneous scheme of sanctions and fees throughout all Member States is needed. This scheme must be accompanied by better and more efficient enforcement of existing law,

Strongly agree

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Agree

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

Agree

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Disagree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

The budget for market surveillance at EU level could rise at ca. 1 EUR/ inhabitant per year, i.e. a total of 450 to 500 MEUR / year. Non-compliance has a highly destructive effect on confidence across the whole value chain, and as such on the very functioning of the internal market for goods (cf. famous article by Nobel Prize winner Akerlof on the market for "lemons"). In addition, non-compliance destroys

Strongly agree

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

Eine sorgfältige und angemessene Marktüberwachung ist grundsätzlich zu befürworten, da sie es ermöglicht, zum einen unsichere oder auf andere Weise schädliche Produkte zu ermitteln und vom Markt fernzuhalten oder zu nehmen und zum anderen unehrliche oder sogar kriminelle Akteure zu bestrafen. Es ist allerdings zu beachten, dass dies in erster Linie der Sache und nicht der

Agree

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Agree

No opinion

No opinion

Agree

Agree

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

No opinion

No opinion

Agree

Disagree

Strongly agree

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Strongly agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Regarding the increase of power, we believe that there is sufficient power in place, but the authorities should develop mechanisms for preventing free riders from making money, which can be a source of finance. There should also be more publicity awarded to restrictive measures against non-compliance. However, it should not disgrace an entire sector of products. Although our reply indicated that we were not in favour of an increase in power, we do not think that the authorities should be given more powers.

No opinion

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Strongly agree

Disagree

Disagree

Agree

Strongly agree

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Strongly agree

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Strongly agree

No opinion

Disagree

Strongly disagree

MSAs should focus on increasing the chances of getting caught by applying a more risk-based approach. This is more effective than increasing powers of MSAs, increasing penalties or giving more publicity to non-compliance. Giving authorities more powers or increasing fines will be counterproductive. Most likely it will be (law-abiding) genuine operators who unintentionally are

Agree

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

A stronger public-private partnership between market surveillance authorities and industries could improve the effectiveness of market surveillance actions. The creation of a non-profit private entity of collective interest composed by representatives of public authorities and industries and recognized by the national public authorities could help to support market surveillance. Main issue is

Strongly agree

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Strongly agree

Disagree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Start using the legislation, start prosecuting manufacturers who ignore CE marking, start reviewing Technical Files when things go wrong, start using the existing powers and publicise the fact that market surveillance is happening.

Agree

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Strongly agree

Agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

More information from the market surveillance authorities on actions and results to the sector helps the awareness and knowledge of economic operators and customers. At the same time, transparency is also needed on how MS authorities operate. Cooperation with sector organisations helps the authorities to spread information and on the other hand gives them access to

Agree

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

No opinion

Agree

Agree

Disagree

The deterrence of market surveillance action could be increased through enforcement and an appropriate level of fines.

Also, a potential option is for the offender to be required to bring into compliance non-compliant equipment at their own expense either through replacement or re-certification. Repeat offenders may have their access to market revoked.

Strongly agree

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Agree

Strongly disagree

Disagree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Strongly agree

Disagree

Agree

Agree

Market surveillance should be more visible and sanctions should have deterrence proportionate to the living standards of each EU country (homogeneous scheme of sanctions). Sanctions and their consequences should be advertised more prominently at both national and EU level, mostly in the professional communication channels: we do not imply that companies should be stigmatised. The purpose

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Agree

Agree

Agree

Disagree

Where Member States do not already have sufficient powers to deter rogue traders they should be granted them. However, this should in turn be paired with efficient appeal procedures for businesses to use in order to protect them from wrongdoing or misapplication of the law by market surveillance authorities. Balancing investigative BusinessEurope comments on the Internal Market for

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Strongly agree

Disagree

Disagree

Disagree

We would only consider imposing higher fines to be fair where there have been repeated offences. Deterrence measures should be targeted at companies that deliberately flout the rules, which are typically those that do not have established and well-respected brands. In our experience, deterrence measures typically do not impact on the companies most responsible for non-compliance.

Agree

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Strongly agree

Disagree

Agree

Agree

Effective exercise of the powers conferred to authorities under regulation 765/2008 is sufficient and additional powers are not necessary.

Fines should be left to member states to determine, although they are necessary and should be measured proportionately to reflect such things as different market conditions, severity of the offence and possible repeat

Agree

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Strongly agree

Disagree

Disagree

Strongly agree

Increase of deterrence comes Agree from:
- effectiveness across EU of the market surveillance started in one Country;
- increase of "reputation effect";
- increase in uniformity, coordination, coherence with defined priorities among the authorities of different Countries.

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Agree

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

In Deutschland mangelt es den Marktüberwachungsbehörden weder an den erforderlichen Befugnissen, um ihre Aufgaben effektiv zu erfüllen, noch an der Möglichkeit abschreckende Geldbußen auszusprechen. Durch bessere Koordinierung zwischen den Ländern könnten die Mittel jedoch noch effizienter eingesetzt werden. Für einen effektiven Verbraucherschutz und einen

Agree

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Agree

Disagree

Agree

Agree

Member States should have sufficient powers to deter rough traders. However, it should also be possible for business operators who challenge the decision to have an efficient appeals system otherwise they are not able to protect themselves from wrong doing. A Quick Assessment Procedure along the lines suggested by Business Europe for assessment of mutual recognition could also be

Agree

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Strongly agree

No opinion

No opinion

Disagree

As evidenced by e.g. RAPEX reports, manufacturers bringing non-compliant products with a danger for the health and safety to the market are usually not well-known companies. These companies are not impacted by reputation damage. Focusing on more publicity will deter market surveillance authorities from going after those companies. The prospect of product recall and products being on

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Agree

No opinion

Agree

Agree

Market surveillance should be more visible and sanctions should have deterrence proportionate to the living standards of each member state. Sanctions and their consequences should be advertised more prominently both at national as at EU level.

Strongly agree

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

No opinion

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

More resources for preventive market surveillance.

Strongly agree

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Typically, importers/distributors based in the EU source some, or all, of the food and beverage service packaging that they place on the single market from manufacturers located in a non-EU country. Many of these importers/distributors take inadequate or no measures at all to guarantee that the products they are purchasing for resale meet EU requirements, especially, as falsification of

Strongly agree

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Strongly agree

Disagree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Currently the scales of fines and penalties are set amounts and not related to the value of the trade in non-compliant products. Often a single sale of a non-compliant machine in our sector can generate profits higher than the potential fine, hence the deterrent effect is negligible. Penalties should be based on the value of the trade, not on set figures.

Strongly agree

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Strongly agree

Disagree

No opinion

Disagree

Caution against measures that could lead to serious reputation damage for compliant sellers as a result of lack of communication or errors in procedures or difficulties in communicating with other authorities.

Agree

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Strongly agree

Disagree

Disagree

Strongly agree

Market surveillance measures should be carried out more regularly and thoroughly, and these should be publicised. Participants throughout the supply chain should be aware of their responsibilities. The industry, through trade associations, is willing to contribute and increase its support in publicising message to the supply chain. A stronger public-private partnership between market surveillance

Strongly agree

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Agree

Agree

Agree

Strongly agree

Agree

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Agree

Disagree

Agree

Agree

Agree

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Fines should be high enough to ensure the responsible will not repeat the activity in the future.

Agree

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Disagree

Agree

Disagree

Agree

Strongly agree

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Agree

Agree

Agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Strongly agree

Agree

Agree

Strongly agree

The changes of the product being removed from the market and even sanctions like product recalls should be increased dramatically. At the same time this should be "marketed". It must become clear to the industry that non-compliance is not acceptable and severe penalties await those who place non compliant products on the market. Specific for the Netherlands it is important that more

Strongly agree

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Strongly agree

Agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Market Surveillance Authorities and other competent bodies should feed in results of their surveillance to EMAS III as well as ISO 14001 registrars. Such certificates should publicly be withdrawn in case of major deviations of noncompliance related to product safety, e.g. arising from REACH.

Strongly agree

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Agree

Strongly agree

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Disagree

Drobne sankcje finansowe za stwierdzone niezgodności formalne zamiast nieskutecznych i nieegzekwowalnych nakazów wycofania wyrobu.

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

No opinion

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

No opinion

Agree

Agree

Strongly agree

Disagree

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Agree

Disagree

Disagree

Strongly agree

Our sector is pretty "niche"; but some imports from outside the EU at the lower end of the market are often not fit for purpose and occasionally not as safe as they should be in some circumstances, and if they were "shamed" they would just re-appear under a different name in a few months through other cut price distributors. Difficult to enforce. Just need to seize and destroy stocks when

Strongly agree

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

No opinion

Agree

Agree

Agree

Überprüfung beim Import in die EU mit Zertifikaten.
Beispiel
Türkei/China/Russland:
Durch den Zoll, anhand der Zolltarifnummer wird ein entsprechendes Zertifikat (EU-Konformitätserklärung) durch den Hersteller/Importeur abgefragt.

No opinion

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

No opinion

Agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Performing market surveillance

Agree

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

Disagree

Disagree

Disagree

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Strongly agree

Disagree

Disagree

Agree

Agree

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Strongly disagree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Disagree

Agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Agree

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Agree

Disagree

Disagree

Disagree

Unser Marktüberwachungskonzept beinhaltet all diese Faktoren und bietet genügend Spielraum.

Agree

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Agree

Disagree

Agree

Strongly agree

Agree

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Agree

Agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Agree

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

No opinion

No opinion

Agree

Agree

IF CUSTOMERS/CLIENTS HAD THE RIGHT TO SEE E.G. A TCF INCLUDING THE RISK ASSESSMENT FOR A MACHINE, THIS WOULD MEAN THAT SUPPLIERS WOULD FOCUS MORE ON COMPLYING WITH MACHINERY DIRECTIVE BEFORE CE MARKING THE MACHINE.

Strongly agree

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Agree

Agree

No opinion

No opinion

Provide feedback to industry organisations on the most common issues. As an example, problems with CE marking is always listed but never providing details. Is it missing, wrong size, wrong symbol wrong shape.... If more details was shared industry organisations could inform it's members to pay attention to the issues.

Agree

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Most favoured actions :
- cooperation with stakeholders
- financing by sanctions
- create public:private organisations in charge of given sectors
- selective actions within sectors

Strongly agree

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

No.

Strongly agree

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Active surveillance by regulators, NCA audits/inspections, NCA audit of Notified Bodies, the introduction of a warning letter type system which publishes non-conformities and a campaign to engage HCPs and patients in reporting product issues.

Strongly agree

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Disagree

Disagree

Agree

Strongly agree

Agree

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

Agree

Agree

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Strongly agree

Strongly disagree

Disagree

Disagree

The prospect of product recall Agree and products being on RAPEX is a deterrent that is a consequence of good market surveillance practices but there are too few examples of this "best practice" and too many examples where products are listed on RAPEX that appear not to present a serious risk. The quality of data underpinning RAPEX notifications is poor in too many cases and ought to be

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Strongly agree

Disagree

Strongly agree

Agree

Limited effect due to low amount of performed surveillance (see comment 14.)

No opinion

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Agree

Disagree

Agree

Agree

Strongly agree

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Agree

Agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

More coordinated action, better surveillance and heavier penalties.

Agree

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Agree

Agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Impose reach on imported products

Strongly agree

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Strongly agree

Disagree

Strongly disagree

Agree

Je potrebné zásadné zvýšenie odborných znalostí úradníkov pracujúcich v orgánoch trhového dohľadu. Momentálny stav odrádzajúceho účinku je najmä odrádzanie od spolupráce s orgánmi trhového dohľadu.

Agree

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Agree

Disagree

Strongly agree

Agree

Increase product testing even in those complex technical areas which are considered as 'too difficult/too costly'. Also alert manufacturers to the fact that their products have been tested, even if they are compliant, as manufacturers are usually only approached if there is a non-compliance.

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

No opinion

Agree

Agree

Agree

Permettre aux autorités nationales de contrôler tous les acteurs qu'ils soient nationaux ou étrangers
Que les campagnes de surveillance sur un thème donné le soit au niveau européen avec une large publicité au sein des filières concernées.

Strongly agree

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Agree

Disagree

Strongly agree

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Disagree

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

No opinion

Agree

Agree

Agree

Also define higher fines for formal non-conformities, in order to ensure better equality between the competing manufacturers.

No opinion

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Agree

No opinion

No opinion

Agree

No opinion

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Strongly agree

Agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Public information about non-compliance and high payments are the best measures that can be taken.
To save money the authorities need to work efficiently.
Member states need to publish the results of their market surveillance activities/decisions.

Agree

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Agree

No opinion

No opinion

Agree

Agree

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Agree

Agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

FINES MUST DOUBLE IN CASE OF RECURRENCE

Strongly agree

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Strongly disagree

Agree

Agree

No opinion

MS should be more present in the public perception.

Strongly agree

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Agree

No opinion

Agree

Agree

Agree

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Strongly agree

Disagree

Agree

Disagree

Regulation 765/2008 provides for comprehensive and sufficient competencies for national market surveillance authorities. These include the powers mentioned in the questions above and below. The important point is effective implementation and use of these powers. National law explicitly allows for imposing sanctions against all actors involved in the marketing of products.

Strongly agree

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Strongly agree

Disagree

Disagree

Agree

Publicitar las reglas comunes del mercado a través de las asociaciones oportunas. Utilizar las red EEN para hacer campañas de sensibilización por sectores y productos

Agree

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Agree

Disagree

Agree

Strongly agree

Mit der zukünftigen EU-Verordnung über Medizinprodukte soll den Behörden die Befugnis eingeräumt werden nicht konforme Ware zu vernichten bzw. vernichten zu lassen. Soweit sich die Nichtkonformität bestätigt, ist dies sicherlich ein probates Mittel um eine höhere Abschreckung zu erzielen.

Agree

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Disagree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Signifently increase the number of controles.

Strongly agree

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Agree

Disagree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Tydliggör lagstiftningen, alltför många ärenden läggs ner hos åklagarna. Tillsynens avskräckande effekt försvinner till stor del på grund av att åklagarna resonerar väldigt olika. Straff döms väldigt sällan ut. I de flesta fall händer ingenting alls.

Strongly agree

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Agree

Agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Agree

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Strongly agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Strongly agree

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Agree

Disagree

Disagree

No opinion

No opinion

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Agree

Disagree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Agree

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Strongly agree

Disagree

Agree

Strongly agree

No

Agree

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Agree

Agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Agree

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Strongly agree

Agree

Disagree

Strongly agree

Agree

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Agree

Agree

Disagree

Agree

Agree

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Agree

Agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

самите контролни органи са корумпирани или незаинтересовани

Strongly agree

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

ne

Agree

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

ne

Strongly agree

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Disagree

Disagree

Agree

Agree

Die Überwachung verbrauchernaher Produkte (kosmetische Mittel, Bedarfsgegenstände und Tabakerzeugnisse in Deutschland wird laufend und risikoorientiert durchgeführt. Fachliche Beurteilungen und Vollzugsmaßnahmen werden in Gremien abgestimmt. Neben nationalen Überwachungsprogrammen (BÜP, Monitoring) setzen die einzelnen Bundesländer gezielt individuelle

Disagree

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Agree

Agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Agree

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Agree

No opinion

No opinion

Agree

Agree

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Strongly agree

No opinion

Disagree

Strongly disagree

- Toezichthouders moeten zich richten op een meer risico-gebaseerde aanpak om zo de pakkans bij niet naleving te vergroten. Dat is effectiever dan de competenties uitbreiden, boetes verhogen, of meer publiciteit aan niet-naleving van de regels te geven. Competenties uitbreiden zal weinig zin hebben, zeker in Nederland hebben toezichthouders al heel veel competenties.

Agree

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Agree

Disagree

Agree

Strongly agree

The fines should be different between small formal mistakes and really non-compliant devices placed on the market.

Agree

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Strongly agree

Disagree

Agree

Disagree

La vigilancia debe realizarse de forma preferente sobre nuevos actores en el mercado, es decir nuevos distribuidores / importadores que introducen productos no conformes sin ser conscientes en muchos casos que el mercado para dichos productos está regulado.

Strongly agree

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Agree

Agree

Agree

Strongly agree

In addition to the measures suggested in question 15, we propose a new system of pre-validation for economic operators with several violations. This system would permit the European Commission or the competent national market surveillance authority to require economic operators that have repeatedly introduced products presenting a serious risk on the market to demonstrate

Agree

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Agree

No opinion

Agree

Disagree

Many (if not most) economic operators are doing their utmost to comply with product safety rules, and non-compliance is often the result of a lack of clear information on the application of product rules, misinterpretation of applicable legislation, or subcontracting production to third parties who have themselves not complied.

Imposing higher fines could in theory increase the

Disagree

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Disagree

Disagree

Agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Agree

No opinion

Agree

Agree

No opinion

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Agree

Disagree

Agree

Agree

Agree

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Agree

Disagree

Strongly agree

Agree

Agree

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Strongly agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Disagree

Agree

Strongly disagree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Podrobnou dokumentaci mohu doložit.

Strongly agree

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Agree

Disagree

Disagree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Agree

Agree

Agree

Strongly agree

Disagree

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Strongly agree

Agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Public information about non-compliance, and high fines are the best measures that can be taken.

To save money, the authorities need to work efficiently.

Agree

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Agree

Disagree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Agree

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Strongly agree

Strongly disagree

Agree

Strongly disagree

No opinion

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Agree

Agree

No opinion

Agree

Strongly agree

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Through more efficient use of existing resources

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving authorities more powers

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Imposing higher fines for serious non-compliance

15. How could the deterrence of market surveillance action be improved in your sector?: Giving more publicity to restrictive measures adopted against non-compliance (reputation effect)

16. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to increase the deterrence of market surveillance action?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to issue requests for information

Strongly agree

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information	17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises	17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises	17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free	17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping	17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Agree

Disagree

No opinion

Strongly agree

Agree

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Agree

Strongly agree

Agree

Disagree

Disagree

Strongly disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

Strongly disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

Strongly disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information	17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises	17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises	17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free	17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping	17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Strongly agree

Agree

Agree

Disagree

Agree

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Agree

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

Agree

Disagree

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

Strongly disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Strongly disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Agree

Disagree

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

Strongly disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Strongly disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Agree

Disagree

No opinion

Agree

Strongly agree

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Agree

Disagree

Disagree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

Strongly disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

Strongly disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Agree

No opinion

No opinion

Strongly disagree

Strongly agree

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information	17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises	17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises	17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free	17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping	17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

No opinion

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

Strongly disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Strongly disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information	17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises	17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises	17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free	17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping	17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Disagree

Agree

Agree

Strongly disagree

Strongly agree

Strongly disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Agree

No opinion

No opinion

Disagree

Disagree

Strongly disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information	17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises	17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises	17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free	17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping	17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Disagree

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Agree

Disagree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Agree

Disagree

Agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information	17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises	17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises	17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free	17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping	17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information	17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises	17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises	17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free	17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping	17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Agree

Agree

Agree

Disagree

Disagree

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Strongly agree

Agree

Disagree

No opinion

Agree

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information	17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises	17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises	17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free	17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping	17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Strongly agree

Disagree

Disagree

Agree

Agree

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

Strongly disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Strongly disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information	17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises	17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises	17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free	17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping	17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Agree

Strongly agree

Strongly disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Agree

Disagree

Agree

No opinion

Strongly disagree

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information	17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises	17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises	17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free	17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping	17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Agree

Strongly agree

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Strongly agree

Disagree

Agree

Agree

Strongly disagree

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Strongly agree

Agree

Disagree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Strongly agree

Agree

Agree

Disagree

Strongly agree

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

Strongly disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

Strongly disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

Strongly disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Strongly disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

Strongly disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

Strongly disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Disagree

Agree

No opinion

Agree

No opinion

Strongly disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information	17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises	17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises	17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free	17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping	17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Disagree

Disagree

Disagree

Disagree

Agree

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

Strongly disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

Strongly disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Agree

Disagree

Disagree

No opinion

No opinion

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

No opinion

No opinion

Strongly agree

Strongly disagree

Strongly agree

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Agree

Disagree

Agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information	17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises	17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises	17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free	17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping	17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Strongly agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Strongly agree

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take temporary measures against products when relevant economic operators do not reply to requests for information

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to inspect business premises

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to sanction economic operators that do not submit to inspections of business premises

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take samples for free

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to do mystery shopping

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take interim restrictive measures (e.g. seize products, ban sales) pending compliance assessment

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

Agree

No opinion

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

No opinion

Agree

Strongly agree

Agree

Strongly agree

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Disagree

Disagree

Disagree

Disagree

Disagree

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Strongly agree

No opinion

Agree

Strongly agree

Disagree

Strongly disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Agree

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

Disagree

Agree

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

Strongly disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

No opinion

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

Agree

No opinion

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

No opinion

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Agree

No opinion

Strongly agree

Agree

No opinion

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Agree

Agree

Agree

Strongly agree

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

Strongly disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

Strongly disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Strongly agree

Disagree

Disagree

Strongly agree

Agree

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Strongly disagree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Disagree

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

Strongly disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Strongly agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Strongly agree

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Agree

Agree

Disagree

Strongly disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

Strongly disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Strongly agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Strongly agree

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Agree

Agree

Agree

No opinion

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Agree

Agree

Disagree

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements	17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements	17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance	17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market	17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request	17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Agree

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Agree

Agree

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Agree

Disagree

Agree

Agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Agree

Agree

Agree

Disagree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Agree

Disagree

Disagree

Disagree

Strongly agree

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

Strongly disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Agree

Agree

Strongly agree

Agree

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

Strongly disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Agree

Agree

Agree

No opinion

Agree

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Agree

Agree

Disagree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Disagree

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Strongly disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Agree

Strongly agree

Agree

Strongly agree

Disagree

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Agree

Strongly disagree

Disagree

Agree

Agree

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Disagree

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

Strongly disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

No opinion

No opinion

Disagree

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Agree

Agree

Disagree

Agree

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Strongly agree

Agree

Agree

No opinion

Agree

Strongly disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to stop infringements

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to take restrictive measures against economic operators to prevent future infringements

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to impose dissuasive fines for non-compliance

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to conduct sector inquiries to gain more specific knowledge of the market

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to carry out an inspection on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to notify acts on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market	19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market	19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

Strongly agree

Agree

Strongly agree

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market	19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

Agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Disagree

Disagree

I criteri sanzionatori devono essere quanto più omogenei all'interno della Comunità Europea

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market	19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

Agree

Agree

Agree

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

In certain member states like Greece, authorities tend to take literally the general clause contained in almost all regulatory texts "products which do not conform with this legislation should not be placed in the market" and they mandate a product recall from the market place for any kind and severity of non-conformance! They do not apply sanctions which are analogue to the non-conformance, but they take

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market	19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

No opinion

Agree

Agree

Disagree

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market	19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

Strongly agree

Disagree

Disagree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

this is not the issue of MSA, it should leave to accredited lab and notified body's expert group to solve.

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Disagree

18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines

Disagree

18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines

Disagree

18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority

Agree

18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market

Disagree

19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

Sanctions should be imposed by the courts, rather than by surveillance authorities. Unless there is perfect harmonisation of the methods and quality of enforcement measures across the EU, individual Member States will adopt differing approaches and cause uncertainty in the market. In many instances when consumer safety matters have come to court in the UK, the surveillance authorities have been

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market	19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

No opinion

Disagree

Disagree

No opinion

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market	19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

Agree

Agree

Agree

Disagree

Disagree

Leider sind Geldbußen gegen Wirtschaftsakteure in anderen Mitgliedstaaten nicht durchzusetzen. Damit fehlt ein wichtiges Element der Abschreckung. Gleiches gilt für Auskunftersuchen, die nicht oder nicht ausreichend beantwortet werden. Maßnahmen in anderen Mitgliedstaaten können dann nur eingeleitet werden, wenn die dort zuständige Behörde kooperiert.

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market	19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

Disagree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market	19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

Agree

Agree

Agree

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

As a competency of each member state, setting minimum, or even standardised methodologies will be difficult. However, it is also necessary for both the cooperation between member states, and the EU-wide enforcement action. If member states cannot agree on common working methods, it is unlikely they will be able to perform joint actions together. Similarly, if the methodology by which

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market	19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

Agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

No opinion

No opinion

Voorkomen dat de boete het bedrijf failliet laat gaan, want dan kunnen de klanten nergens meer heen. Beter is om de verantwoordelijken persoonlijk aansprakelijk te stellen.

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market	19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

Agree

Agree

Strongly agree

Strongly disagree

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market	19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

Agree

Agree

Agree

Disagree

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market	19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market	19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

Strongly disagree

Strongly agree

Agree

No opinion

No opinion

Eftersom inre marknaden är gemensam och företagen är aktiva på den, skulle mer harmoniserade bedömnings- och beräkningsmetoder göra att vi får ett mer rättssäkert system vad gäller påföljder för icke uppfyllda krav.

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market	19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

Disagree

Agree

Strongly agree

Disagree

Strongly disagree

Ein Ausforschung ohne konkreten Tatverdacht ist strikt abzulehnen. Die Massnahmen der Behörden müssen verhältnismässig sein.

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market	19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

Disagree

Strongly agree

No opinion

Disagree

Strongly disagree

Kuna nõuete mittetäitmise põhjused ja asjaolud liikmesriigis on erinevad, siis ongi võimalik, et ka kohaldatavad meetodid sarnase rikkumise eest on erinevad. Selleks ongi järelevalveasutusel kaalutusõigus.

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market	19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

No opinion

Strongly agree

No opinion

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market	19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

Agree

Agree

Agree

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

Ne

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market	19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

Strongly agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

In einer sich verändernden Welt müssen Auskunftspflichten auch für Akteure, die nicht von den Definitionen für Wirtschaftsakteure abgedeckt werden, existieren, z. B. Fulfilmentcenter und Plattformbetreiber aber auch der Endkunde/Verbraucher. Maßnahmen müssen auch gegen diese Nicht-Wirtschaftsakteure möglich sein. In verschiedenen Rechtsbereichen sind die

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market	19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

Disagree

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

Strongly agree

Laut unserer Wahrnehmung sind Verstöße gegen die Vorschriften fast ausschließlich durch deren Komplexität bzw. deren kompliziertes Zusammenspiel bedingt. Gerade kleine und mittlere Unternehmen sind ohne umfassende Rechtsberatung kaum noch in der Lage, diese korrekt anzuwenden und nennen in Umfragen bürokratische Anforderungen als größtes Hemmnis ihrer

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market	19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

Agree

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

Agree

Pragmatism should be the common thread to have dissuasive and effective sanctions. The risk analysis realized to define the risk level of a non compliance item should be harmonized between members for the most usual cases. This will allow to avoid different interpretation which can conduct to apply sanctions or not.

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market	19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

Agree

Agree

Agree

Disagree

Strongly disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market	19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

Agree

Agree

Agree

Disagree

Strongly disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market	19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market	19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?
No opinion	No opinion	No opinion	Agree	Agree	Le pragmatisme devrait être le dénominateur commun en tous les Etats afin de pouvoir obtenir des sanctions dissuasives et efficaces

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market	19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market	19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

No opinion

No opinion

What comes to the minimum core elements or common methodology to be taken into account in calculating fines, it might be problematic in terms of legal protection of economic operations. Taking into account the constitutional principles and rule of law, in our view, the decisions concerning the calculation of the fines should be based eventually on the decision and judgment of the independent court. We do not

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market	19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

Disagree

Agree

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market	19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

No opinion

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

Q 17 is not well targeted. Very often it is not about missing legal rights of market surveillance authorities to take action at national level but about missing personal and financial resources as well as missing political will and support to fine companies at a level which would be an effective deterrent. What is urgently needed is a better EU harmonised system to better

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market	19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

No opinion

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Disagree

Disagree

Q 17 is not well targeted. Very often it is not about missing legal rights of market surveillance authorities to take action at national level but about missing personal and financial resources as well as missing political will and support to fine companies at a level which would be an effective deterrent. What is urgently needed is a better EU harmonised system to better

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market	19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?
No opinion	Strongly disagree	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	Strongly agree	Priorities within market surveillance should be up to each member state since the markets are different and the problems could be regional or national.

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market	19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?
Strongly agree	Strongly agree	Strongly agree	Disagree	Disagree	It is important that certain "fairness" applies in case of n/c breach of legislation and sanctions used in EU/EEA.

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Agree

18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines

Agree

18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines

Agree

18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority

Disagree

18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market

Disagree

19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market	19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

Agree

Agree

Agree

No opinion

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Agree

18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines

Agree

18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines

Agree

18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority

Disagree

18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market

Disagree

19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market	19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

No opinion

Agree

Agree

No opinion

No opinion

There is a need to provide equal treatment in the internal market for all products, including the harmonisation of sanctions for non-compliance within the EU.

This could be addressed in the legislation itself. For example the Energy Labelling Framework directive leaves this to the Member States.

Rather than counting on

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market	19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

Strongly disagree

No opinion

No opinion

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market	19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?
Agree	Agree	Strongly agree	Strongly disagree	Strongly disagree	Member States should have a common methodology to assess the seriousness of non-compliance and its consequences (injuries, accidents, death), to ensure a level playing field when sanctioning manufacturers and requesting the application of corrective measures.

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market	19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

Disagree

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

Die Zuschriften von IHKs und AHKs sind im Hinblick auf Frage 18 unterschiedlich. Während die IHKs dies nicht als Problem wahrnehmen, könnten sich AHKs die in Zeile 1 und 2 genannten Maßnahmen vorstellen. Daraus lässt sich ggf. ableiten, dass zumindest an den Methoden der Marktüberwachungsbehörden in Deutschland nichts auszusetzen ist. In jedem Fall sollte jedoch mit

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market	19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

Strongly disagree

Agree

Agree

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

Jos yritys jatkuvasti tuo markkinoille vaatimustenvastaisia tuotteita, se joutuisi ns. (julkiselle) mustalle listalle.

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market	19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

No opinion

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

Q 17 is not well targeted. Very often it is not about missing legal rights of market surveillance authorities to take action at national level but about missing personal and financial resources as well as missing political will and support to fine companies at a level which would be an effective deterrent. What is urgently needed is a better EU harmonised system to better

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Disagree

18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines

Agree

18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines

Disagree

18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority

Strongly disagree

18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market

No opinion

19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market	19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

Strongly disagree

No opinion

No opinion

Agree

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market	19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

Strongly agree

Disagree

Disagree

Agree

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market	19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?
No opinion	Agree	Agree	Disagree	Agree	Common methodology is needed to ensure equal treatment in all EU Member States. Clarification of procedures in each step of the process will help avoiding discrimination within the European value chains.

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market	19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?
Strongly agree	Agree	Strongly agree	Disagree	Disagree	Fines and other penalties should not be based on a set amount but be determined in relation to the actual or potential benefit from trading in non-compliant products.

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market	19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

Disagree

No opinion

No opinion

Agree

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines

18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines

18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority

18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market

19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

Strongly agree

Agree

Disagree

No opinion

Disagree

Ge myndigheter befogenheter att använda produktåterkallelse även för mindre och återkommande överträdelser.

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market	19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

Agree

Pragmatism should be the common thread to have dissuasive and effective sanctions.

There is a need to ensure equal treatment of all businesses throughout the internal market for industrial products. However, this does not necessarily require a European ruling: a European platform to co-ordinate efforts of national enforcement authorities would however

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market	19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

Agree

Pragmatism should be the common thread to have dissuasive and effective sanctions.

There is a need to ensure equal treatment of all businesses throughout the internal market for industrial products. However, this does not necessarily require a European ruling: a European platform to co-ordinate efforts of national enforcement authorities would however

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market	19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

Agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Disagree

Strongly disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market	19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

Disagree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

Member States should use common best practices and set of rules (guidelines) on proportionality (e.g. Sir Hampton report) in order to elevate and harmonise the overall resource, skills & process level across all EU Member States. In this context, fines should not be seen as a way of "punishing" economic operators. Instead, they are needed as a service by Market Surveillance Authorities to improve market

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market	19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

Disagree

Disagree

Strongly disagree

Agree

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market	19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

Strongly agree

Agree

Agree

No opinion

No opinion

Beyond cooperation between national market surveillance authorities, industryAll Europe advocates for a unified market surveillance authority at EU level, in charge of protecting all citizens, all workers, and all businesses in the EU against non-compliant products, whatever their origin (internal or imported). This authority in charge of the internal market should cooperate with a substantially unified Customs

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market	19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

No opinion

No opinion

Agree

No opinion

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market	19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market	19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

No opinion

Agree

Strongly agree

Disagree

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market	19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

Agree

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

The highest priority should be given to those methodologies which are directly linked to the risk for consumers.

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market	19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

No opinion

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Disagree

Strongly disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market	19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market	19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

Disagree

Agree

Disagree

Strongly disagree

No opinion

EuroCommerce considers product safety an important public good. Market surveillance activities are a key responsibility of the competent authorities to keep dangerous products of the market, and, as such, should be financed by public means, not by the private sector. This will guarantee the independence of the authorities and is an incentive for competent authorities to further enhance the

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market	19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

Agree

Pragmatism should be the common thread to have dissuasive and effective sanctions.
Risk assessment methodology could be harmonized between member states and common scenarios should be shared, by example

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market	19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

Agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Disagree

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market	19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?
Strongly agree	Strongly agree	Strongly agree	Strongly disagree	Strongly disagree	A common approach and interpretation of legislation (and standards) is necessary to come to an effective market surveillance in the EU. There is no sense in having a single market for economic operators but not for authorities. Too many advantages of the single market are lost because of different approaches by authorities.

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market	19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

No opinion

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market	19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

Strongly disagree

Agree

Disagree

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market	19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

Agree

Disagree

Disagree

Agree

No opinion

1. Facilitate coordination at European level: There is a need to ensure equal treatment of all businesses throughout the internal market for industrial products. However, this does not necessarily require a European ruling: a European platform to co-ordinate efforts of national enforcement authorities would, however, facilitate their work, promote mutual cooperation and incentivise Member States to

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market	19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

Agree

Agree

Agree

Strongly agree

No opinion

Fines and the application of fines should be left to the Member State to determine. All Member States have a system of fines and penalties. Successful deterrence comes from creating a culture where rogue businesses do not dare to act as national market surveillance activities are ever present in the market.

As previously expressed, sanctions and penalties should be proportionate and

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market	19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

No opinion

Agree

Strongly agree

Strongly disagree

Disagree

The methodology would need to be consistent across all Member States to provide greater transparency to international operators. The methodology would also need to establish sanctions that are sufficiently persuasive to deter smaller, rogue operators. It is important to avoid policies at the EU level that give rise to multiple fines across the EU for the same issue. If a fine is

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market	19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

No opinion

In addition to nationally set fines, sanctions and penalties, the deterrent effect is above all else reached by creating a culture where rogue businesses do not dare to act, as national market surveillance has an active presence on the market.

A dissuasive national minimum and a proportionate maximum for deterrent sanctions can be established by way of providing guidance

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market	19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?
Agree	Strongly agree	Strongly agree	Strongly disagree	Strongly disagree	Member States should have a common methodology to assess the seriousness of non-compliance and its consequences (injuries, accidents, death), to ensure a level playing field when sanctioning manufacturers and requesting the application of corrective measures.

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market	19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

Disagree

Disagree

Strongly disagree

No opinion

Strongly agree

Die Fragestellung in Frage 17 ist unserer Auffassung nach irreführend. Viele der hier aufgeführten Maßnahmen dürfen die Marktüberwachungsbehörden bereits heute ergreifen, teilweise ist dies sogar im EU-Recht verankert. Kritisch bewerten wir die Möglichkeit restriktive Maßnahmen zu ergreifen, ohne dass eine Nichtkonformität festgestellt wurde bzw. um zukünftigen Missständen zu begegnen.

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market	19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

Agree

Agree

Agree

Strongly agree

No opinion

It is important to agree on the need to sanction non-compliant companies. The level of sanctions need to reflect both the financial gain by the violation, the risks associated by the violation, the period of time it has been effective, the extent to which the violation is intentional and the prior history of compliance of the business operator. Decisions should be made case-by-case based on

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market	19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

No opinion

No opinion

Agree

Disagree

No opinion

Dissuasive fines are important for cases of serious non-compliance. However, fines should also be proportionate, i.e. trivial cases of non-compliance should not be used as a revenue stream or have the focuss because of a lack of expertise and resources to investigate more technically complex issues.

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Agree

18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines

Agree

18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines

Strongly agree

18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority

Disagree

18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market

Disagree

19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market	19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

No opinion

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Disagree

Disagree

What is urgently needed is a better EU harmonised system to better enforce legislation. complemented by clearer legal rules and guidance on how enforcement should take place. The Commission should propose a similar legal framework as is under development for the type approval and market surveillance of cars which foresees an active role for the EU in enforcement.

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Strongly agree

18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines

Strongly agree

18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines

Strongly agree

18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority

Strongly disagree

18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market

Strongly disagree

19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market	19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

Strongly agree

Agree

Strongly agree

Disagree

Disagree

As above, fines should be based upon the value of the trade and potential profits, not on fixed amounts.

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market	19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

Strongly disagree

No opinion

No opinion

Agree

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market	19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

Strongly agree

Agree

Disagree

Strongly agree

Disagree

More active market surveillance is more important than changing the level of sanctions.

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market	19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

Disagree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market	19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

Agree

Agree

Agree

Disagree

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market	19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

Strongly agree

Agree

Agree

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines

18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines

18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority

18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market

19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

Strongly agree

Agree

Disagree

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market	19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market	19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?
Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	Strongly agree	Strongly disagree	Strongly disagree	Make a common plan so all sectors are surveilled based on their size and impact. Divide the load between EU countries. Let each country focus on their main expertise.

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market	19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

Despite the BREXIT votum, keep UK Market Surveillance Authorities on board, please.

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Disagree

18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines

Agree

18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines

Disagree

18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority

Disagree

18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market

Agree

19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market	19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

Strongly agree

Agree

Agree

Disagree

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Disagree

18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines

Agree

18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines

Agree

18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority

Disagree

18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market

Disagree

19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market	19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

Agree

Agree

Agree

Disagree

Disagree

Nie

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market	19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

No opinion

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market	19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

Agree

Agree

Disagree

Disagree

Strongly disagree

Single market must mean very common requirements at all levels. But this will take time and resources.

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Agree

18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines

Agree

18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines

Agree

18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority

Disagree

18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market

Disagree

19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

Innerhalb der EU sollten die Methoden und die Maßnahmen einheitlich formuliert und umgesetzt werden. Entweder gilt gleiches Recht für alle EU bzw. EWR Mitglieder oder nicht.

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market	19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

Strongly agree

No opinion

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Disagree

18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines

Disagree

18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines

Disagree

18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority

Agree

18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market

Agree

19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Disagree

18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines

Agree

18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines

Agree

18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority

Disagree

18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market

Disagree

19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market	19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market	19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?
Disagree	Agree	Agree	Disagree	Disagree	Define and publish the list of fines versus non-compliance; set lower fines when the non-compliance can be fixed and the Company is willing to do it in short time. Use high fines where the non-compliance is obvious and the Company does not take necessary measures to fix it. Clearly inform all business stakeholders of their responsibility and consequences in case of placing non-compliant

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market	19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

Disagree

Agree

Agree

Disagree

Disagree

Nein

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market	19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

Agree

Agree

Disagree

Disagree

Disagree

ad 17 - souhlasíme se všemi pravomocemi, nicméně většinou z nich náš orgán dozoru nad trhem disponuje. Problém je především ve spolupráci/koordinaci v rámci jiných členských států EU

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market	19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

Strongly disagree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market	19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market	19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

Disagree

Strongly agree

Agree

Disagree

No opinion

Major manufacturers has often more resources for providing material to MSA and has dedicated functions to support in MSA requests. SME might have bigger issues for providing necessary material from their suppliers. We are for a more flexible approach from MSA depending on the audited manufacturers possibility to handle a MSA request. We have seen MSA requests from different MS that refuse

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market	19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?
Disagree	No opinion	No opinion	Agree	Agree	MS authorities should be granted the necessary resources and budget, and allowed to improve their efficiency in cooperation with stakeholders

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market	19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

Agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Disagree

Disagree

No

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market	19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Disagree

Disagree

public "warning letters".

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market	19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

Disagree

Disagree

Disagree

Agree

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market	19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

No opinion

Agree

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market	19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

Disagree

Disagree

Agree

Disagree

Disagree

We need a better focus on making sanctions proportionate. Significant fines for significant and widespread risks and stop silly fines on trivial marking offences e.g the CE marking is slightly incorrect or the C and the E are spaced a little too far apart!

Enforcement authorities do not often possess the expertise and resources to investigate more technically

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market	19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

Agree

Agree

Agree

No opinion

Disagree

Equal regulations should be accompanied by equal actions

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Disagree

18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines

Agree

18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines

Agree

18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority

Strongly disagree

18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market

Disagree

19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market	19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

Strongly disagree

Strongly agree

Agree

Disagree

Agree

A common methodology in imposing penalties is of paramount importance. For example level of non compliance, effect on market place, competitive advantage, safety of consumers, severity of spectrum infringement etc.

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market	19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

Agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Disagree

Disagree

Example-printing rule is not correctly interpreted by countries like UK or the Netherlands

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market	19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

Disagree

Agree

Agree

Metodík by mohlo stačiť - každý úradník má pocit, že by mal stanoviť ďalšie pravidlá a požiadavky a zneužíva na to najmä metodiky. NLF nepriniesol žiadne zníženie preregulovanosti. Každý členský štát má svoje správne zákony a neviem o tom, že by bola ochota členských štátov urobiť európsky správny zákon. Táto otázka je vytvorená úradníkom, ktorý si užíva vymýšľanie metodík - preto

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market	19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

Agree

Agree

Agree

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

None

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market	19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

Strongly agree

Agree

Agree

Disagree

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Disagree

18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines

Agree

18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines

Agree

18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority

Disagree

18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market

Disagree

19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market	19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

No opinion

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

Strongly agree

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market	19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

Agree

Strongly agree

Agree

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market	19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?
No opinion	No opinion	No opinion	No opinion	No opinion	Als Hersteller von Aufzügen , die als überwachungsbedürftige Anlagen gelten treffen viele Fragen nicht zu. Jeder Aufzug ist ein Individualprojekt, der nach der Aufzugsrichtlinie der EU gebaut wird und mit einer Konformitätserklärung in Verkehr gebracht wird.

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market	19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

Agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Disagree

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market	19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

No opinion

Agree

Agree

No opinion

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market	19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

Agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

We need a fair competition with a level playing field

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market	19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

Strongly agree

Agree

Agree

No opinion

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market	19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

No opinion

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Agree

18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines

Agree

18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines

Agree

18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority

Disagree

18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market

Disagree

19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market	19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

Agree

Agree

Agree

Disagree

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market	19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

Strongly disagree

Disagree

Disagree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market	19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?
Disagree	Strongly agree	Strongly agree	Disagree	Strongly disagree	Hay que tener en cuenta la buena fe de las empresas antes de multar especialmente si no ha habido daños o perjuicios a terceros

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market	19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

Strongly disagree

Disagree

Disagree

Strongly agree

Disagree

zu 17: Aus h.S. ist es nicht korrekt Bescheide Behörden anderer EU-Mitgliedstaaten im eigenen Staat zuzustellen. In solchen Fällen sollten die zuständigen Behörden des anderen Mitgliedstaates einen Antrag auf Amtshilfe stellen. Generell fehlt ein europäisches Verwaltungsverfahrensgesetz, dass die örtliche und sachliche Zuständigkeit von Behörden fordert und das Zusammenspiel der

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market	19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

The Belgian 3 sealed sample picking system is a good way of surveillance. 1 sample is analysed and the other 2 samples stay sealed; When an non compliance is detected the company can contact an autorised partner to do a counter analysis by a diffrent party as the first one. If there is inconsistency a third authorised party can make a final analysis to determine who is right.

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market	19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?
No opinion	No opinion	Disagree	No opinion	Disagree	I vårt område anser vi att det är viktigare att tillsyn genomförs i samtliga EU-länder, än att ingen tillsyn sker eller att vi använder exakt samma metod.

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market	19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

Agree

Agree

Agree

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market	19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

Agree

Agree

Agree

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market	19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

No opinion

Agree

Disagree

No opinion

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market	19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

Agree

Strongly agree

Agree

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

No opinion

18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines

Disagree

18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines

Disagree

18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority

Agree

18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market

Agree

19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Disagree

18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines

Agree

18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines

Agree

18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority

Disagree

18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market

Disagree

19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Disagree

18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines

Strongly agree

18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines

Agree

18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority

Disagree

18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market

Disagree

19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market	19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

Agree

Agree

Agree

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market	19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

Agree

Agree

Strongly agree

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

He

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market	19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

Agree

Agree

Agree

Disagree

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market	19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

Agree

Agree

Agree

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market	19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

No opinion

Agree

Agree

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market	19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

Disagree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

No opinion

No opinion

He

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market	19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

Disagree

No opinion

No opinion

Agree

Agree

Alle unter 17 aufgeführten Punkte sind in Deutschland für verbrauchernahe Produkte im Lebensmittel- und Futtermittelgesetzbuch (LFGB) geregelt.

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market	19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

Agree

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market	19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

Agree

Agree

Agree

Disagree

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market	19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

Disagree

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market	19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

Disagree

Agree

Disagree

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

Productveiligheid staat hoog in het vaandel bij de leden van Detailhandel Nederland. Marktoezicht is een kernactiviteit van de competente overheidsautoriteiten om zo gevaarlijke en non-conforme producten van de markt te weren. De bekostiging van deze activiteiten is ook een publieke verantwoordelijkheid, geen private. Dat garandeert de onafhankelijkheid van de

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Disagree

18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines

Agree

18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines

Disagree

18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority

Disagree

18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market

Disagree

19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market	19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

Strongly agree

No opinion

Strongly agree

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market	19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Disagree

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market	19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?
Disagree	Strongly agree	Strongly agree	Disagree	Disagree	The level of cooperation and information-sharing between authorities in different Member States could be improved. Market surveillance authorities in different Member States take varying approaches to the amount and the nature of information they request, and also the level of oversight they provide, in relation to corrective actions carried out by economic operators. This is burdensome for economic

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Agree

18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines

Agree

18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines

Agree

18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority

Disagree

18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market

Disagree

19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market	19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

No opinion

Agree

Agree

Disagree

Strongly disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market	19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

Strongly agree

No opinion

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Agree

18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines

Agree

18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines

Disagree

18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority

Disagree

18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market

Disagree

19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market	19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

No opinion

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Disagree

Disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market	19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

Strongly disagree

No opinion

Disagree

Agree

Strongly disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market	19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

Pro další podrobné informace mohou dodat podklady týkající se nebezpečných výrobků (pouze elektrotechnickým odborníkům).

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Disagree

18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines

Strongly agree

18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines

Agree

18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority

Disagree

18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market

Disagree

19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market	19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

Agree

Agree

No opinion

No opinion

Agree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market	19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

Agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

Fair competition - level playing field

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority	18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market	19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

Agree

Strongly agree

Agree

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

No opinion

18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines

Strongly agree

18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines

Strongly agree

18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority

No opinion

18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market

No opinion

19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Strongly agree

18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines

Strongly agree

18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines

Strongly agree

18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority

Strongly disagree

18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market

Strongly disagree

19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

17. What powers do you think market surveillance authorities need in order to carry out more effective and deterrent action in your sector?: Power to enforce fines on behalf of another EU Member State's authority upon request

Strongly agree

18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a set of minimum core elements to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines

Strongly agree

18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: Establish a more detailed common methodology to be taken into account by all market surveillance authorities in calculating fines

Strongly agree

18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, this is not a priority

Strongly disagree

18. Divergences exist in the methodologies applied by market surveillance authorities in different Member States to sanction non-compliant businesses. Which measures do you think should be taken to address this issue?: None, different methodologies are not an issue for market surveillance in the Single Market

Strongly disagree

19. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on methodology to be applied by market surveillance authorities to sanction non-compliant businesses?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

Not applicable to me

Sometimes

Not applicable to me

Sometimes

Refer to information available on Commission websites; Refer to information available on the website of the relevant market surveillance authority

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

Sometimes

Sometimes

Sometimes

Sometimes

Contact the European Commission;Contact the relevant Product Contact Point established under Regulation (EC) No 764/2008 or Regulation (EU) 305/2011

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

Rarely

Not applicable to me

Rarely

Not applicable to me

Refer to information available on Commission websites;Contact the European Commission;Refer to information available on the website of the relevant market surveillance authority;Contact the relevant market surveillance authority;Liaise with Industry/Trade Association(s);Another publically accessible source of information

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

Rarely

Rarely

Rarely

Rarely

Refer to information provided by the manufacturer;Another publically accessible source of information;Other

Check the compliance to standards stated in the Declaration of Conformity.

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

Rarely

Rarely

Never

Never

Refer to information available on Commission websites;Other

the notified body, independent testing lab and compliance consultants is the best information resources for manufacturers.

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

Never

Never

Never

Never

Refer to information available on Commission websites;Refer to information available on the website of the relevant market surveillance authority;Contact the relevant market surveillance authority;Liaise with Industry/Trade Association(s);Another publically accessible source of information

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

Rarely

Rarely

Sometimes

Sometimes

Refer to information provided by the manufacturer

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

Never

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

Never

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

Rarely

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

Rarely

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

Very often

Very often

Very often

Very often

Refer to information available on Commission websites; Liaise with Industry/Trade Association(s); Another publically accessible source of information

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

Not applicable to me

Not applicable to me

Not applicable to me

Not applicable to me

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

Rarely

Rarely

Sometimes

Sometimes

Refer to information available on Commission websites;Refer to information available on the website of the relevant market surveillance authority;Contact the relevant market surveillance authority;Refer to information provided by the manufacturer;Liaise with Industry/Trade Association(s)

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

Not applicable to me

Not applicable to me

Not applicable to me

Not applicable to me

Liaise with Industry/Trade Association(s)

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

Not applicable to me

Not applicable to me

Not applicable to me

Not applicable to me

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

Not applicable to me

Not applicable to me

Not applicable to me

Not applicable to me

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

Sometimes

Sometimes

Sometimes

Sometimes

Refer to information available on Commission websites;Refer to information provided by the manufacturer;Liaise with Industry/Trade Association(s);Another publically accessible source of information

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

Never

Never

Never

Never

Refer to information available on Commission websites;Refer to information available on the website of the relevant market surveillance authority;Refer to information provided by the manufacturer;Liaise with Industry/Trade Association(s);Another publically accessible source of information

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

Sometimes

Sometimes

Rarely

Rarely

Refer to information available on Commission websites;Refer to information provided by the manufacturer;Another publically accessible source of information;Other z. B. Nutzen der Daten auf der ECHA-Seite im Zusammenhang mit dem Chemikalienrecht.

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

Sometimes

Very often

Very often

Very often

Refer to information available on Commission websites;Contact the relevant market surveillance authority;Refer to information provided by the manufacturer;Liaise with Industry/Trade Association(s);Another publically accessible source of information;Other

Andere = Eigene Datenbank

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

Rarely

Rarely

Rarely

Rarely

Refer to information available on Commission websites;Contact the European Commission;Refer to information available on the website of the relevant market surveillance authority;Contact the relevant market surveillance authority;Refer to information provided by the manufacturer;Liaise with Industry/Trade Association(s);Other

Our association raises the question whether the creation of a data base (as foreseen in the proposal setting a Framework for energy efficiency labelling) is appropriate. This would create new requirements for companies without effective control and could engender a serious risk concerning the protection of trade secret and IPR.

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

Never

Not applicable to me

Never

Not applicable to me

Refer to information available on the website of the relevant market surveillance authority;Refer to information provided by the manufacturer;Another publically accessible source of information

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

Never

Not applicable to me

Never

Refer to information available on the website of the relevant market surveillance authority;Refer to information provided by the manufacturer;Another publically accessible source of information

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

Rarely

Rarely

Rarely

Rarely

Other

l'ASEC a comme membres des experts afin de répondre aux questions éventuelles

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

Not applicable to me

Not applicable to me

Not applicable to me

Not applicable to me

Refer to information available on the website of the relevant market surveillance authority;Contact the relevant market surveillance authority;Liaise with Industry/Trade Association(s);Another publically accessible source of information

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

Sometimes

Sometimes

Sometimes

Sometimes

Refer to information available on Commission websites;Contact the European Commission;Refer to information available on the website of the relevant market surveillance authority;Contact the relevant market surveillance authority;Refer to information provided by the manufacturer;Contact the relevant Product Contact Point established under Regulation (EC) No 764/2008

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

Sometimes

Sometimes

Sometimes

Sometimes

Refer to information available on Commission websites;Refer to information available on the website of the relevant market surveillance authority;Contact the relevant market surveillance authority;Refer to information provided by the manufacturer;Contact the relevant Product Contact Point established under Regulation (EC) No 764/2008 or Regulation (EU) 305/2011

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

Rarely

Rarely

Rarely

Rarely

Refer to information available on Commission websites;Contact the European Commission;Refer to information provided by the manufacturer

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

Rarely

Rarely

Rarely

Sometimes

Refer to information available on Commission websites;Contact the European Commission;Liaise with Industry/Trade Association(s)

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

Rarely

Rarely

Sometimes

Sometimes

Refer to information available on Commission websites;Contact the European Commission;Liaise with Industry/Trade Association(s);Another publically accessible source of information

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

Sometimes

Very often

Very often

Very often

Refer to information available on Commission websites;Refer to information available on the website of the relevant market surveillance authority;Contact the relevant market surveillance authority;Refer to information provided by the manufacturer;Liaise with Industry/Trade Association(s);Another publically accessible source of information;Other ggf. Kontakt zu Prüfinstituten, die die technischen Vorschriften anwenden bzw. zertifizieren

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

Refer to information available on Commission websites;Other Legal rules are checked through Eur-Lex; borderline cases through discussions at the circabc platform; dangerous products through RAPEX, ICSMS, the US CPSC website & the internet in general including national sites for recalls.

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

Sometimes

Sometimes

Sometimes

Sometimes

Refer to information available on the website of the relevant market surveillance authority;Contact the relevant market surveillance authority;Refer to information provided by the manufacturer;Liaise with Industry/Trade Association(s)

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

Sometimes

Not applicable to me

Sometimes

Not applicable to me

Refer to information available on Commission websites;Contact the European Commission;Refer to information available on the website of the relevant market surveillance authority;Contact the relevant market surveillance authority

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

Never

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

Rarely

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

Never

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

Rarely

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

Liaise with Industry/Trade Association(s)

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

Rarely

Rarely

Sometimes

Sometimes

Refer to information available on Commission websites;Refer to information available on the website of the relevant market surveillance authority;Refer to information provided by the manufacturer;Liaise with Industry/Trade Association(s);Another publically accessible source of information

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

Sometimes

Sometimes

Sometimes

Sometimes

Refer to information available on Commission websites;Refer to information available on the website of the relevant market surveillance authority;Contact the relevant market surveillance authority;Liaise with Industry/Trade Association(s)

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

Sometimes

Very often

Sometimes

Very often

Refer to information available on Commission websites;Refer to information available on the website of the relevant market surveillance authority;Refer to information provided by the manufacturer;Liaise with Industry/Trade Association(s)

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?	1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?	2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?	2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?	3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?	Please specify:
Rarely	Rarely	Rarely	Rarely	Other	AFME has expertise in technical rules and manufacturers members of AFME have a support service on applying technical rules

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

Rarely

Rarely

Rarely

Rarely

Other

CECAPI has expertise in technical rules and the national Associations, members of CECAPI, are giving a support service to companies on applying technical rules. Our association raises the question whether the creation of a data base (as foreseen in the proposal setting a framework for energy efficiency labelling) is appropriate. This would create new requirements for

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

Very often

Very often

Very often

Very often

Refer to information available on Commission websites;Contact the relevant market surveillance authority;Liaise with Industry/Trade Association(s)

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

Not applicable to me

Not applicable to me

Not applicable to me

Not applicable to me

Refer to information available on Commission websites;Contact the European Commission;Liaise with Industry/Trade Association(s);Another publically accessible source of information

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

Sometimes

Sometimes

Sometimes

Sometimes

Refer to information provided by the manufacturer; Liaise with Industry/Trade Association(s)

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

Not applicable to me

Not applicable to me

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

Never

Never

Never

Never

Refer to information available on Commission websites;Refer to information available on the website of the relevant market surveillance authority;Liaise with Industry/Trade Association(s)

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

Sometimes

Sometimes

Sometimes

Sometimes

Refer to information available on Commission websites;Contact the European Commission;Refer to information available on the website of the relevant market surveillance authority;Refer to information provided by the manufacturer;Liaise with Industry/Trade Association(s)

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

Sometimes

Sometimes

Rarely

Rarely

Refer to information available on Commission websites;Liaise with Industry/Trade Association(s);Another publically accessible source of information;Other
Other regulatory information supplied, e.g. C2P

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

Not applicable to me

Not applicable to me

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

Rarely

Rarely

Rarely

Rarely

Refer to information available on Commission websites;Contact the European Commission;Liaise with Industry/Trade Association(s);Other

Contacts with MSA and PCP are done at company/national association level.

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

Sometimes

Sometimes

Sometimes

Sometimes

Refer to information available on Commission websites;Contact the European Commission;Refer to information available on the website of the relevant market surveillance authority;Refer to information provided by the manufacturer;Liaise with Industry/Trade Association(s);Another publically accessible source of information

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

Rarely

Rarely

Rarely

Rarely

Liaise with Industry/Trade Association(s);Other

We rarely face such question in our company. When needed, we are sharing our position inside our professional association and we solve the issue together

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

Sometimes

Sometimes

Sometimes

Sometimes

Refer to information available on Commission websites;Contact the European Commission;Refer to information available on the website of the relevant market surveillance authority;Refer to information provided by the manufacturer;Liaise with Industry/Trade Association(s)

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

Sometimes

Sometimes

Sometimes

Sometimes

Refer to information available on Commission websites;Contact the European Commission;Refer to information available on the website of the relevant market surveillance authority;Contact the relevant market surveillance authority;Refer to information provided by the manufacturer;Contact the relevant Product Contact Point established under Regulation (EC) No 764/2008

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

Not applicable to me

Not applicable to me

Not applicable to me

Not applicable to me

Refer to information available on the website of the relevant market surveillance authority

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

Rarely

Rarely

Rarely

Sometimes

Refer to information available on Commission websites;Contact the European Commission;Liaise with Industry/Trade Association(s);Another publically accessible source of information

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

Sometimes

Sometimes

Sometimes

Sometimes

Refer to information available on Commission websites;Contact the European Commission;Refer to information available on the website of the relevant market surveillance authority;Contact the relevant Product Contact Point established under Regulation (EC) No 764/2008 or Regulation (EU) 305/2011;Liaise with Industry/Trade Association(s);Another

Review primary legislation and rely on guidance documents produced by the Commission. Consult with technical experts including notified bodies.

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

Not applicable to me

Not applicable to me

Not applicable to me

Not applicable to me

Refer to information available on Commission websites;Contact the European Commission;Contact the relevant market surveillance authority;Liaise with Industry/Trade Association(s);Another publically accessible source of information

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

Rarely

Rarely

Rarely

Rarely

Refer to information available on Commission websites;Contact the European Commission;Contact the relevant market surveillance authority;Liaise with Industry/Trade Association(s)

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

Sometimes

Sometimes

Sometimes

Sometimes

Contact the relevant market surveillance authority;Refer to information provided by the manufacturer;Liaise with Industry/Trade Association(s)

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

Not applicable to me

Not applicable to me

Not applicable to me

Not applicable to me

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

Refer to information available on Commission websites;Refer to information available on the website of the relevant market surveillance authority;Contact the relevant market surveillance authority;Liaise with Industry/Trade Association(s)

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

Rarely

Rarely

Rarely

Sometimes

Refer to information available on Commission websites;Contact the European Commission;Liaise with Industry/Trade Association(s);Another publically accessible source of information

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

Sometimes

Sometimes

Sometimes

Sometimes

Refer to information available on Commission websites;Refer to information available on the website of the relevant market surveillance authority;Contact the relevant market surveillance authority;Liaise with Industry/Trade Association(s)

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

Very often

Very often

Very often

Very often

Contact the relevant market surveillance authority;Refer to information provided by the manufacturer;Liaise with Industry/Trade Association(s);Another publically accessible source of information

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

Never

Never

Rarely

Rarely

Refer to information available on Commission websites;Contact the European Commission;Refer to information available on the website of the relevant market surveillance authority;Contact the relevant market surveillance authority;Liaise with Industry/Trade Association(s)

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

Sometimes

Very often

Sometimes

Very often

Contact the relevant Product Contact Point established under Regulation (EC) No 764/2008 or Regulation (EU) 305/2011;Another publically accessible source of information;Other

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

Very often

Very often

Sometimes

Sometimes

Refer to information provided by the manufacturer

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

Rarely

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

Rarely

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

Rarely

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

Rarely

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

Refer to information available on Commission websites

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

Very often

Very often

Sometimes

Sometimes

Refer to information available on Commission websites;Contact the relevant market surveillance authority;Refer to information provided by the manufacturer;Another publically accessible source of information

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

Sometimes

Sometimes

Sometimes

Sometimes

Refer to information available on Commission websites;Contact the relevant market surveillance authority;Liaise with Industry/Trade Association(s)

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

Sometimes

Rarely

Sometimes

Rarely

Refer to information available on Commission websites;Refer to information available on the website of the relevant market surveillance authority;Refer to information provided by the manufacturer;Contact the relevant Product Contact Point established under Regulation (EC) No 764/2008 or Regulation (EU) 305/2011;Liaise with Industry/Trade Association(s);Another

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

Rarely

Sometimes

Sometimes

Rarely

Refer to information available on Commission websites; Refer to information provided by the manufacturer; Contact the relevant Product Contact Point established under Regulation (EC) No 764/2008 or Regulation (EU) 305/2011

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

Sometimes

Sometimes

Very often

Very often

Refer to information available on Commission websites;Contact the European Commission;Contact the relevant Product Contact Point established under Regulation (EC) No 764/2008 or Regulation (EU) 305/2011

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

Rarely

Rarely

Rarely

Rarely

Refer to information available on Commission websites;Refer to information available on the website of the relevant market surveillance authority;Refer to information provided by the manufacturer;Another publically accessible source of information

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

Never

Never

Rarely

Rarely

Refer to information available on Commission websites; Liaise with Industry/Trade Association(s); Another publically accessible source of information

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

Sometimes

Sometimes

Sometimes

Sometimes

Refer to information available on Commission websites; Liaise with Industry/Trade Association(s)

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

Never

Never

Never

Never

Refer to information available on Commission websites;Refer to information provided by the manufacturer;Liaise with Industry/Trade Association(s)

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

Never

Never

Never

Never

Refer to information available on Commission websites;Refer to information provided by the manufacturer;Liaise with Industry/Trade Association(s)

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

Sometimes

Very often

Sometimes

Very often

Refer to information available on Commission websites;Contact the relevant Product Contact Point established under Regulation (EC) No 764/2008 or Regulation (EU) 305/2011;Another publically accessible source of information

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

Never

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

Never

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

Never

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

Never

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

Rarely

Never

Never

Never

Refer to information available on Commission websites

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

Very often

Rarely

Sometimes

Sometimes

Refer to information available on Commission websites; Other

Contact NBs

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

Not applicable to me

Not applicable to me

Sometimes

Sometimes

Contact the relevant Product Contact Point established under Regulation (EC) No 764/2008 or Regulation (EU) 305/2011

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

Rarely

Sometimes

Rarely

Sometimes

Contact the European Commission;Refer to information available on the website of the relevant market surveillance authority;Contact the relevant market surveillance authority

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

Sometimes

Sometimes

Sometimes

Sometimes

Refer to information available on Commission websites;Contact the relevant market surveillance authority;Refer to information provided by the manufacturer;Contact the relevant Product Contact Point established under Regulation (EC) No 764/2008 or Regulation (EU) 305/2011;Another publically accessible source of information

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

Not applicable to me

Sometimes

Very often

Refer to information available on Commission websites;Another publically accessible source of information

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

Sometimes

Sometimes

Rarely

Never

Contact the European Commission;Contact the relevant market surveillance authority;Liaise with Industry/Trade Association(s);Other

Notified Body

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

Rarely

Rarely

Rarely

Rarely

Refer to information available on Commission websites;Contact the relevant market surveillance authority;Liaise with Industry/Trade Association(s)

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

Rarely

Rarely

Sometimes

Sometimes

Refer to information available on Commission websites; Liaise with Industry/Trade Association(s)

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

Rarely

Rarely

Sometimes

Sometimes

Refer to information available on Commission websites;Refer to information available on the website of the relevant market surveillance authority;Contact the relevant market surveillance authority;Refer to information provided by the manufacturer;Liaise with Industry/Trade Association(s);Another publically accessible source of information

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

Not applicable to me

Not applicable to me

Sometimes

Not applicable to me

Refer to information available on Commission websites;Contact the relevant market surveillance authority;Liaise with Industry/Trade Association(s)

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

Rarely

Never

Sometimes

Sometimes

Refer to information available on Commission websites;Refer to information available on the website of the relevant market surveillance authority;Contact the relevant market surveillance authority;Liaise with Industry/Trade Association(s);Another publically accessible source of information;Other Test houses and legal companies

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

Sometimes

Sometimes

Sometimes

Sometimes

Refer to information available on Commission websites;Refer to information provided by the manufacturer;Contact the relevant Product Contact Point established under Regulation (EC) No 764/2008 or Regulation (EU) 305/2011;Other Discuss with expert team members. Personal contacts.

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

Rarely

Rarely

Sometimes

Sometimes

Liaise with Industry/Trade Association(s);Another publically accessible source of information

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

Rarely

Rarely

Never

Never

Refer to information available on Commission websites;Liaise with Industry/Trade Association(s);Another publically accessible source of information;Other

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

Very often

Very often

Refer to information available on Commission websites;Contact the European Commission;Liaise with Industry/Trade Association(s);Another publically accessible source of information

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

Never

Rarely

Refer to information available on Commission websites

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

Never

Never

Rarely

Rarely

Refer to information available on Commission websites;Contact the European Commission;Another publically accessible source of information

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

Sometimes

Sometimes

Rarely

Sometimes

Refer to information available on Commission websites;Refer to information available on the website of the relevant market surveillance authority;Refer to information provided by the manufacturer;Liaise with Industry/Trade Association(s)

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

Very often

Very often

Sometimes

Sometimes

Refer to information available on Commission websites;Refer to information available on the website of the relevant market surveillance authority;Contact the relevant market surveillance authority

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

Very often

Always

Rarely

Rarely

Refer to information available on Commission websites;Refer to information provided by the manufacturer;Contact the relevant Product Contact Point established under Regulation (EC) No 764/2008 or Regulation (EU) 305/2011;Liaise with Industry/Trade Association(s);Another publically accessible source of information;Other

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

Never

Never

Rarely

Rarely

Refer to information available on Commission websites;Contact the European Commission;Contact the relevant market surveillance authority;Another publically accessible source of information

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

Not applicable to me

Not applicable to me

Never

Other

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

Very often

Very often

Very often

Very often

Refer to information available on Commission websites; Liaise with Industry/Trade Association(s)

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

Sometimes

Very often

Sometimes

Sometimes

Refer to information available on Commission websites;Refer to information available on the website of the relevant market surveillance authority;Liaise with Industry/Trade Association(s);Another publically accessible source of information;Other ggf. Kontakt zu Prüfinstituten, die die technischen Vorschriften anwenden bzw. zertifizieren

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

Rarely

Rarely

Sometimes

Sometimes

Refer to information available on Commission websites;Contact the European Commission;Liaise with Industry/Trade Association(s);Another publically accessible source of information

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

Rarely

Not applicable to me

Sometimes

Not applicable to me

Liaise with Industry/Trade Association(s);Other

Normes européennes

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

Sometimes

Sometimes

Sometimes

Sometimes

Liaise with Industry/Trade Association(s);Another publically accessible source of information

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

Sometimes

Sometimes

Rarely

Rarely

Refer to information available on Commission websites;Refer to information provided by the manufacturer;Liaise with Industry/Trade Association(s);Another publically accessible source of information

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

Rarely

Rarely

Rarely

Rarely

Refer to information available on Commission websites; Liaise with Industry/Trade Association(s); Another publically accessible source of information; Other

We consider the Blue Guide and the guides for the various directives an important instrument for the implementation of technical regulation. However, as opposed to past experience the procedures for elaborating these guides seem not transparent and less consensus-based. They even can contain inconsistencies among each other and with the relevant legislation.

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

Very often

Very often

Very often

Very often

Refer to information available on Commission websites;Refer to information provided by the manufacturer

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

Sometimes

Not applicable to me

Rarely

Not applicable to me

Other

I.d.R. handelt es sich bei den in Rede stehenden technischen Vorschriften um harmonisierten Normen. Diese unterliegen in der Beschaffung einem Monopol (in DEU: Beuth-Verlag bzw. VDE) und sind nicht öffentlich zugänglich. Das ist eine Zugangsbarriere.

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

Sometimes

Always

Rarely

Very often

Refer to information available on Commission websites;Refer to information available on the website of the relevant market surveillance authority;Contact the relevant market surveillance authority;Liaise with Industry/Trade Association(s);Another publically accessible source of information

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

Never

Never

Never

Never

Refer to information available on Commission websites;Contact the relevant market surveillance authority;Another publically accessible source of information

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

Sometimes

Sometimes

Sometimes

Sometimes

Refer to information available on the website of the relevant market surveillance authority;Contact the relevant market surveillance authority;Refer to information provided by the manufacturer;Another publically accessible source of information

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

Very often

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

Very often

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

Very often

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

Very often

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

Another publically accessible source of information

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

Sometimes

Sometimes

Sometimes

Very often

Refer to information available on Commission websites; Refer to information provided by the manufacturer; Liaise with Industry/Trade Association(s)

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

Sometimes

Sometimes

Sometimes

Sometimes

Refer to information available on the website of the relevant market surveillance authority;Contact the relevant Product Contact Point established under Regulation (EC) No 764/2008 or Regulation (EU) 305/2011

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

Very often

Sometimes

Very often

Sometimes

Refer to information available on Commission websites;Contact the European Commission

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

Sometimes

Sometimes

Sometimes

Sometimes

Refer to information available on Commission websites;Refer to information available on the website of the relevant market surveillance authority;Liaise with Industry/Trade Association(s);Another publically accessible source of information

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

Sometimes

Sometimes

Sometimes

Sometimes

Refer to information available on Commission websites;Refer to information available on the website of the relevant market surveillance authority;Liaise with Industry/Trade Association(s);Another publically accessible source of information

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

Sometimes

Sometimes

Rarely

Rarely

Refer to information available on Commission websites; Liaise with Industry/Trade Association(s)

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

Rarely

Sometimes

Sometimes

Refer to information available on the website of the relevant market surveillance authority; Liaise with Industry/Trade Association(s); Another publically accessible source of information

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

Rarely

Sometimes

Rarely

Sometimes

Contact the relevant market surveillance authority;Another publically accessible source of information

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

Not applicable to me

Not applicable to me

Not applicable to me

Not applicable to me

Refer to information available on Commission websites

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

Rarely

Rarely

Sometimes

Sometimes

Refer to information available on Commission websites;Contact the relevant market surveillance authority;Liaise with Industry/Trade Association(s);Another publically accessible source of information

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

Sometimes

Sometimes

Sometimes

Sometimes

Refer to information available on Commission websites;Contact the relevant market surveillance authority;Liaise with Industry/Trade Association(s)

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

Sometimes

Sometimes

Sometimes

Sometimes

Refer to information provided by the manufacturer;Another publically accessible source of information;Other Nationale websites zoals ondernemersplein.

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

Never

Never

Never

Never

Refer to information available on Commission websites;Refer to information available on the website of the relevant market surveillance authority;Contact the relevant market surveillance authority;Refer to information provided by the manufacturer

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

Sometimes

Sometimes

Sometimes

Sometimes

Refer to information available on Commission websites;Refer to information available on the website of the relevant market surveillance authority;Refer to information provided by the manufacturer

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

Never

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

Never

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

Never

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

Never

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

Very often

Very often

Very often

Very often

Refer to information available on Commission websites;Refer to information available on the website of the relevant market surveillance authority;Refer to information provided by the manufacturer;Liaise with Industry/Trade Association(s);Another publically accessible source of information

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

Sometimes

Sometimes

Sometimes

Sometimes

Refer to information available on Commission websites;Refer to information provided by the manufacturer;Liaise with Industry/Trade Association(s)

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

Very often

Very often

Sometimes

Very often

Refer to information available on Commission websites;Refer to information available on the website of the relevant market surveillance authority;Contact the relevant market surveillance authority

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

Sometimes

Not applicable to me

Never

Always

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

Rarely

Sometimes

Sometimes

Sometimes

Refer to information available on Commission websites; Liaise with Industry/Trade Association(s)

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

Very often

Very often

Sometimes

Sometimes

Refer to information available on Commission websites;Another publically accessible source of information

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

Always

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

Always

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

Always

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

Always

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

Contact the European Commission;Refer to information available on the website of the relevant market surveillance authority;Contact the relevant market surveillance authority;Refer to information provided by the manufacturer;Contact the relevant Product Contact Point established under Regulation (EC) No 764/2008 or Regulation (EU) 305/2011;Liaise with

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

Rarely

Very often

Rarely

Very often

Refer to information available on Commission websites;Refer to information available on the website of the relevant market surveillance authority;Another publically accessible source of information

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

Not applicable to me

Not applicable to me

Not applicable to me

Not applicable to me

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

Rarely

Rarely

Rarely

Rarely

Refer to information available on Commission websites;Contact the European Commission;Contact the relevant market surveillance authority;Liaise with Industry/Trade Association(s);Another publically accessible source of information

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

Very often

Very often

Very often

Very often

Contact the European Commission;Contact the relevant market surveillance authority;Contact the relevant Product Contact Point established under Regulation (EC) No 764/2008 or Regulation (EU) 305/2011;Other

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

Very often

Always

Very often

Always

Refer to information available on Commission websites;Refer to information available on the website of the relevant market surveillance authority;Refer to information provided by the manufacturer;Other

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

Never

Rarely

Sometimes

Sometimes

Liaise with Industry/Trade Association(s);Another publically accessible source of information;Other

contact with laboratories and Inspection Bodies

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

1. Have you had difficulty in finding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on the domestic market?

2. Have you had difficulty understanding the correct information on the technical rules that products need to meet:: before they can be placed on other EU markets?

3. What is the approach you most often use to look for support and information on technical rules that products need to meet?

Please specify:

Rarely

Rarely

Rarely

Sometimes

Liaise with Industry/Trade Association(s);Another publically accessible source of information;Other

formations effectuées par les organismes d'inspections ou de conseils dans la sécurité des produits

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

Not effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

Very effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

Not effective

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

Effective

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

Not effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

Effective

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

Do not know/not applicable

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

Often

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

Often

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

Not effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

Not effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

Not effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

Not effective

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

Not applicable to me

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

Not applicable to me

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

Not effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

Effective

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

Sometimes

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

Rarely

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

Not effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

Do not know/not applicable

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

Not effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

Not effective

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

Not effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

Very effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

Very effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

Very effective

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

Always

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

Always

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

Not effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

Effective

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

Not applicable to me

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

Not applicable to me

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

Very effective

Effective

Not effective

Do not know/not applicable

Always

Rarely

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

Not effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

Very effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

Very effective

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

Not applicable to me

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

Not applicable to me

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

Do not know/not applicable

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

Do not know/not applicable

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

Do not know/not applicable

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

Do not know/not applicable

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

Not applicable to me

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

Not applicable to me

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

Not effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

Not effective

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

Very effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

Very effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

Effective

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

Not effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

Very effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

Very effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

Very effective

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

Not applicable to me

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

Not applicable to me

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

Not effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

Not effective

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

Very effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

Not effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

Not effective

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

Do not know/not applicable

Effective

Effective

Not effective

Rarely

Often

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

Not effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

Do not know/not applicable

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

Often

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

Often

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

Not effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

Do not know/not applicable

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

Do not know/not applicable

Do not know/not applicable

Do not know/not applicable

Do not know/not applicable

Not applicable to me

Not applicable to me

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

Do not know/not applicable

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

Very effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

Very effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

Do not know/not applicable

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

Not applicable to me

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

Not applicable to me

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

Not effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

Very effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

Very effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

Not effective

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

Do not know/not applicable

Do not know/not applicable

Do not know/not applicable

Do not know/not applicable

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

Do not know/not applicable

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

Very effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

Do not know/not applicable

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

Effective

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

Do not know/not applicable

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

Do not know/not applicable

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

Not effective

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

Not applicable to me

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

Not applicable to me

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

Not effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

Not effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

Not effective

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

Sometimes

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

Always

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

Not effective

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

Not effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

Very effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

Effective

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

Not applicable to me

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

Not applicable to me

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

Not effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

Very effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

Effective

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

Never

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

Never

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

Not effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

Not effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

Not effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

Not effective

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

Always

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

Not applicable to me

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

Very effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

Very effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

Not effective

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

Not effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

Do not know/not applicable

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

Not applicable to me

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

Not applicable to me

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

Not effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

Very effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

Very effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

Effective

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

Not applicable to me

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

Not applicable to me

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

Do not know/not applicable

Do not know/not applicable

Do not know/not applicable

Do not know/not applicable

Rarely

Often

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

Do not know/not applicable

Do not know/not applicable

Do not know/not applicable

Do not know/not applicable

Rarely

Often

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

Not effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

Very effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

Very effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

Not effective

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

Sometimes

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

Often

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

Not effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

Very effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

Very effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

Do not know/not applicable

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

Often

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

Often

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

Not effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

Do not know/not applicable

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

Not effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

Do not know/not applicable

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

Not applicable to me

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

Not applicable to me

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

Not effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

Very effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

Very effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

Not effective

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

Not applicable to me

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

Not applicable to me

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

Very effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

Not effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

Effective

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

Not applicable to me

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

Not applicable to me

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

Very effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

Not effective

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

Always

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

Always

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

Often

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

Not effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

Very effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

Effective

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

Not applicable to me

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

Not applicable to me

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

Do not know/not applicable

Do not know/not applicable

Do not know/not applicable

Do not know/not applicable

Rarely

Often

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

Very effective

Effective

Not effective

Do not know/not applicable

Not applicable to me

Not applicable to me

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

Effective

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

Not effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

Very effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

Very effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

Not effective

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

Not applicable to me

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

Not applicable to me

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

Not effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

Not effective

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

Not applicable to me

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

Not applicable to me

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

Often

Sometimes

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

Not effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

Not effective

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

Not effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

Do not know/not applicable

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

Not applicable to me

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

Not applicable to me

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

Not effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

Very effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

Very effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

Effective

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

Not applicable to me

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

Not applicable to me

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

Not effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

Do not know/not applicable

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

Not effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

Very effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

Very effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

Not effective

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

Not applicable to me

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

Not applicable to me

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

Not effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

Very effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

Effective

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

Not effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

Do not know/not applicable

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

Not applicable to me

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

Not applicable to me

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

Not effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

Do not know/not applicable

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

Not applicable to me

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

Not applicable to me

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

Very effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

Very effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

Effective

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

Not applicable to me

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

Not applicable to me

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

Not effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

Not effective

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

Not applicable to me

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

Not applicable to me

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

Not effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

Very effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

Very effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

Very effective

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

Not applicable to me

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

Often

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

Not effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

Very effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

Not effective

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

Not applicable to me

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

Not applicable to me

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

Very effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

Not effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

Not effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

Not effective

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

Not effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

Very effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

Very effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

Effective

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

Always

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

Rarely

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

Very effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

Not effective

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

Rarely

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

Sometimes

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

Not effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

Very effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

Very effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

Very effective

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

Sometimes

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

Rarely

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

Not effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

Not effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

Do not know/not applicable

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

Do not know/not applicable

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

Not effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

Very effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

Not effective

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

Sometimes

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

Often

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

Not effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

Very effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

Very effective

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

Sometimes

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

Always

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

Not effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

Not effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

Effective

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

Not applicable to me

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

Sometimes

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

Very effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

Effective

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

Always

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

Often

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

Not effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

Very effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

Very effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

Very effective

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

Very effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

Very effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

Not effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

Not effective

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

Not effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

Effective

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

Rarely

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

Often

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

Do not know/not applicable

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

Do not know/not applicable

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

Do not know/not applicable

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

Do not know/not applicable

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

Not applicable to me

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

Not applicable to me

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

Not effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

Not effective

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

Do not know/not applicable

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

Not effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

Not effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

Not effective

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

Not effective

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

Not effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

Not effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

Not effective

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

Sometimes

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

Always

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

Very effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

Not effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

Not effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

Not effective

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

Sometimes

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

Sometimes

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

Very effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

Very effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

Not effective

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

Always

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

Never

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

Very effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

Very effective

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

Do not know/not applicable

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

Not effective

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

Always

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

Never

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

Not effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

Very effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

Effective

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

Never

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

Never

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

Not effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

Very effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

Very effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

Do not know/not applicable

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

Not effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

Not effective

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

Very effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

Effective

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

Not applicable to me

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

Not applicable to me

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

Very effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

Very effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

Very effective

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

Always

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

Sometimes

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

Very effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

Do not know/not applicable

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

Not applicable to me

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

Not applicable to me

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

Very effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

Effective

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

Not effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

Very effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

Very effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

Effective

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

Sometimes

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

Always

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

Very effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

Very effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

Very effective

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

Very effective

Effective

Not effective

Not effective

Sometimes

Never

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

Not effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

Very effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

Effective

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

Do not know/not applicable

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

Do not know/not applicable

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

Do not know/not applicable

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

Do not know/not applicable

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

Always

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

Not effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

Effective

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

Not effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

Do not know/not applicable

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

Not effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

Not effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

Not effective

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

Rarely

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

Often

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

Do not know/not applicable

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

Effective

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

Always

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

Often

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

Very effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

Do not know/not applicable

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

Not effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

Not effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

Do not know/not applicable

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

Not applicable to me

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

Not applicable to me

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

Very effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

Do not know/not applicable

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

Do not know/not applicable

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

Not effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

Very effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

Not effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

Not effective

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

Often

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

Very effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

Very effective

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

Very effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

Not effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

Not effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

Not effective

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

Not effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

Very effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

Very effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

Effective

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

Not applicable to me

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

Not applicable to me

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

Do not know/not applicable

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

Not effective

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

Not effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

Not effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

Not effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

Not effective

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

Not applicable to me

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

Not applicable to me

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

Not effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

Very effective

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

Sometimes

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

Not applicable to me

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

Not effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

Effective

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

Do not know/not applicable

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

Not effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

Very effective

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

Sometimes

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

Often

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

Very effective

Effective

Do not know/not applicable

Not effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

Do not know/not applicable

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

Do not know/not applicable

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

Do not know/not applicable

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

Do not know/not applicable

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

Do not know/not applicable

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

Do not know/not applicable

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

Not effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

Do not know/not applicable

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

Do not know/not applicable

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

Not effective

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

Do not know/not applicable

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

Always

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

Never

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

Effective

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

Often

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

Never

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

Not effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

Very effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

Effective

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

Not applicable to me

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

Not applicable to me

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

Not effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

Very effective

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

Always

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

Sometimes

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

Very effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

Very effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

Very effective

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

Always

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

Never

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

Very effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

Not effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

Not effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

Not effective

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

Always

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

Always

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

Not effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

Very effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

Very effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

Effective

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

Often

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

Rarely

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

Very effective

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

Sometimes

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

Rarely

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

Very effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

Very effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

Effective

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

Often

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

Never

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

Often

Always

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

Very effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

Very effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

Very effective

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

Never

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

Often

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

Not effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

Not effective

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

Very effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

Very effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

Very effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

Very effective

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

Always

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

Always

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

Not effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

Very effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

Very effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

Do not know/not applicable

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

Do not know/not applicable

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

Do not know/not applicable

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

Do not know/not applicable

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

Do not know/not applicable

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

Not applicable to me

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

Not applicable to me

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

Not effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

Not effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

Not effective

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

Rarely

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

Often

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

Effective

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

Not applicable to me

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

Not applicable to me

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

Effective

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

Always

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

Always

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

Very effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

Very effective

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

Always

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: National authorities should focus exclusively on enforcement and leave it entirely up to the businesses to ensure compliance by developing their own approaches.

Not effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide information on product requirements.

Effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also provide support to businesses through guidance on how to interpret product requirements.

Very effective

4. What is your opinion on the following approaches by national authorities to reduce the level of non-compliant products on the market?: In addition to enforcement national authorities should also allow businesses to enter into agreements with authorities to receive binding advice from them on how to interpret product requirements in specific situations.

Very effective

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity/technical documentation provided to the authority exclusively on paper

Always

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply information about product compliance?: Declaration of conformity available also on company's website

Always

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

Agree

Agree

Strongly agree

No opinion

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

Agree

Strongly agree

Agree

Disagree

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

Sometimes

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

Often

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

Agree

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

Agree

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

Agree

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

Agree

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

Not applicable to me

Not applicable to me

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

Sometimes

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

Sometimes

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

Disagree

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

Agree

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

Agree

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

Agree

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

Not applicable to me

Disagree

Agree

Agree

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

Always

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

Always

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

Strongly agree

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

Strongly agree

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

Strongly agree

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

Strongly agree

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

Not applicable to me

Not applicable to me

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

Always

Rarely

Disagree

Disagree

Strongly agree

Agree

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

Agree

Agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

Not applicable to me

Not applicable to me

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

Not applicable to me

Not applicable to me

Disagree

Disagree

Disagree

Agree

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

Not applicable to me

Not applicable to me

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

Disagree

Disagree

Agree

Agree

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

Strongly disagree

No opinion

No opinion

Strongly disagree

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

Rarely

Always

Agree

Agree

Agree

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

Always

Often

Strongly agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

Strongly agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

Not applicable to me

Not applicable to me

Agree

Agree

Strongly agree

Not applicable to me

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

Not applicable to me

Not applicable to me

Agree

Not applicable to me

Agree

No opinion

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

Not applicable to me

Not applicable to me

Disagree

Disagree

Disagree

No opinion

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

Rarely

Often

Strongly agree

No opinion

Strongly agree

Agree

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

No opinion

No opinion

Agree

No opinion

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

Not applicable to me

Not applicable to me

Strongly disagree

Disagree

Agree

Not applicable to me

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

Often

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

Often

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

Strongly agree

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

Strongly agree

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

Strongly agree

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

Strongly agree

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

Always

Not applicable to me

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

Not applicable to me

Not applicable to me

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

Not applicable to me

Not applicable to me

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Agree

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

Disagree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Disagree

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

Rarely

Often

Agree

Agree

Strongly agree

Agree

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

Rarely

Often

Agree

Agree

Strongly agree

Agree

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

Sometimes

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

Often

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

Agree

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

Agree

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

Agree

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

Agree

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

Rarely

Often

Disagree

Disagree

Agree

Disagree

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

Agree

Strongly disagree

Agree

Disagree

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

Not applicable to me

Not applicable to me

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

Not applicable to me

Not applicable to me

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Agree

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

Not applicable to me

Not applicable to me

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

No opinion

Not applicable to me

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

Always

Always

Not applicable to me

Not applicable to me

Not applicable to me

Not applicable to me

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

No opinion

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

Not applicable to me

Not applicable to me

Strongly disagree

No opinion

Agree

No opinion

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

Rarely

Often

Agree

Agree

Strongly agree

Agree

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

Not applicable to me

Not applicable to me

Disagree

Agree

Strongly agree

Agree

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

Agree

Agree

Agree

Strongly agree

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

Not applicable to me

Not applicable to me

Disagree

Agree

Agree

Strongly disagree

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

Not applicable to me

Not applicable to me

Disagree

Disagree

Disagree

Strongly disagree

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

Sometimes

Sometimes

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

Not applicable to me

Not applicable to me

Disagree

Disagree

Agree

Disagree

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

Not applicable to me

Not applicable to me

Strongly agree

No opinion

Strongly agree

Agree

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

Agree

No opinion

Agree

Strongly disagree

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

Not applicable to me

Not applicable to me

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

Agree

Agree

Agree

No opinion

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

Not applicable to me

Not applicable to me

Disagree

Disagree

Agree

Disagree

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

Not applicable to me

Not applicable to me

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

Not applicable to me

Not applicable to me

Agree

Agree

Disagree

Disagree

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

Not applicable to me

Not applicable to me

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

Always

Often

Agree

Agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

Not applicable to me

Not applicable to me

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

Disagree

Disagree

Agree

Agree

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

Always

Sometimes

Agree

Agree

Strongly agree

Agree

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

Rarely

Always

Strongly agree

Agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

Rarely

Sometimes

Disagree

Agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

Agree

Agree

Strongly agree

No opinion

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

Agree

No opinion

Agree

Agree

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

Rarely

Often

Strongly agree

Agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

Always

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

Always

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

Strongly agree

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

Strongly agree

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

Strongly agree

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

Strongly agree

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

Always

Rarely

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

Disagree

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

Often

Often

Agree

Disagree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

Rarely

Often

Agree

Agree

Strongly agree

Agree

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

Not applicable to me

Not applicable to me

Disagree

Disagree

Disagree

Disagree

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

Agree

Disagree

Disagree

Agree

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

Agree

Agree

Strongly agree

Agree

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

Agree

No opinion

Agree

Agree

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

Rarely

Always

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Agree

Agree

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

Sometimes

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

Sometimes

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

Strongly agree

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

Strongly agree

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

Strongly agree

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

Not applicable to me

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

Always

Sometimes

Agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Agree

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

Strongly agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

Sometimes

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

Sometimes

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

Agree

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

Agree

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

Agree

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

No opinion

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

Rarely

Rarely

Agree

Agree

Strongly agree

Agree

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

Agree

Agree

Agree

Disagree

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

Not applicable to me

Not applicable to me

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

Often

Sometimes

Disagree

Disagree

Disagree

Disagree

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

Not applicable to me

Not applicable to me

Agree

Agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

Always

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

Always

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

Strongly agree

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

Strongly agree

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

Strongly agree

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

Strongly agree

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

Never

Sometimes

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

Agree

Agree

Strongly agree

Agree

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

Agree

No opinion

Agree

Agree

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

Rarely

Always

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

Agree

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

Always

Often

Agree

No opinion

Agree

Not applicable to me

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Agree

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

Not applicable to me

Not applicable to me

Disagree

Disagree

Disagree

Strongly disagree

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

Agree

Disagree

Agree

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

Often

Disagree

Disagree

Disagree

Agree

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

Not applicable to me

Not applicable to me

Disagree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

Not applicable to me

Not applicable to me

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

Not applicable to me

Not applicable to me

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

Strongly agree

Agree

Agree

Strongly agree

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

Sometimes

Often

Agree

Agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

Agree

Agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

Always

Always

Strongly agree

No opinion

Strongly agree

No opinion

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

Often

Sometimes

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

Not applicable to me

Not applicable to me

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

Sometimes

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

Often

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

Agree

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

Agree

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

Agree

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

Agree

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

Always

Always

Agree

Agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

Always

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

Always

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to?:
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

Agree

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to?:
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

Agree

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to?:
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

Agree

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to?:
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

Agree

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

Often

Sometimes

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

Sometimes

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

Often

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

Agree

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

Agree

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

Agree

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

Agree

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

Always

Sometimes

Strongly agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

Often

Always

Agree

Strongly agree

Agree

Agree

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

Never

Often

Strongly agree

Agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

Disagree

Agree

Disagree

No opinion

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

Always

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

Always

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

Strongly agree

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

Not applicable to me

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

Strongly agree

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

Strongly agree

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

Agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Agree

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

Not applicable to me

Not applicable to me

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

Rarely

Always

Strongly agree

No opinion

Strongly agree

Agree

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

Not applicable to me

Not applicable to me

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

Always

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

Always

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

Strongly agree

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

Strongly agree

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

Strongly agree

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

Strongly agree

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

Always

Agree

Disagree

Agree

Disagree

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
exclusively on paper

1. [For businesses only]
How do you supply
information about product
compliance?: Instruction
for use or other
information relevant to
product users provided
also on company's website

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce the administrative
burden for businesses

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Reduce administrative
costs of enforcement for
authorities

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide/allow information
to be obtained faster

2. In your experience or
understanding would a
broader use of electronic
means to demonstrate
compliance help to::
Provide more information
to consumers / end users

Always

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

Agree

Disagree

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to: Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to:
Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?

Agree

Disagree

Disagree

Disagree

Strongly agree

No opinion

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to: Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to:
Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users

Disagree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.

Disagree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.

Disagree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.

Agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products

Agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?

Agree

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to: Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?
Agree	Agree	Agree	Agree	Strongly agree	No opinion

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to:
Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?

Strongly agree

Disagree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Disagree

Strongly agree

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to:
Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?

Agree

Disagree

Disagree

Agree

Disagree

Disagree

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to:
Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?

Agree

Disagree

Disagree

Disagree

Agree

Disagree

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to:
Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?

Agree

Strongly agree

Disagree

Agree

Disagree

Disagree

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to: Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?
Strongly agree	Strongly agree	Agree	Agree	Disagree	Agree

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to: Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?
Strongly agree	Strongly disagree	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Strongly agree	Strongly agree

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to: Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?
Agree	Strongly disagree	Strongly disagree	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	Strongly agree

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to: Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?

Strongly agree

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to:
Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?

Agree

Disagree

Disagree

Agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to:
Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users

Disagree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.

Disagree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.

Disagree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.

Disagree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products

Disagree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?

No opinion

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to: Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to: Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to: Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to: Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to:
Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to: Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?

Agree

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

Disagree

Disagree

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to: Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?
Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	Strongly disagree	Strongly disagree

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to: Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?

Not applicable to me

Strongly disagree

Disagree

Strongly disagree

Disagree

Disagree

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to:
Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?

Agree

Disagree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to:
Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?

Agree

Disagree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to: Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to: Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?

Not applicable to me

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to: Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to:
Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?

Agree

Agree

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to: Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to: Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to: Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to: Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to: Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to: Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to:
Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users

No opinion

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.

Agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.

Agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.

Agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products

Agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?

Agree

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to: Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to: Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?
No opinion	Strongly disagree	Strongly disagree	No opinion	Strongly disagree	Strongly disagree

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to: Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to: Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?

Strongly agree

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

Agree

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to: Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?

No opinion

Strongly agree

Strongly disagree

Strongly agree

Strongly disagree

No opinion

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to:
Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?

Agree

Strongly disagree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Agree

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to: Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to:
Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?

No opinion

Agree

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

No opinion

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to: Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?
Strongly agree	Agree	Strongly agree	Agree	Strongly agree	Strongly agree

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to:
Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?

Strongly agree

Strongly disagree

Agree

Strongly disagree

Agree

Agree

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to: Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to: Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?

No opinion

Agree

Agree

Disagree

Disagree

Disagree

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to: Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?

Strongly agree

Agree

Strongly disagree

Agree

Strongly disagree

No opinion

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to:
Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?

Agree

Disagree

Disagree

Agree

Disagree

Disagree

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to: Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?

Agree

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to: Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?

Agree

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to: Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?

Agree

Strongly agree

Agree

Agree

Strongly disagree

Strongly agree

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to:
Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?

Disagree

Strongly agree

Disagree

Disagree

Strongly disagree

Strongly agree

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to: Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?

Disagree

Agree

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

Disagree

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to: Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?
Strongly agree	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Disagree	Strongly agree	Strongly agree

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to: Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to:
Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Disagree

Agree

Disagree

No opinion

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to: Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?

Strongly disagree

Disagree

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

No opinion

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to: Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?

Not applicable to me

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

Disagree

Agree

Agree

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to: Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to:
Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.

No opinion

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.

No opinion

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.

No opinion

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products

No opinion

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?

No opinion

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to: Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?

No opinion

Agree

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

No opinion

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to: Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?

Agree

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

Strongly agree

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to:
Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?

Agree

Agree

Disagree

Agree

Strongly disagree

No opinion

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to: Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?
Strongly agree	Strongly agree	Agree	Strongly agree	Agree	Agree

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to: Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to: Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?

Agree

Strongly disagree

Disagree

Strongly disagree

Strongly agree

Strongly disagree

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to: Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?

Strongly disagree

Disagree

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

Disagree

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to: Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?

No opinion

Disagree

Strongly disagree

Disagree

Strongly disagree

Agree

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to:
Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?

Agree

Agree

Disagree

Disagree

Disagree

Agree

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to: Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?
Disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree	Agree

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to:
Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?

Strongly agree

Disagree

Disagree

Agree

Disagree

Agree

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to: Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?

Strongly disagree

Agree

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

Disagree

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to: Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?

No opinion

Disagree

Strongly disagree

Disagree

Strongly disagree

Disagree

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to:
Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?

No opinion

Agree

Disagree

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to: Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?

Disagree

Agree

Disagree

Disagree

Strongly disagree

Agree

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to: Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to: Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to: Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?

No opinion

Agree

Agree

Disagree

Disagree

Disagree

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to:
Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?

Disagree

Agree

Disagree

Disagree

Disagree

Disagree

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to: Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?

Agree

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to:
Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?

Strongly agree

Disagree

Agree

Strongly disagree

Agree

Strongly agree

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to: Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to:
Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?

Strongly agree

Disagree

Agree

Disagree

Strongly agree

Agree

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to: Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?

Agree

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

Agree

Disagree

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to:
Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?

Strongly agree

Disagree

Agree

Disagree

Agree

No opinion

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to:
Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?

Strongly agree

Disagree

Disagree

Strongly agree

Agree

Agree

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to:
Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?

Strongly agree

Disagree

Disagree

Disagree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to:
Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?

No opinion

Disagree

Agree

Strongly disagree

Agree

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to:
Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to:
Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?

Agree

Agree

Disagree

Agree

Disagree

No opinion

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to: Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to: Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?
Strongly agree	Strongly disagree	Strongly disagree	Agree	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to:
Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Disagree

Agree

Disagree

Strongly agree

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to: Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?
Disagree	Strongly disagree	Strongly disagree	Strongly disagree	Strongly disagree	Strongly disagree

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to:
Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.

Strongly disagree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.

Strongly disagree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.

Strongly disagree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products

Strongly agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?

Strongly agree

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to: Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to:
Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?

Agree

Disagree

Agree

Disagree

Agree

Agree

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to:
Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?

Strongly agree

Disagree

Disagree

Disagree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to:
Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?

Agree

Disagree

Agree

Disagree

Strongly agree

Agree

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to:
Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?

Disagree

Disagree

Disagree

Disagree

Disagree

Disagree

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to:
Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?

Agree

Disagree

Agree

Disagree

Agree

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to: Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?

Agree

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

Strongly agree

Agree

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to:
Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?

Agree

Disagree

Agree

Agree

Disagree

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to: Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?

Agree

Disagree

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to: Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?

Not applicable to me

Strongly disagree

Disagree

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to: Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?

Agree

Disagree

Disagree

Disagree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to: Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?

Strongly agree

Strongly disagree

Disagree

Disagree

Strongly agree

No opinion

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to: Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to:
Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?

No opinion

Disagree

Disagree

Agree

No opinion

No opinion

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to: Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?

Agree

Agree

Disagree

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to:
Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?

Agree

Disagree

Agree

Disagree

Strongly agree

No opinion

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to:
Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?

Disagree

Agree

Agree

Strongly agree

Agree

Disagree

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to:
Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users

Agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.

Agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.

Disagree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.

Agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products

Disagree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?

Agree

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to: Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?

Disagree

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to: Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?

Strongly agree

Agree

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

No opinion

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to: Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to:
Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?

Strongly agree

No opinion

Disagree

Agree

Agree

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to: Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to: Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?
Strongly agree	Strongly agree	Strongly disagree	Strongly disagree	Strongly disagree	Strongly disagree

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to: Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?

Agree

Disagree

Disagree

Disagree

Strongly agree

Agree

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to:
Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to: Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to: Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?

Agree

Agree

No opinion

Agree

No opinion

Agree

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to: Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?

Agree

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

Agree

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to: Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?

Not applicable to me

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to: Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?

Agree

Disagree

Strongly disagree

Strongly agree

Strongly disagree

Agree

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to: Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to: Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to: Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?

Agree

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to: Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?
Strongly agree	Strongly agree	Disagree	Strongly agree	Strongly agree	Strongly agree

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to: Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to:
Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?

Strongly agree

Disagree

Strongly agree

Disagree

Strongly agree

Agree

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to: Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to:
Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to:
Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?

Strongly agree

Agree

No opinion

Agree

No opinion

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to: Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to: Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?

Disagree

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to:
Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users

Agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.

Agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.

Disagree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.

Agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products

Disagree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?

Agree

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to: Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?

Strongly agree

Agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Agree

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to:
Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?

Agree

Disagree

Disagree

Strongly agree

Agree

Strongly agree

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to: Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to: Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to: Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to: Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to: Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to: Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to: Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to: Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?
No opinion	Strongly disagree	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	Strongly disagree	Agree

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to:
Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?

Agree

Disagree

Agree

Disagree

No opinion

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to: Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to: Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?

Agree

Agree

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

Agree

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to:
Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users

Agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.

Agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.

Agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.

Agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products

Disagree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?

Agree

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to:
Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?

Strongly agree

Disagree

Strongly disagree

Agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to: Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?

Agree

Strongly disagree

Agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to:
Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?

Strongly agree

Disagree

Disagree

Strongly agree

No opinion

No opinion

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to: Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?

Agree

Strongly agree

Disagree

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to:
Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?

Agree

Agree

Strongly disagree

No opinion

Strongly disagree

No opinion

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to: Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?

Agree

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to: Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?
Strongly agree	Strongly agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly disagree	Disagree

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to: Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to: Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?
No opinion	No opinion	Disagree	Disagree	Agree	No opinion

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to: Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?

Strongly agree

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

Agree

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to: Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?
Agree	Agree	Strongly agree	Agree	Strongly agree	Strongly agree

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to: Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?
Agree	Agree	Agree	Agree	No opinion	Agree

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to: Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?

Agree

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

Agree

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to:
Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?

Strongly agree

Disagree

Agree

Disagree

Agree

Strongly agree

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to: Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?
Strongly agree	Strongly agree	Strongly agree	Strongly agree	Strongly agree	Strongly agree

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to: Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?
Disagree	Disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree	Strongly disagree	Disagree

2. In your experience or understanding would a broader use of electronic means to demonstrate compliance help to:
Provide up-to-date information to consumers / end users

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of economic operators and notified bodies on a voluntary basis. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory decentralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could consist of information available on the websites of manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies. They would be responsible for developing and maintaining information available.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Voluntary centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies could upload information regarding conformity of products.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Compulsory centralised 'Digital Compliance' system: for instance the system could have the form of an electronic repository of information; it would be developed, owned and maintained by the European Commission; manufacturers, authorised representatives, notified bodies would be required to upload information regarding conformity of products

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the address of the electronic repository be beneficial?

Disagree

Agree

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

No opinion

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

No opinion

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

Disagree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

Disagree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

31 to 40%

Disagree

Disagree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

Agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

No opinion

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

More than 50%

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

Agree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

Agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

No opinion

More than 50%

Agree

Agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?	4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?	1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?	2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market	2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

Strongly agree

Disagree

1, a legislation of compliance should be focus on "batch production compliance"
 2, the batch production compliance records should be upload and registered in the big data base which operated and maintained by notified bodies. different notified body has their own database but should meet requirements of EU legislation and under control of authority.
 3, anybody scan the e-label on the product via ordinary

Unable to estimate

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?	4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?	1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?	2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market	2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge
Disagree	Disagree	Measures such as those described here are too onerous for the toy and general consumer product markets. They would add a level of administrative burden with which would be difficult to comply in a rapidly changing market and would not produce a significant improvement in consumer safety. Despite the number of RAPEX notifications and their trends, the quality of those data is poor and they	More than 50%	Disagree	Disagree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

Disagree

Agree

41 to 50%

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

Agree

No opinion

Im Zusammenhang mit Prüfungen der Marktüberwachung müssen teilweise technische Dokumentationen angefordert werden, die für Unternehmen als vertraulich gelten. Diese werden kaum bereit sein, solche Informationen in elektronischer Form allgemein zur Verfügung zu stellen.

31 to 40%

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

Agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

Agree

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

41 to 50%

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

Agree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

Agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

Strongly agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

Agree

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

A decentralised database would simply not be a viable option for compliance.

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

Unable to estimate

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

Strongly agree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

Strongly agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Op elk apparaat een QR code met toegangsadres naar database met alle relevante gegevens en keuringscertificaten. Kan zowel de toezichthouder als de gebruiker controleren wat er aan gegevens beschikbaar is.

Strongly agree

Disagree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

Unable to estimate

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

Strongly agree

Unable to estimate

No opinion

Agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

No opinion

No opinion

Arbejdstilsynet er bekymret for at et 'digitalt overholdelsessystem' vil være administrativt byrdefuldt for virksomhederne uden at der opnås den ønskede effekt. Vi kan bl.a. være bekymret for om vi som myndighed kan stole på at data i systemet er ajourførte, og om vi uden videre vil kunne bruge sådanne data som grundlag for vores afgørelser. I dag sender vi en e-mail til fabrikanten og udbeder os de

More than 50%

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

Unable to estimate

Agree

Agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

More than 50%

Agree

Strongly agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

More than 50%

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

Unable to estimate

Agree

Agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

Strongly agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

Strongly agree

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

Ne

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

31 to 40%

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

Strongly agree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

Strongly agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

Disagree

Agree

31 to 40%

Agree

Strongly agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

Da die technischen Unterlagen ohnehin zu erstellen sind, würde ein obligatorisches System in erster Linie zu einer höheren administrativen Belastung der Unternehmen führen und gerade diejenigen Unternehmen bestrafen, die bereits aufwändige interne Software-Systeme hierfür aufgebaut haben. Ein freiwilliges System birgt hingegen das Potenzial, einen "Wissens-Pool"

Unable to estimate

Disagree

Disagree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?	4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?	1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?	2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market	2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

Strongly agree

Agree

The Blue Guide mentions in its chapter 4.2.2 "Union harmonisation legislation is prescriptive as to the ends but not as to the means to achieve those ends. This means that Union harmonisation legislation foresees requirements for the traceability of products made available on the market, without stipulating how to achieve or implement these requirements". It is our understanding that a certain

31 to 40%

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

Agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

Agree

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

More than 50%

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

Agree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

Agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

Agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

Agree

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

More than 50%

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

Agree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

Agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

No opinion

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

No opinion

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

La réglementation devrait être rédigée avec des objectifs clairs et élevés

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

Unable to estimate

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

No opinion

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

No opinion

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

No opinion

No opinion

We warmly welcome the Commission's plan to evaluate current compliance systems and modernize and simplify systems. In principle, in order to ease SMEs' opportunities to do business and reduce administrative burden digital compliance' systems should be simple and user friendly. Furthermore, in order to ensure easy usability, digital system should apply the once only principle. We believe that

Unable to estimate

No opinion

No opinion

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

More than 50%

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

More than 50%

Agree

Agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

Unable to estimate

Agree

Agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

Agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

Agree

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

Unable to estimate

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

Agree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

Agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

In theory using electronic means could reduce administrative burdens and provide for faster communication.

At present it is doubtful how either a voluntary or compulsory digital compliance system would function.

The discussion on the proposal of a product database under the revised

More than 50%

Agree

Agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?	4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?	1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?	2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market	2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

Agree

Agree

EGMF believes that an e-label applied on the machine instead of a database could facilitate a better control of the data which will have fewer risks of being lost. A simplified e-labelling solution would allow manufacturers to print a machine readable coded pattern (e.g. a QR code) onto the product data label with the specification that the code would not be a link to a web site, but instead contain

More than 50%

Agree

Agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

No opinion

Strongly disagree

Da die technischen Unterlagen ohnehin zu erstellen sind, würde ein obligatorisches System in erster Linie zu einer höheren administrativen Belastung der Unternehmen führen und gerade diejenigen Unternehmen bestrafen, die bereits aufwändige interne Software-Systeme hierfür aufgebaut haben. Ein freiwilliges System birgt hingegen das Potential, einen "Wissens-Pool" aufzubauen,

Unable to estimate

No opinion

No opinion

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

Agree

Agree

Yllä kuvattu järjestelmä olisi luotavat sellaiseksi, että yritykset hyötyvät siitä että rekisteröityvät sinne. Tulee tarjota tietoa esim. miten saavuttaa ja varmistaa tuotteiden vaatimustenmukaisuus, tietoa vaatimuksista, standardeista, ilmoitetuista laitoksista, testauslaboratorioista, viranomaisista jne.

Kun yritys rekisteröityy, se saisi automaattisesti pääsyn

Unable to estimate

Strongly disagree

Agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

No opinion

No opinion

In order to minimize (additional) administrative burden information flow from separate data bases should be enabled via standardisation and modern IT technologies, instead of creating completely new databases.

Unable to estimate

Disagree

Disagree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

We find encouraging that the EU Commission takes steps to improve demonstration on compliance by electronic means, and to the extent possible, by automatic identification.

As of today, there seem to be many incorrect EU declarations of conformity in the EU (to an extent that the self-evaluation on principle and responsibility of CE-marking etc are heavily

Unable to estimate

Agree

Agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

Agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

Agree

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

21 to 30%

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

Agree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

Agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?	4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?	1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?	2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market	2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

Disagree

No opinion

CECE is cautions about introduction e-compliance, still there is many questions on the way the system could be implemented. CECE is concerned by the impact this may have on SMEs which are used to the current system. Please read CECE position paper on e-compliance: CECE_Position_Paper_Provisional_Options_on_E-compliance.pdf (sent to grow-b1-compliance@ec.europa.eu)

Unable to estimate

Agree

Agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

No opinion

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

No opinion

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

More than 50%

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

Strongly agree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

Strongly agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

Disagree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

Disagree

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

Unable to estimate

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

Strongly agree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

Strongly agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

Agree

Strongly disagree

The regulation should established clear and high level objectives. Each economical operator should be free to setup the right means to answer these objectives.

31 to 40%

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

Agree

Strongly disagree

The regulation should established clear and high level objectives. Each economical operator should be free to setup the right means to answer these objectives.

31 to 40%

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

Strongly agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

Strongly agree

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

6 to 10%

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

Agree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

Agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?	4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?	1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?	2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market	2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

We are missing the option of electronic CE marking. DIGITALEUROPE believes that eLabelling for products with integral displays should be implemented without further delays and can be easily achieved with the already existing implementation measure in the Radio Equipment Directive (2014/53/EU) and/or other NLF directives, or even with non-legislative measures such as some sort

More than 50%

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

Disagree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

Disagree

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

Unable to estimate

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

Strongly agree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

Strongly agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Businesses should be mandated to display the list of standards that their products comply with (= their compliance list), the nature of the tests being performed and of their results, and the list of model types to which this declaration applies (the same product is often sold under different brands and model types, specifically from one Member State to the next.

More than 50%

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

Unable to estimate

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

No opinion

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

No opinion

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

31 to 40%

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

Strongly agree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

Strongly agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

No opinion

Disagree

More than 50%

Agree

Agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

Agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

Strongly agree

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

More than 50%

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

Strongly agree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

Strongly agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

More than 50%

No opinion

No opinion

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

No opinion

No opinion

Some ceramic construction product manufacturers do not see advantages of e-compliance systems. The Declaration of Performance is most of the times supplied via the website of manufacturers. Any regulatory changes should not create additional administrative burdens.

Unable to estimate

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

No opinion

No opinion

EuroCommerce would like to point out it is not in favour of creating a central database, as this would surely create high costs and administrative burdens for operators and authorities due to:

- ☐ a lack of interoperability of data systems and data carriers
- ☐ assuming companies on a global platform will have different systems for different geographic regions
- ☐ confidentiality and

Unable to estimate

Disagree

Disagree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

The regulation should established clear and high level objectives. Each economical operator should be free to setup the right means to answer these objectives.

31 to 40%

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

No opinion

Strongly disagree

Some businesses now provide instruction manuals and Declarations on their websites, that clearly do not comply with current requirements, yet authorities do not monitor these, and do not contact organisations. If there was some attempt at market surveillance, then manufacturers would start to work towards compliance.

Unable to estimate

Agree

Agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

Strongly agree

Agree

A centralised system with e.g. EU Type examination certificates, 11A or 11B status, Declaration of Conformity and possibly further information would certainly help to show compliance, not only to market surveillance authorities but also to customers.
This system could be set by the sector with the support of the EU Commission or the other way around.

Agree

Strongly agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

Unable to estimate

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

Agree

Disagree

Orgalime welcomes the European Commission's intention to use new technologies for efficient and effective market surveillance and to ensure a secure and reliable framework for communicating with authorities.
New technologies may have the potential to remove administrative burdens, both for economic operators and market surveillance authorities, but their use

Unable to estimate

Disagree

Disagree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

Agree

No opinion

Efforts to promote electronic compliance should not replace physical checks in the field. Just as paper documentation, technical documentation in an electronic format could be easily falsified, counterfeited or misused by rogue businesses not willing to fully comply with requirements.

We are also concerned that any electronic system would greatly impact the way in

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

Agree

No opinion

What our clients say:
•"The European Commission should consider establishing a "whitelist" of businesses. This would comprise businesses which are able to prove they meet certain minimum standards relating to product compliance. For example, these businesses could provide proof to the Commission that they have adequate product compliance systems in place that comply with certain objective

Unable to estimate

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

Agree

No opinion

Question no. 3 is difficult to answer in a definite way in the absence of a clear understanding of what "electronic means for demonstrating compliance" actually entails.

On one hand new technologies have the potential to remove administrative burdens, both for economic operators and market surveillance authorities, but their use

Unable to estimate

Disagree

Disagree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

Agree

Agree

Guessing that demonstration of compliance is something more than "CE" marking, then yes, electronic repository could be useful. But is shall be voluntary as said above.

Unable to estimate

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

Disagree

Disagree

Der Konformitätsnachweis erfolgt in der Regel über die Konformitätserklärung. Diese Konformitätserklärung muss, je nach Produktgruppe, dem Produkt beigelegt werden oder vom Wirtschaftsbeteiligten bereitgehalten werden. Falls weitere Informationen benötigt werden oder Fragen im Hinblick auf die Konformität eines Produkts auftauchen können die Behörden bereits heute vom

Unable to estimate

Disagree

Disagree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

Agree

No opinion

Digital solutions are beneficial. They reduce the administrative burdens for both business operators and the Member States. Furthermore, they are easy to update and make accessible to the users. If they are to be applied as part of market surveillance to document compliance, they need to be voluntary. Thus, DI do not support the establishment of a compulsory digital compliance system in line

Unable to estimate

Disagree

Disagree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?	4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?	1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?	2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market	2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

No opinion

Strongly disagree

A new system of digital compliance might conflict with the obligation in the Toy Safety Directive to supply the technical documentation only upon 'reasoned request'. Sharing confidential technical information with other actors in the supply chain, like retailers, will be damaging, especially for SMEs. TIE would be very interested in a simple, voluntary and free system to share essential, non-confidential elements of

Unable to estimate

Strongly disagree

Agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

Agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

No opinion

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

Unable to estimate

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

Agree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

Agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

Disagree

No opinion

The way that e-compliance would work in practice is not clear. We do not see that there is a connection between the sales of non-compliant machinery seen in our sector and the idea of e-compliance. The problem is one of lack of enforcement, not one of documentation.

Unable to estimate

Agree

Agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

Disagree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

Disagree

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

6 to 10%

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

Agree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

Strongly agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?	4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?	1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?	2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market	2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

No opinion

No opinion

The subject of Q3 is not a priority until there is more active market surveillance resource and support. Authorities should not burden manufacturers with too much extra administration requirements, as there is no guarantee of benefit to market surveillance with these approaches. Some manufacturers may seek to avoid extra administration, and enforcement of this may not be carried out thoroughly.

Unable to estimate

Disagree

Disagree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

Strongly agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

Strongly agree

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

More than 50%

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

Agree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

Agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

Agree

Disagree

More than 50%

Agree

Agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

Disagree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

Disagree

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

Unable to estimate

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

Strongly disagree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

Strongly disagree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

No opinion

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

No opinion

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

31 to 40%

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

Strongly agree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

Strongly agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

Agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

Agree

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

31 to 40%

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

Disagree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

Disagree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

The key compliance factor is supply (chain) risk assessment: Do my Purchase Department know what they are buying from suppliers? Do we know what we add as inhouse production? What is the chemical composition of our final assembly? Companies could demonstrate compliance by stating that they utilise a full materials declaration (by CAS No.).

41 to 50%

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

Agree

Strongly disagree

Unable to estimate

Agree

Agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

Strongly agree

Agree

31 to 40%

No opinion

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

Agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

Agree

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

Unable to estimate

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

Agree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

Agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

Strongly agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

Strongly agree

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

Unable to estimate

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

Strongly agree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

Strongly agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?	4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?	1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?	2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market	2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

Strongly agree

Agree

The average consumer has absolutely zero understanding of a declaration of compliance. They don't even know they exist and even if placed in instruction manuals or packaging would not know what it was. 99% of the time including "paper" versions of these is an absolute waste of resources. They need to be available to MSA when checked for and that is all.

Unable to estimate

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

Keine Datensicherheit!
Sehr hoher Pflegeaufwand.
Als Hersteller halten wir CE ein und pflegen unsere Produktdaten. Ein elektronisches System der Aufsichtsbehörden stellt ein zusätzlichen Aufwand dar und diejenigen die heute keine CE haben kommen weiterhin ohne CE in die EU rein. Ein elektronisches System das von den Herstellern gepflegt wird ist nur ein zusätzlicher Aufwand

Unable to estimate

Disagree

Strongly disagree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

Strongly agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

Strongly agree

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

0 to 5%

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

Strongly agree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

Strongly agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

Agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

Agree

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

Unable to estimate

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

Disagree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

Disagree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

Strongly agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

No opinion

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

Strongly agree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

Strongly agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

Agree

Agree

I would suggest creating a centralized database owned by European Commission / Market surveillance authority, where each Company must upload all the relevant info in support of the compliance of their product placed on the market. The database should be enough flexible to be able to update some info in case of product changes (HW and SW). Some info should be made available for general public and manufacturers,

Unable to estimate

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

Disagree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

Disagree

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

Kein elektronisches System kann die Pflichten der Akteure abnehmen. Die benötigten Informationen sind vorhanden und problemlos greifbar für alle.

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

More than 50%

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

Agree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

Agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

Agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

Agree

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

0 to 5%

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

Disagree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

Agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

Agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

Agree

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

Unable to estimate

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

Agree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

Agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

Disagree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

Disagree

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

More than 50%

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

Agree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

Agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

Strongly agree

Disagree

Establishing a common system increases the administrative burden for industry and increased risk for confidential information being spread. It's also a step back to a product certification system that can require approval off submitted material before the product can be released to the market. The principal of manufacturers self declaration would suddenly be gone

Unable to estimate

Disagree

Strongly agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

Strongly agree

Strongly disagree

There is no need for a repository. This is only extra burden. Economic operators should be asked and able to react within a given time (as today) to electronic requests and provide the necessary documents, preferably by electronic means

31 to 40%

No opinion

No opinion

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

Agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

Agree

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

Unable to estimate

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

Strongly agree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

Strongly agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

Strongly agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

Strongly agree

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

More than 50%

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

Strongly agree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

Strongly agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

31 to 40%

No opinion

No opinion

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

Agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

No opinion

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

41 to 50%

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

No opinion

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

No opinion

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?	4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?	1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?	2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market	2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

Agree

Strongly disagree

Centralised measures described in the questions are potentially restrictive and costly for large business who already have invested in their own databases and compliance processes. For example some businesses have already invested in electronic systems that allow their retail customers to access certain compliance information like tet reports and declarations of conformity for example.

Unable to estimate

Strongly disagree

Agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

No opinion

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

No opinion

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

More than 50%

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

Agree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

Agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

Disagree

Agree

Unable to estimate

Disagree

No opinion

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

Agree

Disagree

21 to 30%

Agree

Agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

More than 50%

Strongly agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

Agree

No opinion

Dobrovoľný a decentralizovaný systém by umožnil rozhodovať podnikateľovi, či je takáto databáza prospešná jeho a vyhovuje jeho marketingovým zámerom.
Povinný systém by sa dal akceptovať, ak by ten, čo zaviedol povinnosť, platil za každý zverejnený záznam tomu, kto dal na zverejnenie podklady.

Unable to estimate

Disagree

Agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

More than 50%

Agree

Strongly agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

Agree

Agree

Le répertoire centralisé doit dans un 1er temps se limiter aux éléments déclaratifs de type attestation, DOP...
Les données relatives aux dossier technique justificatif doivent rester chez le "fabricant"

41 to 50%

Agree

Agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

Unable to estimate

Agree

Agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

Strongly agree

Strongly disagree

21 to 30%

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

Agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

Agree

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

More than 50%

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

Strongly agree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

Agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

More than 50%

No opinion

No opinion

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

31 to 40%

Agree

Agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

Agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

No opinion

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

Agree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

Agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

Agree

No opinion

Since it is a considerable effort for the companies, benefits should be evaluated

41 to 50%

Agree

Agree

.
A central licenced system could work if it is easy to use and well maintained e.g. licence per company not individual user. Even a data exchange via "data export/exchange/import" is beneficial.

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

No opinion

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

No opinion

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

21 to 30%

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

Agree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

Agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Disagree

Disagree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

Unable to estimate

Agree

Strongly agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

Unable to estimate

Agree

Agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

Agree

Strongly disagree

We do not see any added value that would derive from an "e-compliance system", neither for businesses nor for market surveillance authorities. Our concerns are: implied requirements for a specific electronic formatting of all components/elements of technical documentation; protection of intellectual property rights and data security; costs of administration and

Unable to estimate

Disagree

Disagree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

Strongly agree

Hay que poner en práctica políticas que faciliten a las empresas pequeñas o medianas cumplir con los requisitos. Emprendedores e investigadores también son grupos muy vulnerables a la hora de inventar nuevos productos por lo que se les debe siempre facilitar en lugar de complicar los procedimientos

Unable to estimate

No opinion

No opinion

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

Unable to estimate

Agree

Disagree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

Agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

Strongly agree

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

Unable to estimate

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

Strongly agree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

Strongly agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

Unable to estimate

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

Agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

Agree

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

Unable to estimate

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

No opinion

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

No opinion

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

Agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

No opinion

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

21 to 30%

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

Agree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

Agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

No opinion

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

No opinion

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

More than 50%

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

Agree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

Agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

Strongly agree

Agree

Unable to estimate

Agree

Strongly agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

Agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

Agree

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

More than 50%

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

Strongly agree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

Agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

Agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

Agree

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

31 to 40%

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

Agree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

Agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

Unable to estimate

No opinion

Agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

Agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

Agree

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

0 to 5%

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

Disagree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

Disagree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

Agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

No opinion

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

31 to 40%

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

Agree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

Agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?	4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?	1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?	2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market	2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

Strongly disagree

No opinion

Nederlandse retailers gebruik vaak al elektronische middelen om aan te tonen dat hun producten aan de eisen voldoen, bijvoorbeeld door middel van QR codes of door verwijzingen op de websites. Ook de communicatie met toezichthouders kan zoveel mogelijk elektronisch verlopen om de administratieve last van controles zoveel mogelijk te beperken.

Unable to estimate

Disagree

Disagree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

Agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

Agree

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

21 to 30%

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

Agree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

Agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

Strongly agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

Strongly agree

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

6 to 10%

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

Agree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

Strongly agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?	4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?	1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?	2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market	2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

The efficiency of the system will in any case strongly rely on the scope and the verifications performed by the Authorities and the Commission.
A compulsory list of product models should be part of such a system.

Moreover, to ensure that the products tested are representative of those available on the market, samples should be collected

41 to 50%

Agree

Agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?	4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?	1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?	2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market	2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

No opinion

Agree

The European Commission should consider establishing a "whitelist" of businesses. This would comprise businesses which are able to prove they meet certain minimum standards relating to product compliance. For example, these businesses could provide proof to the Commission that they have adequate product compliance systems in place that complies with certain objective requirements, e.g.

Unable to estimate

Agree

Strongly agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

Strongly disagree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

Strongly disagree

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

Unable to estimate

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

Disagree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

Disagree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

No opinion

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

No opinion

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

Unable to estimate

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

Agree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

Agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

Agree

Strongly disagree

41 to 50%

Agree

Agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

No opinion

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

No opinion

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

Unable to estimate

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

Agree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

Agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

Strongly agree

No opinion

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

Strongly agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

Strongly agree

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

6 to 10%

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

No opinion

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

No opinion

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

Agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

Agree

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

More than 50%

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

Agree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

Agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

Agree

Agree

Since it is a considerable effort for the companies, benefits should be evaluated. A central licencing system could work if it is easy to use and well-maintained, e.g licence per company, and not per individual user.

41 to 50%

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

Strongly agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

Strongly agree

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

Strongly agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

Strongly agree

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

41 to 50%

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

Strongly agree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

Strongly agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?

4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

Agree

e compliance in the meaning of storage of data in a centralised/decentralised system is not realistic due to the huge volume of data that has to be stored and maintain and the fact that most of them are confidential. (see the content of the Technical documentation that has to be collected for CE marking) It will not really help market surveillance that should remain on the basis of physical inspection of

More than 50%

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would an e-labelling system containing the product identification and/or manufacturer contact details be beneficial?	3. What is your view about the following options to better exploit the potential of electronic means for demonstrating compliance?: Would resorting to an automatic identification and data capture system(*) to facilitate access to the repository be beneficial?	4. Would you like to add comments or suggestions on how to facilitate businesses' demonstration on compliance?	1. What is the approximate proportion of products placed on the market by manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State in your sector (based on product volumes)?	2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful in correcting the non-compliance for all products concerned in the Single Market	2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useful that authorities are able to discuss non-compliance directly with the business having the greatest level of responsibility and knowledge

Agree

Strongly disagree

Un système de e compliance centralisé ne ser apas une aide pour la surveillance des marchés. Actuellement, toutes les exigences existent dans les directives afin que les producteurs soient identifiés. La mise à dispositions de données, pour l'essentiel confidentielles n'est pas concevable pour les constructeurs (voir la définition et le contenu du dossier technique pour le marquage CE). Le volume de

More than 50%

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

Agree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

Strongly agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Strongly agree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

Agree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Agree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

Disagree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Strongly agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Strongly agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Agree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

Disagree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

No opinion

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Disagree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

Disagree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

Strongly disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

No opinion / no experience

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

No opinion / no experience

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

No opinion / no experience

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

No opinion / no experience

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

No opinion

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

No opinion

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

No opinion / no experience

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

No opinion / no experience

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

Strongly disagree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

Strongly disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Agree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

Disagree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

Strongly disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Agree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

Disagree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Strongly agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Strongly agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

Strongly agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Strongly agree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

Disagree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

Strongly disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Agree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Strongly agree

Agree

Disagree

Agree

No opinion / no experience

No opinion / no experience

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

Disagree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

No opinion / no experience

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

No opinion / no experience

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

Strongly disagree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

Strongly disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Disagree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

Disagree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

No opinion / no experience

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Agree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

Strongly disagree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

Strongly disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Strongly disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

No opinion / no experience

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

Strongly disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Strongly disagree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

Agree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Agree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

Disagree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

No opinion / no experience

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

No opinion / no experience

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

No opinion / no experience

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

No opinion / no experience

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

Strongly disagree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

Strongly disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Strongly disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Strongly disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Agree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

Strongly disagree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

Strongly disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

No opinion / no experience

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Agree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

No opinion

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Disagree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

Disagree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

No opinion / no experience

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

Disagree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Agree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

Disagree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Agree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

No opinion

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

No opinion

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

No opinion / no experience

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

No opinion / no experience

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

No opinion / no experience

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

No opinion / no experience

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

No opinion

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

No opinion

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

No opinion / no experience

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

No opinion / no experience

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

No opinion / no experience

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

No opinion / no experience

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

Disagree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

Strongly disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

No opinion / no experience

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

Disagree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

No opinion / no experience

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

No opinion / no experience

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

Disagree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Agree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

No opinion

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

No opinion

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Agree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

No opinion

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

No opinion

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

No opinion / no experience

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

No opinion / no experience

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

No opinion / no experience

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

No opinion / no experience

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

No opinion

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

No opinion

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

No opinion / no experience

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

No opinion / no experience

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

No opinion

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

No opinion

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Disagree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

Strongly agree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

Strongly agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Agree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

Strongly agree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

Strongly agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

No opinion / no experience

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

No opinion / no experience

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

No opinion / no experience

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

No opinion / no experience

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

Disagree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

No opinion / no experience

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

No opinion / no experience

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

No opinion / no experience

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

No opinion / no experience

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

Disagree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Agree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

Disagree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

No opinion / no experience

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

Strongly disagree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

Strongly disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Strongly agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Agree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

Strongly disagree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

Strongly disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Strongly disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Strongly disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Agree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

Disagree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Agree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

Disagree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Agree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

Disagree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Strongly agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

Strongly agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Strongly agree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

Strongly disagree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

Strongly disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Strongly agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Disagree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

Disagree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

No opinion

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Strongly disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Strongly disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

Strongly disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Strongly disagree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

Disagree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

Strongly disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

No opinion / no experience

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

No opinion / no experience

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

No opinion / no experience

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

No opinion / no experience

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

Strongly disagree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

Strongly disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Agree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

Agree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

No opinion / no experience

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

No opinion / no experience

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer	2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Agree

Strongly disagree

No opinion / no experience

No opinion / no experience

No opinion / no experience

No opinion / no experience

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

No opinion

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

No opinion

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

No opinion / no experience

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

No opinion / no experience

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

No opinion / no experience

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

No opinion / no experience

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

Agree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

No opinion / no experience

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

No opinion / no experience

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

No opinion / no experience

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

No opinion / no experience

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

Disagree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Agree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

No opinion

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Agree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

Disagree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Strongly disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Agree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

Strongly disagree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

Strongly disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Strongly disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

No opinion / no experience

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

No opinion / no experience

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

Agree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

No opinion / no experience

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

No opinion / no experience

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

Disagree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

No opinion / no experience

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

No opinion / no experience

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

Agree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

No opinion / no experience

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

No opinion / no experience

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

Strongly disagree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

Strongly disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Strongly disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Strongly disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

Strongly disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Strongly disagree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

Agree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

No opinion

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Strongly disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Strongly disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

Strongly disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Strongly disagree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

Agree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

No opinion / no experience

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

No opinion / no experience

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

No opinion / no experience

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

No opinion / no experience

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

No opinion

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

No opinion

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Strongly disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

No opinion / no experience

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

No opinion / no experience

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

No opinion / no experience

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

Agree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

No opinion / no experience

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

No opinion / no experience

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

Disagree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

No opinion / no experience

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

Disagree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Disagree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

Agree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

No opinion / no experience

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

No opinion / no experience

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

No opinion / no experience

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

No opinion / no experience

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

Strongly disagree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

Strongly disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Agree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

Disagree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

Strongly agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Strongly agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Agree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

Strongly agree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

Strongly agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Strongly disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Agree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

Strongly disagree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

Strongly agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

No opinion / no experience

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

No opinion / no experience

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

No opinion / no experience

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

No opinion / no experience

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

No opinion

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

No opinion

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

No opinion / no experience

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

No opinion / no experience

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

No opinion / no experience

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

No opinion / no experience

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

Strongly disagree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Strongly disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Agree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

Disagree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Agree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

No opinion

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

No opinion / no experience

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Agree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

Disagree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Disagree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

Disagree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

Strongly disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Strongly agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

No opinion / no experience

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

No opinion / no experience

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

Disagree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

No opinion / no experience

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

No opinion / no experience

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

Disagree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Agree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

Strongly agree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

Strongly agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

No opinion / no experience

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

Strongly agree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

Strongly agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Agree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

Strongly disagree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

Strongly disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Strongly disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Strongly agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

Strongly agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Strongly agree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

Agree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

No opinion / no experience

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

No opinion / no experience

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

Disagree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Disagree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

Agree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Agree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

Agree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Strongly disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

Strongly agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Strongly agree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Agree

No opinion

No opinion / no experience

No opinion / no experience

No opinion / no experience

No opinion / no experience

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

Agree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Disagree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

No opinion

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

No opinion / no experience

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

No opinion / no experience

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

Agree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Strongly agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Agree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

Strongly disagree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

Strongly disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Strongly disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

No opinion / no experience

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

Strongly disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Strongly disagree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

Agree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

No opinion

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Agree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

Agree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

No opinion / no experience

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Agree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

No opinion

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

No opinion

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Strongly disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Strongly disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

No opinion / no experience

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

No opinion / no experience

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

Disagree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Disagree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Agree

No opinion

Strongly disagree

No opinion / no experience

No opinion / no experience

No opinion / no experience

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

Disagree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Agree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Agree

Strongly agree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

Strongly agree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

No opinion

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Agree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

Strongly disagree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Strongly agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Agree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

Disagree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

No opinion / no experience

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

No opinion / no experience

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

No opinion / no experience

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

No opinion / no experience

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

No opinion

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

No opinion

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Disagree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

Strongly disagree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

Strongly agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Strongly disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Agree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

Agree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

Strongly agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Strongly agree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

No opinion

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

No opinion

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

No opinion / no experience

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

No opinion / no experience

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

No opinion / no experience

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

No opinion / no experience

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

No opinion

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

No opinion

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

No opinion / no experience

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

No opinion / no experience

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

No opinion / no experience

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

No opinion / no experience

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

Disagree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

No opinion / no experience

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

No opinion / no experience

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

No opinion / no experience

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

No opinion / no experience

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

No opinion

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

No opinion

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

No opinion / no experience

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

No opinion / no experience

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

Strongly agree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

Strongly agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

No opinion / no experience

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Agree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

No opinion

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

Strongly agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

No opinion / no experience

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

Strongly agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Strongly agree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

Disagree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

Strongly disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

No opinion / no experience

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

No opinion / no experience

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

Disagree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

Strongly disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Agree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

Agree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Strongly disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Strongly disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

No opinion / no experience

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

No opinion / no experience

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

Strongly agree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

No opinion

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

No opinion / no experience

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

No opinion / no experience

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

Disagree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

Strongly disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Disagree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

Strongly disagree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

Strongly disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

No opinion / no experience

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

No opinion / no experience

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

No opinion / no experience

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

No opinion / no experience

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

Disagree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

No opinion / no experience

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

No opinion / no experience

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

No opinion

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

No opinion

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

No opinion / no experience

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

No opinion / no experience

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

No opinion / no experience

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

No opinion / no experience

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

Strongly disagree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

Strongly disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Agree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

No opinion

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

No opinion

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

Strongly disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Strongly disagree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

Disagree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Strongly agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Strongly agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

No opinion / no experience

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

No opinion / no experience

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

Disagree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

Strongly agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

Strongly disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Strongly disagree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

Disagree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

Strongly agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Strongly agree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

No opinion

Strongly disagree

Agree

Strongly agree

No opinion / no experience

No opinion / no experience

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

Agree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Disagree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

Agree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

No opinion

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Agree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

Agree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

No opinion / no experience

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

No opinion / no experience

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

No opinion / no experience

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

No opinion / no experience

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

Disagree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Strongly agree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

Strongly disagree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

Strongly disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Strongly disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

No opinion / no experience

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

No opinion / no experience

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

Disagree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Strongly agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Strongly agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

Strongly agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Strongly agree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

Disagree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

No opinion

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Disagree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

Agree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Agree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

Disagree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Agree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

Disagree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

Strongly disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Strongly disagree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

Disagree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

Strongly disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Agree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

No opinion

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

No opinion

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Disagree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

Disagree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Disagree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

No opinion

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

No opinion

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

No opinion / no experience

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

No opinion / no experience

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

Strongly agree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

Strongly agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

No opinion / no experience

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

No opinion / no experience

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

No opinion / no experience

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

No opinion / no experience

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

Disagree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

No opinion / no experience

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

No opinion / no experience

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

No opinion / no experience

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is useless as the authority cannot impose sanctions so the manufacturer or EU importer would not answer

Strongly disagree

2. Based on your experience what is your view on manufacturers or EU importers being contacted by a market surveillance authority of another EU Member State?: I think it is wrong as the authority is not entitled to contact a business outside its jurisdiction

Strongly disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in another EU Member State

Strongly agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings

No opinion / no experience

Agree

Very often

Strongly agree

Agree

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings

Agree

Agree

Always

Agree

Agree

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings

No opinion / no experience

No opinion / no experience

Rarely

Agree

Agree

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings
No opinion / no experience	No opinion / no experience	No opinion / no experience	No opinion	No opinion	No opinion

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings
No opinion / no experience	No opinion / no experience	No opinion / no experience	Strongly agree	Strongly agree	Strongly agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings

No opinion / no experience

No opinion / no experience

Sometimes

Agree

Agree

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings

No opinion / no experience

No opinion / no experience

Rarely

Strongly agree

Agree

Strongly agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings
Strongly agree	Strongly agree	Sometimes	Strongly agree	Strongly agree	Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings
Strongly agree	Strongly agree	Rarely	Agree	Agree	Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings

No opinion / no experience

Strongly agree

Rarely

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings
No opinion / no experience	No opinion / no experience	No opinion / no experience	Agree	Strongly agree	Strongly agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings

Rarely

Strongly agree

Agree

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings
No opinion / no experience	No opinion / no experience	No opinion / no experience	Agree	Agree	Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings

No opinion / no experience

Agree

Sometimes

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings
No opinion / no experience	No opinion / no experience	No opinion / no experience	Strongly agree	Strongly agree	Strongly agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings

Disagree

Strongly disagree

Sometimes

Agree

Agree

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings
No opinion / no experience	Agree	Sometimes	Agree	Agree	Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings
No opinion / no experience	No opinion / no experience	No opinion / no experience	Strongly agree	Strongly agree	Strongly agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings

Disagree

Agree

Always

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings

Agree

Strongly agree

Sometimes

Strongly agree

Agree

Strongly agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings
Disagree	Disagree	Very often	Agree	Agree	Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings
No opinion / no experience	Strongly agree	Sometimes	Strongly agree	Strongly agree	Strongly agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Rarely

Strongly agree

Agree

Strongly agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Rarely

Strongly agree

Agree

Strongly agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings
No opinion / no experience	No opinion / no experience	Sometimes	No opinion	No opinion	No opinion

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings
No opinion / no experience	No opinion / no experience	No opinion / no experience	No opinion	No opinion	No opinion

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings
Disagree	No opinion / no experience	Very often	Agree	Agree	Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings

Agree

No opinion / no experience

Very often

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings

No opinion / no experience

Agree

Sometimes

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings

No opinion / no experience

No opinion / no experience

Sometimes

Agree

Agree

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings

No opinion / no experience

No opinion / no experience

Rarely

Agree

Agree

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings
No opinion / no experience	No opinion / no experience	No opinion / no experience	Agree	Agree	Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings
Disagree	Disagree	Very often	Agree	Agree	Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings

No opinion / no experience

Agree

Sometimes

Agree

Agree

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings
No opinion / no experience	Strongly agree	No opinion / no experience	Agree	Agree	No opinion

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings

No opinion / no experience

No opinion / no experience

Rarely

Agree

Agree

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings

No opinion / no experience

Agree

Never

Agree

Agree

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings

No opinion / no experience

No opinion / no experience

Rarely

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings

Agree

Strongly agree

Very often

Disagree

Disagree

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings
Strongly agree	No opinion / no experience	Very often	Strongly agree	Strongly agree	Strongly agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings

Agree

Strongly agree

Sometimes

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings

Agree

Strongly agree

Sometimes

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings

Strongly agree

Agree

Rarely

Agree

Agree

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings

Disagree

Disagree

No opinion / no experience

Strongly agree

Disagree

Strongly agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings

Strongly disagree

Strongly agree

Rarely

Agree

Agree

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings

No opinion / no experience

No opinion / no experience

Rarely

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings

No opinion / no experience

Agree

Sometimes

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings

No opinion / no experience

Agree

Sometimes

Agree

Agree

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings
No opinion / no experience	No opinion / no experience	No opinion / no experience	Strongly agree	Strongly agree	Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings
No opinion / no experience	No opinion / no experience	No opinion / no experience	Agree	Agree	Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings
No opinion / no experience	Strongly agree	Sometimes	No opinion	Agree	No opinion

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings

Agree

Strongly agree

Sometimes

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings

No opinion / no experience

No opinion / no experience

Rarely

Agree

Agree

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings

No opinion / no experience

Agree

Rarely

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings

No opinion / no experience

No opinion / no experience

Rarely

Agree

Strongly agree

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings

No opinion / no experience

Disagree

No opinion / no experience

Agree

Agree

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings
No opinion / no experience	No opinion / no experience	Sometimes	No opinion	Agree	Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings

No opinion / no experience

Disagree

No opinion / no experience

Agree

Agree

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings

No opinion / no experience

Strongly disagree

Never

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings

Strongly disagree

Strongly agree

Rarely

Agree

Agree

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings
No opinion / no experience	No opinion / no experience	No opinion / no experience	Agree	Agree	Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings

No opinion / no experience

No opinion / no experience

Sometimes

Agree

Agree

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings
No opinion / no experience	No opinion / no experience	No opinion / no experience	Agree	Agree	Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings

No opinion / no experience

No opinion / no experience

Rarely

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings
No opinion / no experience	No opinion / no experience	Very often	No opinion	No opinion	No opinion

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings
No opinion / no experience	No opinion / no experience	No opinion / no experience	Strongly agree	Strongly agree	Strongly agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings

Agree

Agree

Sometimes

Disagree

Agree

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings

Agree

Strongly agree

Rarely

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings

Agree

Agree

Always

Strongly agree

Agree

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings

No opinion / no experience

No opinion / no experience

No opinion / no experience

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings

No opinion / no experience

No opinion / no experience

Rarely

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings
No opinion / no experience	No opinion / no experience	Very often	Strongly agree	Strongly agree	Strongly agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings

No opinion / no experience

Agree

Rarely

Agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings

No opinion / no experience

Strongly agree

Rarely

Agree

Agree

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings

Disagree

Agree

Rarely

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings

No opinion / no experience

Agree

No opinion / no experience

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings

No opinion / no experience

No opinion / no experience

No opinion / no experience

Agree

Agree

Strongly agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings
No opinion / no experience	Strongly agree	No opinion / no experience	Agree	Agree	Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings
No opinion / no experience	No opinion / no experience	No opinion / no experience	Strongly agree	Strongly agree	Strongly agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings

Agree

Agree

Always

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings
No opinion / no experience	Strongly agree	Sometimes	Strongly agree	Strongly agree	Strongly agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings
No opinion / no experience	Disagree	No opinion / no experience	Strongly agree	Strongly agree	Strongly agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings
No opinion / no experience	No opinion / no experience	No opinion / no experience	Disagree	Disagree	Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings

Agree

Agree

Rarely

Agree

Agree

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings
Strongly agree	Strongly agree	Sometimes	Agree	Agree	Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings

No opinion / no experience

No opinion / no experience

Agree

Agree

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings

Disagree

No opinion / no experience

Never

Agree

Agree

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings

No opinion / no experience

No opinion / no experience

Rarely

Agree

Agree

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings

No opinion / no experience

Agree

Never

Strongly agree

Agree

Strongly agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings

Strongly disagree

No opinion / no experience

Rarely

Strongly agree

Agree

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings

No opinion / no experience

No opinion / no experience

Sometimes

Agree

Agree

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings
Agree	Agree	Sometimes	Agree	Agree	Strongly agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings

No opinion / no experience

No opinion / no experience

Sometimes

Agree

Agree

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings
No opinion / no experience	No opinion / no experience	Never	Agree	Agree	Strongly agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings

No opinion / no experience

No opinion / no experience

Never

Agree

Agree

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings

Agree

Agree

Sometimes

Agree

Agree

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings

Strongly agree

Rarely

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings

Agree

Agree

Rarely

No opinion

Agree

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings

Agree

Strongly agree

Sometimes

Agree

Agree

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings

No opinion / no experience

No opinion / no experience

Agree

Agree

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings
No opinion / no experience	No opinion / no experience	No opinion / no experience	Disagree	No opinion	No opinion

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings

Strongly disagree

Disagree

Sometimes

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings

Agree

Agree

Very often

Agree

Agree

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings
No opinion / no experience	No opinion / no experience	No opinion / no experience	No opinion	No opinion	No opinion

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings
No opinion / no experience	No opinion / no experience	Sometimes	Agree	Agree	Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings

No opinion / no experience

No opinion / no experience

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings
No opinion / no experience	Strongly agree	No opinion / no experience	Agree	Agree	Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings

No opinion / no experience

Agree

No opinion / no experience

Strongly agree

Agree

No opinion

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings
Strongly agree	No opinion / no experience	No opinion / no experience	Strongly agree	Strongly agree	Strongly agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings

No opinion / no experience

Disagree

No opinion / no experience

Agree

Agree

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings

No opinion / no experience

Agree

Rarely

Agree

Agree

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings
No opinion / no experience	No opinion / no experience	No opinion / no experience	Agree	Strongly agree	Strongly agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings
No opinion / no experience	No opinion / no experience	Sometimes	Agree	Agree	Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings

No opinion / no experience

No opinion / no experience

No opinion / no experience

No opinion

Agree

No opinion

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings
No opinion / no experience	No opinion / no experience	No opinion / no experience	Strongly agree	Strongly agree	Strongly agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings

No opinion / no experience

No opinion / no experience

Rarely

Agree

No opinion

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings
No opinion / no experience	No opinion / no experience	No opinion / no experience	No opinion	No opinion	No opinion

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings

No opinion / no experience

Agree

Rarely

Agree

Agree

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings

Strongly disagree

Agree

No opinion / no experience

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings
No opinion / no experience	No opinion / no experience	Never	Disagree	Agree	Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings
Disagree	Agree	Sometimes	Agree	Agree	Strongly agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings
Agree	Agree	Very often	Agree	Strongly agree	Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings

No opinion / no experience

Agree

Always

Agree

Agree

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings

No opinion / no experience

Strongly agree

Never

Disagree

Agree

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings

Agree

No opinion / no experience

No opinion / no experience

Agree

Agree

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings
No opinion / no experience	Strongly agree	Sometimes	Agree	Agree	Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings

Agree

No opinion / no experience

No opinion / no experience

Agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings

No opinion / no experience

No opinion / no experience

Rarely

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings

Strongly agree

Agree

Rarely

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings

Disagree

Disagree

Rarely

Agree

Agree

Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings

No opinion / no experience

Agree

Always

Agree

Agree

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings

Strongly agree

Agree

No opinion / no experience

Agree

Agree

Strongly agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings
Disagree	Disagree	Rarely	Agree	Agree	Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings

No opinion / no experience

Disagree

No opinion / no experience

Strongly agree

Agree

Disagree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings
No opinion / no experience	No opinion / no experience	Rarely	Strongly agree	Strongly agree	Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings

No opinion / no experience

No opinion / no experience

Rarely

Agree

Agree

Strongly agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings
No opinion / no experience	Strongly agree	No opinion / no experience	Agree	Agree	Agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings
No opinion / no experience	No opinion / no experience	No opinion / no experience	No opinion	No opinion	No opinion

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings

Agree

Agree

No opinion / no experience

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties	3. In your experience what makes it difficult for a surveillance authority to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in another EU Member State?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market	4. National authorities in the EU Member States can currently exchange information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products via several means (Rapid Alert System, notification procedures, common databases (ICSMS), expert groups, administrative cooperation groups). In your experience or knowledge in the relevant product category(-ies) how often do national authorities restrict the marketing of a product following the exchange of information about measures adopted by another authority in the EU against the same product?	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful to ensure that restrictive measures are adopted in other jurisdictions on the same basis as that way they can be effective in a larger part of the Single Market	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because the authority using information can be more efficient and focus its inspection on the specific product requirements likely to have been infringed	5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it useful because using the evidence gathered by the foreign authority on non-compliance allows time and cost savings

No opinion / no experience

Agree

Rarely

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

Strongly disagree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

No opinion

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

Strongly agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

Agree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

Agree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

Strongly agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

Strongly agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

Strongly agree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

No opinion

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

No opinion

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

Agree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

No opinion

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

No opinion

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

No opinion

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

No opinion

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

No opinion

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

Strongly disagree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

Strongly disagree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

Disagree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

Strongly agree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

No opinion

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

Disagree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

Strongly agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

Strongly agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

Strongly agree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

Disagree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

Disagree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

Disagree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

Disagree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

Strongly disagree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

Strongly agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

Disagree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

Strongly agree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

Disagree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

Agree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

Disagree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

Strongly agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

Strongly agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

No opinion

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

Strongly agree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

Agree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

Agree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

Disagree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

Disagree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

Strongly agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

Strongly agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

Strongly agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

No opinion

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

Disagree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

Disagree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

Strongly agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

Strongly agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

Agree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

No opinion

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

No opinion

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

Disagree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

Disagree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

No opinion

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

Disagree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

Disagree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

Disagree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

Disagree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

Disagree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

Disagree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

Disagree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

Disagree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

Disagree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

Disagree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

Disagree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

Disagree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

Disagree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

Strongly agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

No opinion

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

No opinion

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

No opinion

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

Strongly disagree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

Strongly disagree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

Strongly agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

Strongly agree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

Disagree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

Disagree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

Strongly agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

Strongly agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

Strongly agree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

No opinion

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

No opinion

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

Disagree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

Agree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

Disagree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

Disagree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

Strongly agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

Strongly agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

Disagree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

Disagree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

Agree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

Disagree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

Disagree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

Agree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

No opinion

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

No opinion

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

No opinion

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

No opinion

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

No opinion

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

No opinion

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

Agree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

No opinion

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

Strongly disagree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

No opinion

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

No opinion

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

No opinion

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

Disagree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

Disagree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

Disagree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

Disagree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

Disagree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

Strongly disagree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

Disagree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

Disagree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

Agree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

Disagree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

Disagree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

Strongly agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

Strongly agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

Strongly agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

Strongly agree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

No opinion

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

No opinion

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

Agree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

No opinion

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

No opinion

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

Disagree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

No opinion

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

Disagree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

Agree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

No opinion

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

Strongly agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

Strongly agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

Agree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

No opinion

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

No opinion

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

No opinion

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

Agree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

Agree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

No opinion

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

Disagree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

Agree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

Strongly disagree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

Disagree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

No opinion

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

Strongly agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

Strongly agree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

Agree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

No opinion

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

Disagree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

Agree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

No opinion

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

No opinion

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

No opinion

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

Agree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

Disagree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

Disagree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

Agree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

Strongly disagree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

Strongly disagree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

Strongly agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

Strongly agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

Strongly agree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

Agree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

Agree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

Disagree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

Disagree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

Strongly agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

Strongly agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

Strongly agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

Strongly agree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

Disagree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

Strongly disagree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

Strongly agree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

Disagree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

Strongly disagree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

Strongly agree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

Disagree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

Disagree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

Agree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

Disagree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

Disagree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

Disagree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

Strongly agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

Strongly agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

Strongly agree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

Agree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

Disagree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

Strongly disagree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

Strongly disagree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

Strongly disagree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

Disagree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

Disagree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

Strongly agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

Strongly agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

Strongly agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

Strongly agree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

Strongly disagree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

Strongly disagree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

Strongly agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

Disagree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

Agree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

Disagree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

Agree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

Strongly disagree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

Strongly disagree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

No opinion

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

No opinion

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

No opinion

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

No opinion

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

Disagree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

No opinion

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

No opinion

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

Agree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

No opinion

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

Disagree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

Agree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

Disagree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

Strongly disagree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

Strongly agree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

No opinion

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

Strongly agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

Agree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

No opinion

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

No opinion

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

Strongly disagree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

Strongly agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

Strongly agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

Strongly agree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

Disagree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

Disagree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

Agree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

Disagree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

No opinion

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

Disagree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

Strongly agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

Agree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

Strongly agree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

Disagree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

Disagree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

Agree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

Disagree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

No opinion

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

Disagree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

Strongly agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

Strongly agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

Strongly agree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

Strongly disagree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

No opinion

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

Strongly agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

Agree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

Agree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

Disagree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

Strongly disagree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

Strongly disagree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

Strongly disagree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

Disagree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

No opinion

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

No opinion

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

Strongly agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

Strongly agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

Agree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

No opinion

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

No opinion

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

Strongly disagree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

No opinion

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

No opinion

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

Agree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

Disagree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

No opinion

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

Agree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

Strongly disagree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

Strongly disagree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

Strongly agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

Strongly agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

Strongly agree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

No opinion

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

No opinion

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

No opinion

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

No opinion

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

No opinion

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

No opinion

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

Strongly disagree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

Strongly agree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

Disagree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

Disagree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

Agree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

Disagree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

Disagree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

Strongly agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

Strongly agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

Strongly agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

Strongly agree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

Disagree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

Strongly disagree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

Strongly agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

Strongly agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

Agree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

No opinion

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

No opinion

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

Strongly agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

Strongly agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

Strongly agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

Strongly agree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

Strongly disagree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

Strongly disagree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

Strongly agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

Agree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

Strongly disagree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

Disagree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

Strongly agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

Strongly agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

Strongly agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

Strongly agree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

Disagree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

Disagree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

No opinion

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

Strongly disagree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

No opinion

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

Disagree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

Agree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

Agree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

No opinion

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

Agree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

Disagree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

Disagree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

Strongly agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

Disagree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

Disagree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

No opinion

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

Agree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

Disagree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

No opinion

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

Disagree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

Strongly agree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

Agree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

Strongly agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

Strongly agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

Strongly agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

Strongly agree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

Disagree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

Agree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

Strongly disagree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

Strongly disagree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

Strongly agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

Strongly agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

Strongly disagree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

Strongly agree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

Disagree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

Disagree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

Agree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

Agree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

Disagree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

Disagree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

Disagree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

Disagree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

Disagree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

Disagree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

Agree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

No opinion

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

No opinion

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

Strongly agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

Strongly agree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

Disagree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

No opinion

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

Agree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

Agree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

Disagree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

No opinion

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

No opinion

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

Disagree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

No opinion

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

Disagree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

Strongly agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

Strongly agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

Strongly agree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

Disagree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

No opinion

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

Strongly agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

Strongly agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

Strongly agree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

Disagree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

No opinion

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

Disagree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

Disagree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

Agree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

No opinion

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

Disagree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

Disagree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

No opinion

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

No opinion

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

No opinion

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

Disagree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

Strongly agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

Strongly agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

Strongly agree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

Disagree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

No opinion

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

Strongly agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

Strongly agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

Agree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

Disagree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

Strongly agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

Agree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

Disagree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

Disagree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

Strongly agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

Strongly agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

Strongly agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

Strongly agree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

Agree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

Agree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

Disagree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

Disagree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

Disagree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

Disagree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

Disagree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

Disagree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

Disagree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

Agree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

Disagree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

No opinion

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

Agree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

Agree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

Strongly agree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

Disagree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

Strongly agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

Strongly agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

Strongly agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

Strongly agree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

Disagree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

Disagree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

Agree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

No opinion

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

No opinion

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

No opinion

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

No opinion

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

No opinion

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

No opinion

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

Disagree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

Disagree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

Agree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

Agree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

No opinion

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

No opinion

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

Strongly agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

Strongly agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

Strongly agree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

No opinion

Agree

Agree

Disagree

Strongly agree

Agree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

Disagree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

No opinion

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

Strongly agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

Strongly agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

Strongly agree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

Disagree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

No opinion

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

Disagree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

Strongly agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

Agree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

Disagree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

Disagree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

No opinion

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

No opinion

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

Agree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

Disagree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

No opinion

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

Strongly disagree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

Strongly agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

Strongly agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

No opinion

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

No opinion

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

Disagree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

No opinion

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

Disagree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

Agree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

No opinion

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

No opinion

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

No opinion

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

Strongly agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

Agree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

Strongly disagree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

Strongly disagree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

Strongly agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

Strongly agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

Strongly agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

Strongly agree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

No opinion

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

Strongly agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

Strongly agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

Agree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

No opinion

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

No opinion

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

No opinion

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

No opinion

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

No opinion

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

No opinion

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

Disagree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

Strongly agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

Strongly agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

No opinion

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

Agree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

Agree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

Agree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

Strongly disagree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

Disagree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

Strongly agree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

Disagree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

Strongly agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

Disagree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

Disagree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

No opinion

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

Disagree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

Disagree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

Disagree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

Disagree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

No opinion

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

No opinion

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

Agree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

Agree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

No opinion

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

Disagree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

Agree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

No opinion

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

Disagree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

Disagree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

Disagree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

Strongly disagree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

Strongly disagree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

Strongly agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

Strongly agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

Strongly agree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

Disagree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

Strongly agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

Agree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

No opinion

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

No opinion

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

No opinion

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

Strongly agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

Strongly agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

Strongly agree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

Agree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

Disagree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

Disagree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

Disagree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

Disagree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

Agree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

Disagree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

Agree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

No opinion

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

Disagree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

Disagree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

Disagree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

Strongly agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

Agree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

Disagree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

Disagree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

Disagree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

Agree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

No opinion

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

No opinion

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

Disagree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

Strongly agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

Strongly agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

Strongly agree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

No opinion

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

No opinion

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

No opinion

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

No opinion

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

No opinion

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

No opinion

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

Disagree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

Disagree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

Agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

Strongly agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

Strongly agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

Strongly agree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it wrong as the decision of the foreign authority may be based on an incorrect assessment

Disagree

5. What is your view about the possibility that a national authority uses information on measures adopted to restrict the marketing of non-compliant products by another EU authority to adopt restrictive measures against the same products supplied within its own jurisdiction? : I find it unfeasible as many authorities are unlikely to have the resources to follow up on decisions by foreign authorities

Disagree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: More explicit obligations on economic operators to answer requests from authorities located in other EU Member States

Strongly agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Specific procedures for mutual assistance among authorities of EU Member States

Strongly agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Stricter obligations for EU authorities to respond to requests for mutual assistance by other EU authorities

Strongly agree

6. Would the following mechanisms make it easier to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?: Possibility for EU authorities to ask other EU authorities for mutual assistance to sanction businesses located abroad that do not respond to their requests

Strongly agree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?	8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities	8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities	8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)	8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States	8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

No, but there is a risk that member states with no suficiente Resources must decline to help.

Disagree

Disagree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

Sarebbe indispensabile che i contatti vengano sempre attuati attraverso l'autorità di sorveglianza del paese dove risiede il fabbricante o l'importatore

Agree

Agree

Agree

Disagree

Disagree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?	8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities	8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities	8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)	8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States	8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

the best way possible is through the assistance of the competent authority in the specific member state. However, this highly dependant on the staffing of this authority.

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Disagree

Disagree

Disagree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities

No opinion

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities

No opinion

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)

No opinion

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States

No opinion

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

No opinion

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

If MSA has a big data base of batch production compliance, then any complain or accident from consumer / stake holder will be surveyed under the cooperation of notified body and grasp the solid evidence from original factory. based on these solid factory, any manufacturers and EU importers cold not ignore the requires from MSA. maybe they will more active to contact MSA. MSA's surveillance should be an

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?	8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities	8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities	8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)	8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States	8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

EU-wide cooperative enforcement and assistance would improve the quality of enforcement. Training and resources are necessary since the quality of enforcement varies widely between EU Member States. A suitably rigorous enforcement qualification might be useful to improve the current state of affairs.

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Disagree

Disagree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

Disagree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

Ein wichtiges Thema ist die Sprache. Als deutsche Behörde müssen Schreiben in deutscher Sprache formuliert werden. Andererseits kann ich nicht davon ausgehen, dass ein Wirtschaftsakteur in einem anderen Mitgliedstaat in der Lage ist, ein solches Schreiben zu verstehen oder zu übersetzen. Neben den fehlenden Sanktionsmöglichkeiten über Grenzen hinweg ist das

Agree

Disagree

Agree

Strongly agree

Agree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

Strongly disagree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?	8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities	8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities	8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)	8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States	8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

A major issue is also the identification and location of internet sellers. It is often extremely difficult to find appropriate contact information, and when it is available it is often outside the jurisdiction of the national MSA.

Mutual assistance between member states is often hampered by varying priorities and experience, particularly on particular

Agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

Marktoezicht centraal regelen, en gezamenlijk de controle en sanctie's opleggen, ook voor in gebruik zijnde apparaten.

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Agree

Agree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?	8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities	8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities	8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)	8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States	8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

Through more cooperation and coordination of activities at EU level, for example through the new Forum on Exchange of Information as proposed by the new Type Approval Framework Regulation proposal for motor vehicles and their parts.

Agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Agree

No opinion

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities

Strongly agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities

Strongly agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)

Strongly agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States

Strongly agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

Strongly agree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?	8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities	8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities	8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)	8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States	8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

Procedurerne i dokument 2015-IMP-MSG-02 Cross border cooperation beskriver fint hvordan kontakten bør ske. Derudover indeholder den kommende horisontale ADCO guide praktisk vejledning.

Disagree

Disagree

Agree

Agree

Disagree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

Det skulle underlätta samarbetet om alla myndigheter/medlemsstater håller sina kontaktuppgifter uppdaterade.

Disagree

Disagree

Disagree

Disagree

Disagree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

Strongly disagree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?	8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities	8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities	8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)	8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States	8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

Järelevalveasutus ei pea võtma ühendust teises liikmesriigis asuva tootja või importijaga, pigem vajadusel pöörduma teise riigi järelevalveasutuse poole ja tegema koostööd. Samas kontakti võib ettevõtjaga teises riigis alati võtta (helistamine, e-mail jms), kuid kindlasti on oluliselt tulemuslikum tegeleda ettevõtjaga oma riigis. Võttes meetmeid oma riigis tegeleva ettevõtja suhtes, on sellega

Agree

Disagree

Agree

No opinion

Agree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities

Strongly agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities

Strongly agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

Agree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

Ne

Strongly agree

Agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

Im Einzelfall sind Ergebnisse anderer Behörden, speziell die Risikobewertung, zu überprüfen.

Agree

No opinion

Agree

Agree

Agree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities

Strongly disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

Disagree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?	8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities	8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities	8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)	8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States	8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

The Blue Guide mentions in its chapter 4.2.2 "Union harmonisation legislation is prescriptive as to the ends but not as to the means to achieve those ends. This means that Union harmonisation legislation foresees requirements for the traceability of products made available on the market, without stipulating how to achieve or implement these requirements". It is our understanding that a certain

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities

Strongly agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)

Strongly agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

Disagree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities

Strongly agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)

Strongly agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

Disagree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

Other EU member states are not the central problem. Solve it first for the individual member states

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

L'obligation de mentionner une adresse physique UNIQUE sur le produit ne permet pas aux autorités d'être efficace et rapide dans la recherche de contact avec l'opérateur économique. Nous suggérons d'autoriser tout autre moyen plus pertinent, comme par

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?	8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities	8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities	8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)	8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States	8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

What comes to the possible proposals concerning new obligations on economic operators to answer information requests, we would like to highlight that new regulation should not cause any new administrative obligations or costs to the economic operations. Especially, in order to ease SMEs' opportunities to do business, administrative procedures should be as simple as possible. In

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

Strongly disagree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

Strongly disagree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

Agree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities

No opinion

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

No opinion

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

Agree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities

No opinion

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

No opinion

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

Communicating in a language which is easily understandable is an important factor. If language remains a problem for the respective manufacturer/importer, the authorities from another Member State can contact their national counterpart in the country where the manufacturer/importer is located, as the intermediary.

Agree

Agree

Agree

No opinion

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities

No opinion

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

Disagree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?	8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities	8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities	8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)	8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States	8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

Kieliongelma! Pitäisi olla valmis sähköinen lomake kaikilla jäsenmaiden virallisilla kielillä. Lomake menisi tiedoksi ko. jäsenmaan viranomaiselle.

Disagree

Disagree

Agree

Disagree

No opinion

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities

No opinion

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities

Strongly agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)

Strongly agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States

Strongly agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

Agree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities

Strongly agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities

No opinion

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

Disagree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?	8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities	8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities	8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)	8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States	8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

We advocate clarifications by the EU Commission and relevant authorities on how and what e-documentation a) seller b) importer/authorised representative shall hold, or if sufficient, in what way the EU importer/authorised representative shall be possible to contact.

No opinion

Agree

Agree

Disagree

Rapex is very good to get an overview of products found non-compliant. However, it

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities

No opinion

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities

No opinion

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)

No opinion

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States

No opinion

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

No opinion

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)

Strongly agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States

Strongly agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

Strongly agree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

It is impossible not to agree with the above. BUT. it is not working and it will not work.

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

The functioning of public civil services is not under the authority of European Union.

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)

Strongly agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States

Strongly agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

Disagree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?	8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities	8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities	8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)	8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States	8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

We strongly recommend to allow manufacturers and economic operators to use a website address instead of the physical address on the product or on the documentation when this web page allows to quickly find the physical address in an EU country. We also recommend allowing more than one single contact address. Main objective is to allow a quick contact between authorities and operators in case of

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?	8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities	8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities	8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)	8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States	8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

We strongly recommend to allow manufacturers and economic operators to use a website address instead of the physical address on the product or on the documentation when this web page allows to quickly find the physical address in an EU country. We also recommend allowing more than one single contact address. Main objective is to allow a quick contact between authorities and operators in case of

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)

Strongly agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States

Strongly agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

Strongly disagree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?	8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities	8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities	8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)	8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States	8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

More “explicit obligations” on economic operators are not necessary (see Q6). It does not matter which EU country’s authority contacts the manufacturer, diligent economic operators will usually answer Market Surveillance Authorities’ questions. “Mutual assistance” among authorities, namely a framework for mutual assistance is needed to avoid case-by-case decisions (and	Agree	Strongly agree	Strongly agree	Agree	No opinion

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

Strongly disagree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

The mechanism proposed relies on cooperation between national administrations. Despite the general obligation to cooperate among EU Member States, there is a low incentive for a national authority to act decisively against a non-compliant national company, for the following reasons:
•sanction will certainly cause immediate damage to the company, maybe lead to visible job losses, which can

Disagree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Disagree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

Disagree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

Disagree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

We see no need in extending what is not regulated via the NFL Agree

No opinion

Agree

Agree

Agree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities

No opinion

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)

Strongly agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States

No opinion

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

No opinion

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?	8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities	8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities	8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)	8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States	8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

It is useful to outline the procedures and obligation for cross border cooperation between MS authorities to enhance cooperation and hence effectiveness and efficiency. We would like to refer to title IV on Administrative assistance and cooperation (AAC) in the recently agreed compromise text on the review of the official controls for food and feed regulation. A similar approach is taken for EU

Strongly agree

No opinion

Disagree

No opinion

Disagree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?	8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities	8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities	8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)	8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States	8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

We strongly recommend to allow manufacturers and economic operators to use a website address instead of the the physical address on the product or on the documentation when this web page allows to quickly find the physical address in an EU country. We also recommend allowing more than one single contact address. Main objective is to allow a quick contact between authorities and operators in case of

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

It would be good to see authorities in their own member state doing something first, then co-operating with others as required. At present there is little market surveillance, so suppliers don't bother complying because they are unlikely to be found out.

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?	8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities	8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities	8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)	8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States	8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

Cooperation between authorities from different member states (e.g. the one where the economic operator to be contacted is located) will facilitate
The possibility for authorities to communicate in a language that both parties understand and not only in the home language of the authority.

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Agree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)

Strongly agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States

Strongly agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

Strongly disagree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

We suggest having a single contact point in the Member States for the Market Surveillance Authority.

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Disagree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

Strongly disagree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?	8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities	8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities	8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)	8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States	8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

More “explicit obligations” on economic operators are not necessary, as it does not make a difference which EU country’s authority contacts the manufacturer; a diligent economic operator will usually answer Market Surveillance Authorities’ questions. “Mutual assistance” among authorities, namely a framework for mutual assistance is needed to avoid case-by-case decisions (and

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Disagree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities

Strongly agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities

Strongly agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States

Strongly agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

Agree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

Die Befugnisse einer Marktüberwachungsbehörde beschränken sich auf einen klar eingegrenzten Bereich, in der Regel auf einen Mitgliedstaat oder ein Bundesland. Innerhalb dieses Bereichs können die Marktüberwachungsbehörden aktiv werden, Untersuchungen durchführen und Sanktionen verhängen. Daher muss klar unterschieden werden zwischen der

Agree

Disagree

Agree

Agree

Strongly disagree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

A single contact point for market enforcement authorities could help solve the issues.

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Disagree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?	8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities	8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities	8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)	8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States	8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

For toys, there is already an explicit obligation for economic operators in the Toy Safety Directive to provide info to authorities upon a reasoned request

Strongly agree

Agree

Agree

Disagree

Strongly disagree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

Disagree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)

Strongly agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States

Strongly agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

Strongly agree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities

No opinion

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)

No opinion

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

Disagree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities

Strongly agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities

Strongly agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)

Strongly agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States

Strongly agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

Disagree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities

Strongly agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

Disagree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities

Strongly agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities

Strongly agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)

Strongly agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States

Strongly agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

Strongly agree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

Disagree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities

Strongly agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities

Strongly agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)

Strongly agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States

No opinion

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

Disagree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

A single RAPID like system for all sectors, used in all member states that is really used, would most certainly help!

Agree

Agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Agree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

Strengthen the obligation that the bar code labelling information gets you to the correct contact person, e.g. if private consumers send in a request according to REACH Art. 33/2
http://www.bund.net/themen_und_projekte/chemie/stell_die_giffrage/anfrage_generator/

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Agree

Agree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

Disagree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities

No opinion

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)

Strongly agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States

Strongly agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

Strongly agree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

Disagree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

Requests should be handled in a tripartite commission (Requesting authority, requested company, authority of the EU member in which the requested company is located)

Strongly agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Strongly disagree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

I always thought that they could...

Agree

No opinion

Agree

Agree

Disagree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?	8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities	8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities	8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)	8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States	8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

Die EU stellt die Marktzugangsregeln auf und die EU muss diese auch besser kontrollieren. Marktüberwachungsbehörden müssen sich untereinander abstimmen und nach Sitz des Hersteller gezielt Anfragen an die nationalen Behörden weiter leiten. Portugisische Gesetze und die portugisische Aufsichtsbehörden haben keine rechtsbefugnisse für englische Hersteller. Eine

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities

Strongly agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

Disagree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

Disagree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities

Strongly disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities

Strongly disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)

Strongly agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States

Strongly agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

Disagree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

Disagree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

In der Schweiz ist nach wie vor der Importeur mit Sitz in der Schweiz für die Produkte Verantwortlich. Er verhandelt mit den Herstellern im Ausland und ist gegenüber der zuständigen Schweizer Behörde in der Pflicht.

Disagree

Disagree

No opinion

No opinion

Disagree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

Agree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

eine Möglichkeit wäre die Sanktionen über die EU Kommission zentral zu steuern

No opinion

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States

No opinion

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

No opinion

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?	8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities	8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities	8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)	8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States	8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

We are for a better EU organisation and efficiency for all MSA activity. At the same time there are several concerns on how to handle it and ensure correct judgement for possible non-compliant products. If one MSA defines a product to be non compliance and another MSA disagree or the manufacturer provides materials that shows compliance. Maybe a MSA council that judge if a issue is

Agree

Agree

No opinion

Agree

Agree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?	8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities	8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities	8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)	8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States	8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

In a first step, MS authorities should concentrate on efficiency within their country. EU should help improving this efficiency.
Use electronic means which are state of the art : internet adress, email contacts, ..

Disagree

Strongly agree

Agree

No opinion

No opinion

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities

Strongly agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States

Strongly agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

Agree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

No opinion

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

Disagree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)

Strongly agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

Disagree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

Use a process that is analogous to the UK's Home Authority Principle but avoid going the route of a "Principle Authority".

Strongly agree

Agree

Agree

Disagree

Strongly disagree

Whatever the case a lot of training will be needed for the enforcement officers in certain Member States

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

Agree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States

Strongly disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

Strongly disagree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

Surveillance authorities to be obliged to respond to calls for collaboration by another EU country.

Agree

Strongly agree

Agree

Agree

Disagree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

Agree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)

Strongly agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

Disagree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

Disagree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities

Strongly agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

Disagree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

Disagree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities

Strongly agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)

Strongly disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States

Strongly agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

Strongly agree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

Disagree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities

Strongly agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities

Strongly agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)

No opinion

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States

No opinion

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

No opinion

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities

No opinion

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

Agree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States

No opinion

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

No opinion

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

Deadline for the answer. If no Agree answer is received a penalty / fine shall be raised if their is a justified suspicion.

Agree

Agree

Agree

No opinion

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)

No opinion

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

No opinion

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities

Strongly agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities

Strongly agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)

Strongly agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States

Strongly agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

Strongly agree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

Agree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities

Strongly disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities

No opinion

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States

No opinion

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

Disagree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

In our view the already established platforms RAPEX and ICSMS give already now the possibility to exchange information and thus enable MSAs of one member state to use information another member state provides for. However, the information used should always be checked for plausibility, but this is also already required in the NLF directives.

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Strongly disagree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

debe haber un filtro nacional para evitar abusos o defensas de intereses nacionales de otro Estado miembro

Agree

Agree

No opinion

No opinion

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

Hinweis: Wenn Maßnahmen gegen das Inverkehrbringen in einem Mitgliedstaat im Raum stehen, sind Wirtschaftsakteure i.d.R. schnell mit der Beantwortung von Ersuchen. Das setzt aber voraus, dass die zuständige Behörde eines Mitgliedstaates auch bereit ist, Maßnahmen im eigenen Mitgliedstaat zu veranlassen und nicht auf die zuständige Behörde des Mitgliedstaates verweist oder hofft, in dem

Strongly agree

Agree

Disagree

Disagree

Strongly disagree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities

Strongly agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities

Strongly agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)

Strongly agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States

Strongly agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

Disagree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities

Strongly disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States

No opinion

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

Disagree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities

No opinion

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)

No opinion

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States

No opinion

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

No opinion

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States

Strongly agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

Agree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)

Strongly disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

Strongly disagree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)

Strongly agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

Agree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)

Strongly agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

Agree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?	8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities	8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities	8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)	8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States	8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

In Germany the Federal Office of Consumer Protection and Food Safety (BVL) fulfills many tasks in the area of consumer near products (cosmetics, toys, clothes etc.) , e. g. as contact point for mutual assistance for other EU authorities.

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

Agree

No opinion

No opinion

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

Disagree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

No opinion

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities

Strongly agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities

No opinion

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

Disagree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities

Strongly agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

Disagree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

Agree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)

Strongly agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

Strongly agree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities

Strongly agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)

No opinion

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

No opinion

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

Disagree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)

No opinion

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

Agree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

Disagree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?	8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities	8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities	8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)	8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States	8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

Den metod för samarbete som har utarbetats bör användas Den bör fungera. Alla myndigheters anställda har tillräckligt med arbetsuppgifter utifrån egen planering.. Detta medför att en begäran om bistånd kan anlända vid en för organisationen olämplig tidpunkt.

Strongly disagree

No opinion

Agree

Agree

Strongly disagree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

Sähköinen järjestelmä, joka sisältää tuotteen tunnisteen ja valmistajan yhteystiedot erityisesti viranomaisten yhteydenottoja varten.

Strongly agree

No opinion

Agree

Agree

Disagree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

The proposed mechanisms would make it easier but also more bureaucratic - a not desired effect.

Agree

Disagree

Agree

Agree

Disagree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

Improved communication between national authorities in order to facilitate a single point of contact to the manufacturer or importer.

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Strongly disagree

Deadlines for answers - if no answers, consider that that is cause for suspicion.

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities

Strongly agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)

Strongly agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

Agree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to make it easier for authorities to contact manufacturers or EU importers located in another EU Member State?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : More exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance and corrective action so as to prevent diverging conclusions among authorities

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Stricter rules on follow up to restrictive measures adopted by other EU authorities

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Legal principles to ensure easy replication of measures taken by authorities in other EU Member States (e.g. portability of test results, presumption that products found to be non-compliant in Member State A are also non-compliant in Member State B)

Strongly agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Procedure for the recognition of national decisions in other EU Member States

Strongly agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

Strongly agree

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

Strongly agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

Strongly agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

Agree

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Other

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

Agree

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Special expertise of authority

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

No opinion

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

No opinion

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

No opinion

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

No opinion

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

No opinion

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

Strongly agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

Strongly agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

Strongly agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

Strongly agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

Strongly agree

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Special expertise of authority

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

Agree

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

Agree

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

Strongly disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

Strongly disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

Strongly disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

Agree

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

No opinion

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

Agree

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

Strongly agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

Agree

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

First authority opening a case

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

Strongly agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

Strongly agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

Strongly agree

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Special expertise of authority

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

No opinion

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

Strongly agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

Strongly agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

Strongly agree

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

Strongly agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

Strongly agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

Strongly agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

Agree

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Authority of same Member State as the manufacturer or EU importer

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

Agree

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

Disagree

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

Agree

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

First authority opening a case

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

No opinion

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

No opinion

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

No opinion

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

Disagree

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

First authority opening a case

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

Strongly agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

Strongly agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

Strongly agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

Strongly agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

Strongly agree

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Authority of same Member State as the manufacturer or EU importer

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

Strongly disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

Strongly disagree

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

Strongly disagree

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

Strongly disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

Agree

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

First authority opening a case

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

Disagree

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

Disagree

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

No opinion

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

No opinion

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

No opinion

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

No opinion

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

No opinion

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

No opinion

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

No opinion

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

Strongly disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

Strongly disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

No opinion

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

Strongly disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

Disagree

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

Disagree

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

First authority opening a case

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

No opinion

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

No opinion

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

No opinion

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Authority of same Member State as the manufacturer or EU importer

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

Agree

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

First authority opening a case

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

No opinion

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

No opinion

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

No opinion

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

No opinion

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

Strongly agree

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Special expertise of authority

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

Strongly disagree

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

No opinion

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

Strongly disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

Agree

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

No opinion

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

No opinion

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

No opinion

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

Strongly agree

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

No opinion

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

Strongly agree

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Special expertise of authority

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

Strongly agree

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

No opinion

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

No opinion

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

No opinion

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

No opinion

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

No opinion

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

Strongly agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

Strongly agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

Strongly agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

Strongly agree

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Authority of same Member State as the manufacturer or EU importer

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

No opinion

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

No opinion

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

No opinion

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

No opinion

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

No opinion

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

Strongly disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

Strongly disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

Strongly disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

Strongly disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

Strongly agree

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

Strongly disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

Agree

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Other

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

Strongly disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

Agree

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Other

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

Strongly agree

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Special expertise of authority

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

Agree

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Special expertise of authority

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

Strongly disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

Strongly agree

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Other

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

Strongly agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

Agree

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Authority of market most affected by non-compliance

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

Strongly disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

Strongly disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

Disagree

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

Agree

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Special expertise of authority

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

Strongly agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

No opinion

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

No opinion

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

Agree

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

Strongly disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

No opinion

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

No opinion

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

No opinion

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

Strongly agree

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Other

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

Strongly disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

Agree

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

First authority opening a case

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

Agree

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Special expertise of authority

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

Strongly agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

Strongly agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

Strongly agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

Strongly agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

Strongly agree

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Other

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

Strongly disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

Strongly disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

Strongly disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

Disagree

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

Agree

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Authority of same Member State as the manufacturer or EU importer

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

Strongly agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

Strongly agree

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

Agree

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Authority of same Member State as the manufacturer or EU importer

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

Strongly agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

Strongly disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

Strongly disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

Strongly agree

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

Strongly disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

Strongly agree

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Authority of same Member State as the manufacturer or EU importer

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

Agree

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Authority of same Member State as the manufacturer or EU importer

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

No opinion

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

No opinion

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

No opinion

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

Agree

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

Agree

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Special expertise of authority

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

Strongly agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

Strongly agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

Strongly agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

Strongly agree

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Authority of same Member State as the manufacturer or EU importer

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

Disagree

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

Strongly agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

Strongly disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

Strongly agree

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

First authority opening a case

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

Agree

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Authority of same Member State as the manufacturer or EU importer

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

Strongly agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

Strongly agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

Strongly agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

Strongly agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

Strongly agree

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

First authority opening a case

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

Agree

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Authority of same Member State as the manufacturer or EU importer

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

Strongly disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

Strongly disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

Strongly disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

Strongly agree

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

Strongly agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

Strongly agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

Strongly agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

Strongly agree

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Authority of same Member State as the manufacturer or EU importer

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

Strongly agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

Strongly agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

Agree

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Authority of same Member State as the manufacturer or EU importer

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

No opinion

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

No opinion

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

Strongly disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

Disagree

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

Strongly agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

Strongly agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

Agree

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

First authority opening a case

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

No opinion

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

No opinion

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

No opinion

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

Strongly disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

Strongly disagree

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

Strongly agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

Strongly agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

Strongly disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

Strongly agree

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Authority of market most affected by non-compliance

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

No opinion

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

No opinion

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

Agree

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

No opinion

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

No opinion

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

No opinion

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

Agree

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

Strongly disagree

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

Disagree

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

Disagree

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

Agree

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

First authority opening a case

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

No opinion

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

No opinion

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

No opinion

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

No opinion

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

No opinion

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

Agree

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Authority of same Member State as the manufacturer or EU importer

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

Disagree

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Authority of market most affected by non-compliance

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

No opinion

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

Agree

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

No opinion

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

No opinion

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

Agree

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Special expertise of authority

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

Strongly disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

Strongly agree

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

Strongly agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

Strongly agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

Strongly agree

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Authority of same Member State as the manufacturer or EU importer

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

Strongly agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

Strongly agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

No opinion

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

Agree

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Authority of same Member State as the manufacturer or EU importer

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

Strongly disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

Strongly disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

Strongly disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

Agree

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

No opinion

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Authority of same Member State as the manufacturer or EU importer

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

Strongly disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

Strongly disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

Agree

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

Strongly agree

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Special expertise of authority

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

Strongly disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

Strongly disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

Strongly disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

Agree

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

Strongly agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

Strongly agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

Strongly agree

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Special expertise of authority

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

Strongly agree

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Special expertise of authority

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

Strongly disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

Strongly disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

Strongly disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

Strongly disagree

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

Agree

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Special expertise of authority

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

Strongly agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

Strongly agree

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Other

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

No opinion

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

No opinion

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

Agree

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

Strongly agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

Strongly agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

Strongly disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

Strongly agree

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Authority of market most affected by non-compliance

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

Agree

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

No opinion

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

No opinion

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

No opinion

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

No opinion

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

No opinion

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

No opinion

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

No opinion

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

No opinion

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

Agree

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

No opinion

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

No opinion

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

No opinion

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

No opinion

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

No opinion

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

Strongly agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

Strongly agree

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Special expertise of authority

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

Strongly agree

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Special expertise of authority

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

Strongly agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

Strongly agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

Strongly agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

Strongly agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

Strongly agree

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

First authority opening a case

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

No opinion

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

Agree

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Authority of same Member State as the manufacturer or EU importer

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

Agree

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

Strongly agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

Strongly agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

Strongly disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

Strongly agree

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Authority of same Member State as the manufacturer or EU importer

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

No opinion

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

Strongly agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

Strongly agree

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Special expertise of authority

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

Strongly disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

Agree

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Authority of same Member State as the manufacturer or EU importer

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

Strongly agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

Strongly agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

Strongly agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

Strongly agree

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Special expertise of authority

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

Strongly disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

Strongly disagree

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

No opinion

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

No opinion

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

No opinion

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

No opinion

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

No opinion

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

No opinion

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

No opinion

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

No opinion

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

No opinion

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

No opinion

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Agree

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

Disagree

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

Strongly agree

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

First authority opening a case

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

Disagree

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Authority of same Member State as the manufacturer or EU importer

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

Strongly disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

Strongly disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

Strongly disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

Strongly disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

No opinion

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

Strongly agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

Strongly agree

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Authority of same Member State as the manufacturer or EU importer

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

No opinion

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

No opinion

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

Agree

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

Strongly agree

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Authority of market most affected by non-compliance

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

Agree

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Authority of same Member State as the manufacturer or EU importer

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

Strongly agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

Strongly agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

Strongly agree

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Authority of same Member State as the manufacturer or EU importer

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

Strongly agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

Strongly agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

Strongly agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

Strongly agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

Strongly agree

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

First authority opening a case

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

No opinion

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Authority of same Member State as the manufacturer or EU importer

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

Agree

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Authority of same Member State as the manufacturer or EU importer

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

No opinion

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

No opinion

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Authority of same Member State as the manufacturer or EU importer

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

Strongly agree

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Special expertise of authority

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

Strongly disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

Strongly disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

No opinion

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

Strongly agree

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Agree

Disagree

Disagree

Strongly disagree

Strongly agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

Strongly agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

Strongly agree

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Other

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

Disagree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

Strongly agree

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

First authority opening a case

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products to be taken by authorities of various EU Member States in close coordination (e.g. via a Product Compliance Forum established at EU level) and being applicable simultaneously in all relevant jurisdictions

Strongly agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Same as above plus appointment of a lead authority to facilitate coordination of national decisions

Strongly agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Lead authority with powers to adopt decisions against non-compliant products applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities)

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Decisions against non-compliant products supplied in various EU Member States taken by the Commission

Agree

8. Do you agree that the following mechanisms would increase the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market? : Powers to the Commission to check the functioning of market surveillance in Member States

Strongly agree

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority coordinating decisions to be taken simultaneously by authorities in different Member States, which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

First authority opening a case

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

Unable to estimate

I do not know

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

L'auorità guida deve essere direttamente indicata dalla Commissione Europea ed accettata da tutti gli altri Stati Membri

Other

L'auorità guida deve essere istituita dalla Commissione Europea

21 to 30%

Yes, some of them

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

6 to 10%

Yes, most of them

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

21 to 30%

Yes, some of them

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

Special expertise of authority

Unable to estimate

Yes, most of them

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

These suggested mechanisms rely on the proper training and qualification of those involved. For that reason, until there is equity in the qualification and/or training of enforcement officers, they may well create uncertainties in the market and unreasonable burdens on economic operators.

More than 50%

Yes, a few of them

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

More than 50%

Yes, some of them

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

Klare Erkennungsmerkmale von Marktüberwachungsbehörden (ähnlich wie Nando bei KBS). Sanktionsmöglichkeiten (Geldbußen und Zwangsgelder) grenzüberschreitend. Zollmitteilungen über gefährliche Produkte nicht nur auf nationaler Ebene. Kontaktstelle zur Erleichterung der Kommunikation mit chinesischen

11 to 20%

Yes, some of them

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

31 to 40%

Yes, some of them

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

One of the most common barriers to enforcement measures is the time and cost of pursuing legal sanctions against a suspected non-compliant product/dealer/supplier. The time and cost is extremely prohibitive and MSAs must be absolutely certain to pursue a case - which is not often the case. These costs are on top of the already very expensive procurement and testing costs.

Unable to estimate

Yes, some of them

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

Special expertise of authority

Eventueel op verzoek van de fabrikant / distributeur de autoriteit met de meeste expertise laten samenwerken met de autoriteit van het land waar de fabrikant / distributeur gevestigd is.

More than 50%

Yes, some of them

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

First authority opening a case

Unable to estimate

Yes, some of them

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

First authority opening a case

Unable to estimate

Yes, some of them

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

Arbejdstilsynet finder, at principperne i afgørelse 768/2008/EF og dokument 2015-IMP-MSG-02, 'Cross border cooperation' ('Initiating authority principle'), er de rigtige til at sikre en effektiv markedsovervågning. Arbejdstilsynet finder, at den medlemsstat som har fundet et mangelfuldt produkt på sit marked, selv skal være ansvarlig for at gennemføre en sagsbehandling overfor fabrikanten eller importøren

Unable to estimate

Yes, some of them

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

Våra svar baseras på erfarenheter av byggproduktförordningen (EU) nr 305/2011, där tekniska specifikationer för bedömning av produkters egenskaper är harmoniserade medan kraven på produkterna ställs på nationell nivå.

Unable to estimate

Yes, some of them

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

21 to 30%

Yes, some of them

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

Ühelt poolt ei ole mõeldav kõikides erinevates liikmesriikides erinevate nõuetele mittevastavate toodete puhul rakendatud meetmete kohaldamine ka kõikides teistes liikmesriikides (nii inimressursi kui rahalise ressursi mahukas). Samas on ühenduses loodud erinevaid vahendeid (kiirhoiatussüsteem, ICSMS, ekspertrühmad, halduskoostöö), et anda järelevalveasutustele teavet

More than 50%

Yes, some of them

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

Special expertise of authority

Unable to estimate

Yes, some of them

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

Authority of same Member State as the manufacturer or EU importer

Ne

21 to 30%

Yes, a few of them

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

Zu 8, insbesondere 2. Möglichkeit
Es bedarf einer besseren Koordinierung. Es werden nicht zwingend strengere Regeln benötigt, sondern einen konsequentere Anwendung der bestehenden Regeln. Es wäre zu bedenken, ob es Mechanismen für die Überprüfung der Einhaltung der Regeln geben muss, z. B. für Meldeverpflichtungen, Antwort- und

More than 50%

Yes, some of them

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

Unable to estimate

Yes, a few of them

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

First authority opening a case

Our association strongly recommends replacing the requirement of the physical address to be put on the product or on the documentation by a web address.
A stronger public-private partnership between market surveillance authorities and industries could improve the effectiveness of market surveillance actions. The creation of a non-profit private entity of collective

11 to 20%

Yes, some of them

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

Authority of same Member State as the manufacturer or EU importer

More than 50%

Yes, most of them

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

Authority of same Member State as the manufacturer or EU importer

More than 50%

Yes, most of them

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

Unable to estimate

Yes, a few of them

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

La collaboration entre le public et le privée pour une surveillance efficace du marché est nécessaire et obligatoire au vue de l'évolution du contexte mondial.
Cela passe par l'obligation de reconnaitre à court terme les données venant du privé pour aider le public dans ses missions.
L'expérience de l'ASEC en France depuis sa création en 2008 peut contribuer à la

0 to 5%

Yes, some of them

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

As the Commission has stated, there are indications that the level of knowledge among SMEs and especially micro businesses about harmonised rules applicable to industrial products is not always very high. As it is stated on the background document, the first step for regulatory compliance is that businesses have to be aware and understand their obligations under applicable legislation. We share the

Unable to estimate

I do not know

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

More than 50%

Yes, some of them

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

First authority opening a case

Good and effective information routines. If too much registration and i.e. mandatory risk assessment, then the non-compliances in many cases will not be reported to other EU member states..

Unable to estimate

Yes, some of them

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

Unable to estimate

Yes, some of them

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

First authority opening a case

Unable to estimate

Yes, a few of them

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

Unable to estimate

Yes, a few of them

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

The MSAs could also use information from available voluntary certification schemes or from industry and trade organisations to identify that would be non-compliant within a given industry.

Unable to estimate

Yes, some of them

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

Special expertise of authority

Member States should be active in the legislative process but at the same time also have to understand the regulatory requirements and enforce them properly.

More than 50%

Yes, some of them

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

Unable to estimate

Yes, a few of them

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

Ennen kuin kehitetään uusia menettelyjä, tulisi nyt keskittyä muutama asiaan, joka saatetaan kuntoon kaikissa jäsenmaissa. Nämä voisivat olla ainakin ICSMS-järjestelmän käyttö, osallistuminen AdCo-työhön ja osallistuminen yhteisprojekteihin.

More than 50%

Yes, some of them

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

Unable to estimate

Yes, a few of them

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

Effectiveness and co-ordination are very good measures, but should not come at a disproportionate cost and burden for businesses, especially SMEs.

21 to 30%

Yes, some of them

We think that EU-wide measures must be limited to well-defined risk categories of products or serious non-compliance.

Member states have different

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

21 to 30%

Yes, some of them

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

More than 50%

Yes, most of them

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

Authority of same Member State as the manufacturer or EU importer

21 to 30%

Yes, some of them

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

The national legal issues that will arrive from the above will lead to an endless discussion. The most important is the harmonization regarding the inspections made at national level.

This is very important for example for Custom control. National Custom Authorities do not exercise the adequate harmonized control of products with enormous

Unable to estimate

Yes, some of them

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

Unable to estimate

Yes, some of them

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

First authority opening a case.
In order to ensure the effectiveness and the applicability of the proposal, the simplest solution should be envisaged.

Other

First authority opening a case.
In order to ensure the effectiveness and the applicability of the proposal, the simplest solution should be envisaged.

We strongly recommend to allow manufacturers and economic operators to use a website address instead of the physical address on the product or on the documentation when this web page allows to quickly find the physical address in an EU country. We also recommend allowing more than one single contact address. Main objective is to allow a quick contact between authorities and operators in case of

11 to 20%

Yes, some of them

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

First authority opening a case.
In order to ensure the effectiveness and the applicability of the proposal, the simplest solution should be envisaged.

Other

First authority opening a case.
In order to ensure the effectiveness and the applicability of the proposal, the simplest solution should be envisaged.

We strongly recommend to allow manufacturers and economic operators to use a website address instead of the physical address on the product or on the documentation when this web page allows to quickly find the physical address in an EU country. We also recommend allowing more than one single contact address. Main objective is to allow a quick contact between authorities and operators in case of

11 to 20%

Yes, some of them

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

Special expertise of authority

31 to 40%

Yes, some of them

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

Special expertise of authority

The European Commission will need to elaborate further their idea of a "a lead authority". In general, we propose to establish a legal framework which sanctions Market Surveillance Authorities that are not taking the common measures.

More than 50%

Yes, a few of them

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

Unable to estimate

Yes, some of them

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

Authority of market most affected by non-compliance

The difficulty of having Member States cooperating on market surveillance is a typical situation where each Member State attempts to free-ride the efforts made by the others, in a so-called "prisoners' dilemma" where the immediate interest of all players is to cheat, even if all end up seeing their situation deteriorated by this lack of cooperation. The path of mutual cooperation between Member States may thus be

41 to 50%

Yes, most of them

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

Marktüberwachungsmaßnahmen haben wichtige Auswirkungen auf kleine und mittlere Unternehmen. Folglich sollte die Situation der KMU gebührend berücksichtigt werden, insbesondere im Zusammenhang mit Maßnahmen, die zu zusätzlichen Verwaltungslasten führen könnten. Das Ziel sollte sein, die bisher auf verschiedene

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

0 to 5%

Yes, some of them

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

Special expertise of authority

41 to 50%

Yes, some of them

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

One centralised competent authority, similar to the CPSC model.
Regarding the statement about more exchange of information and discussion among EU national authorities prior to final assessment on product non-compliance, we agree to the principle behind it, but oppose the wording used to express it.

41 to 50%

Yes, some of them

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

Special expertise of authority

31 to 40%

Yes, some of them

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

More than 50%

Yes, some of them

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

We support setting up a European Market Surveillance authority

Unable to estimate

Yes, a few of them

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

First authority opening a case

We strongly recommend to allow manufacturers and economic operators to use a website address instead of the the physical address on the product or on the documentation when this web page allows to quickly find the physical address in an EU country. We also recommend allowing more than one single contact address. Main objective is to allow a quick contact between authorities and operators in case of

11 to 20%

Yes, some of them

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

Special expertise of authority

Publicity, if manufacturers see that authorities are actively checking CE marking documentation, then it might start making a difference.

Unable to estimate

Yes, some of them

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

different elements should be taken into account : certainly the special expertise of the authority but also important to take into account is language, this to avoid unnecessary translations of documents (translations mean not only costs but also additional time). If this is present at the authority of the Member State of the manufacturer or importer this would be ideal.

Other

same as above

The only solution to make market surveillance effective is having 1 authority for the complete single market and not 28 (+) authorities as is currently the case. So one on one instead of one on many.

Unable to estimate

Yes, some of them

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

More than 50%

Yes, most of them

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

We believe that if a national Member State Authority (NMSA) takes a decision on a product which is marketed all over Europe, then authorities in other member states ought to use this decision to make their own evaluation on their own territory, as is currently the case further to a RAPEX notification. We would support the idea of nominating a lead authority for that purpose in the very same country where the

21 to 30%

I do not know

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

The concept of a lead authority is good in principle provided that a consistent level of expertise is provided across all Member States. Confidence in the system would be undermined if a notified, lead authority was not properly resourced to take account of EU-wide implications.

Unable to estimate

Yes, some of them

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

The concept of a "leading authority" should be explored further

Unable to estimate

Yes, some of them

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

6 to 10%

Yes, some of them

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

Die Befugnisse der Marktüberwachungsbehörden müssen auch in Zukunft auf den jeweiligen Mitgliedstaat (bzw. Bundesland o.ä.) beschränkt bleiben. Grenzüberschreitende Marktüberwachungsmaßnahmen einer nationalen Behörde halten wir für kontraproduktiv und lehnen diese Idee daher ab.

Mit dem Konzept einer federführenden Behörde mit

Unable to estimate

Yes, some of them

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

DI considers the establishment of a quick assessment procedure (QAP) a means to ensure proportionality in assessments made by the MSA

Unable to estimate

Yes, some of them

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

A stronger focus on rogue traders - i.e. through white lists with trusted operators similar to the Authorised Economic Operator (AEO) scheme for customs. Include reputable industry in developing market surveillance actions. B.4.8 includes proposals for a procedure for the recognition or direct applicability of national decisions in other EU Member States. This will be especially problematic of it

More than 50%

Yes, a few of them

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

Special expertise of authority

Unable to estimate

Yes, some of them

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

Unable to estimate

Yes, some of them

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

Authority of same Member State as the manufacturer or EU importer

21 to 30%

Yes, some of them

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

6 to 10%

Yes, a few of them

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

First authority opening a case

For questions 6 and 7 there may also be a case for option 3, and this may depend on the availability of expertise and resources of the first authority that opens a case.

11 to 20%

Yes, some of them

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

6 to 10%

Yes, a few of them

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

First authority opening a case

More than 50%

Yes, most of them

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

Unable to estimate

Yes, some of them

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

31 to 40%

Yes, some of them

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

Authority of same Member State as the manufacturer or EU importer

31 to 40%

Yes, most of them

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

Authority of same Member State as the manufacturer or EU importer

It must be calculated easily what the non compliance cost would amount up to. So companies could predict what would be cheaper: either compliance or free riding?

31 to 40%

Yes, some of them

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

Unable to estimate

Yes, some of them

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

First authority opening a case

More than 50%

Yes, some of them

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

More than 50%

Yes, some of them

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

Unable to estimate

Yes, a few of them

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

Wow. You want a "consumer" to be answering these questions? Do you have any idea how little the average consumer would understand the above questions? For people "skilled in the art" these are deep questions. Centralised sharing of data will help. Common rules on "sanctions" will help. Direct application of sanctions simultaneously across the market will help.

More than 50%

Yes, a few of them

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

Unable to estimate

Yes, most of them

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

0 to 5%

I do not know

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

Unable to estimate

Yes, some of them

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

Yes, a few of them

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

Special expertise of authority

More than 50%

Yes, some of them

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

Nein

More than 50%

Yes, a few of them

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

Authority of same Member State as the manufacturer or EU importer

21 to 30%

Yes, a few of them

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

Authority of market most affected by non-compliance

Unable to estimate

I do not know

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

31 to 40%

Yes, a few of them

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

Increased resources for performing actual testing. The majority of the MSA activities is document control and checking labels. Actual technical performance is rarely evaluated

Unable to estimate

I do not know

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

First deal with efficiency of the local MS in countries

6 to 10%

Yes, some of them

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

Authority of same Member State as the manufacturer or EU importer

11 to 20%

Yes, some of them

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

Authority of same Member State as the manufacturer or EU importer

Unable to estimate

Yes, some of them

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

6 to 10%

Yes, a few of them

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

Authority of same Member State as the manufacturer or EU importer

More than 50%

Yes, some of them

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

Market surveillance officers require more specialist knowledge in order to be more targeted and effective in policing the marketplace. It analogous to Police Forces - they have specialised groups providing back up and specialist support, the same is required for market surveillance but not need for Armed Response Units!

More than 50%

Yes, a few of them

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

Special expertise of authority

Federal market surveillance authority supported by national competent authority.

0 to 5%

I do not know

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

Unable to estimate

Yes, a few of them

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

Special expertise of authority

More surveillance and heavier penalties. Authorities in some EU member states use the excuse of low funding and poor resources for not applying effective surveillance. This is just an excuse, as more surveillance and heavier penalties would allow better and more effective execution of surveillance responsibilities. Also a centrally coordinating body within the EU, with powers to implement

31 to 40%

Yes, some of them

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

More than 50%

Yes, some of them

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

0 to 5%

Yes, most of them

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

Special expertise of authority

21 to 30%

Yes, some of them

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

Un autorité au sein de la Commission

Other

la Commission

Les programmes de surveillance de marché doivent tenir compte des "plaintes" ou difficultés des acteurs économiques" affectés par des distorsions de concurrences et ils doivent être questionnés explicitement sur ce sujet. Des instance de la Commission doivent analyser les données du marché et décider de ces programmes au vu des enquêtes. On pourrait aussi imaginer

6 to 10%

Yes, some of them

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

Unable to estimate

I do not know

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

Authority of market most affected by non-compliance

6 to 10%

Yes, most of them

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

31 to 40%

Yes, a few of them

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

0 to 5%

No

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

11 to 20%

Yes, most of them

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

Special expertise of authority

Please consider the market surveillance activities needed already with writing directives/regulations as they are the once checking it. Market Surveillance authorities shall be considered in the legislative process. Stricter limits should only be implemented if they can be controlled by market surveillance.
If there is a leading market surveillance (among the member states) it shall be

41 to 50%

Yes, some of them

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

Special expertise of authority

11 to 20%

Yes, a few of them

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

First authority opening a case

More than 50%

Yes, some of them

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

Authority of same Member State as the manufacturer or EU importer

Unable to estimate

I do not know

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

Other

europäische
Marktüberwachungsbehörde

Unable to estimate

Yes, some of them

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

Authority of same Member State as the manufacturer or EU importer

For the acceptance of decisions of one MSA by the MSAs of other member states it is important that the decision is checked for plausibility as already provided for by the NLF directives and that the communication does not excessively jeopardize the reaction time.

Unable to estimate

Yes, a few of them

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

neutral, ágil

Unable to estimate

I do not know

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

Da die Anforderungen an die Kennzeichnung ggf. unterschiedlich erfüllt worden sein können (z.B. sind die deutschen Angaben korrekt, aber die portugiesischen nicht) sind die Entscheidungen nicht automatisch von einem Mitgliedstaat auf den anderen übertragbar. Hier muss ggf. jeder Mitgliedstaat Maßnahmen für seinen Markt treffen.

Unable to estimate

Yes, some of them

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

Special expertise of authority

The European commission should be the authority not an individual member state.

Unable to estimate

Yes, most of them

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

Samarbete är bra men det måste vara enkelt och effektivt att genomföra. Administrativa system får inte betunga tillsynseffektiviteten.

More than 50%

Yes, most of them

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

Unable to estimate

I do not know

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

6 to 10%

Yes, a few of them

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

6 to 10%

Yes, a few of them

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

0 to 5%

Yes, a few of them

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

First authority opening a case

11 to 20%

Yes, some of them

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

Authority of same Member State as the manufacturer or EU importer

21 to 30%

Yes, some of them

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

Some proposals (Lead authority) seem to be connected with bureaucratic expenditure and high costs. Because of the very different kind of products regulated in VO (EG) 765/2008 the authority would have to handle quite different cases and would require experts of different sectors. There are already lots of European expert groups but in different cases a lack of communication and

Unable to estimate

Yes, some of them

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

Authority of same Member State as the manufacturer or EU importer

41 to 50%

Yes, some of them

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

Authority of market most affected by non-compliance

More than 50%

Yes, a few of them

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

Authority of market most affected by non-compliance

Markttoezichthouders hebben geen jurisdictie om fabrikanten of importeurs in andere lidstaten aan te spreken op eventuele non-conformiteiten. Waar het principe van reciprociteit van beslissingen van toezichthouders of het aanspreken van fabrikanten/importeurs in andere lidstaten bij non-conformiteit nastrevenswaardig is, kan dit alleen werken als de normen

Unable to estimate

Yes, some of them

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

6 to 10%

Yes, some of them

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

31 to 40%

Yes, most of them

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

Authority of market most affected by non-compliance

Improving the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market cannot be achieved without improving the budget balance of Market Surveillance Authorities. In order to address the lack of State funding, we encourage the idea of setting up a win-win cooperation between the Commission, trade organisations and Market Surveillance Authorities. Such a public-private consortium could make the

More than 50%

Yes, most of them

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

More than 50%

Yes, some of them

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

Authority of same Member State as the manufacturer or EU importer

More than 50%

Yes, some of them

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

Authority of same Member State as the manufacturer or EU importer

11 to 20%

I do not know

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

0 to 5%

Yes, most of them

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

Special expertise of authority

11 to 20%

Yes, some of them

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

Trots harmoniserade regelverk finns många tillåtna nationella skillnader i regelverken, något som måste beaktas.

Unable to estimate

Yes, some of them

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

More than 50%

Yes, most of them

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

0 to 5%

Yes, a few of them

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

Unable to estimate

Yes, a few of them

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

In the case of a product subject to type-approval, it should always be the member state that issued the approval. In other cases, the lead authority should be that with the most expertise or the most affected by non-compliance.

Other

In the case of a product subject to type-approval, it should always be the member state that issued the approval. In other cases, the lead authority should be that with the most expertise or the most affected by non-compliance.

Please consider the market surveillance activities needed already when writing directives / regulations. Market surveillance authorities need to be considered in the legislative process. Stricter limits should only be imposed if they can be controlled by market surveillance.

41 to 50%

Yes, some of them

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

First authority opening a case

Simple action such as checking the CE declaration and the content of the instructions manual for the mandatory requirements would be beneficial and show the manufacturers that market surveillance is under control. No need of testing facilities and deep technical knowledge of the product categories.
Associated with more exchange of information between Member States

11 to 20%

I do not know

Please specify

If you agree with the concept of a lead authority with powers to adopt measures applicable in different Member States (e.g. subject to consultation with relevant national authorities), which criterion should be used to select the lead authority?

Please specify

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What is the approximate proportion of products imported from non-EU countries in your sector (based on product volumes)?

2. Are products in your sector imported from non-EU countries affected by non-compliance?

First authority opening a case

Appliquer des controles simples : analyse des déclarations CE et de la documentation produit (manuel d'installation) qui sont fournis de manière systématique car obligatoire. Nul besoin d'être un expert du produit, simplement de bien connaître les directives. Ceci montrerait aux producteurs (dont les importateurs) que la surveillance est effective et efficace si une communication entre les

11 to 20%

Yes, a few of them

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)

4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)

4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

I do not know

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)	4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Yes, most of them

China

Agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)

4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

I do not know

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)	4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions
Yes, some of them	China	No opinion	No opinion	No opinion	No opinion

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)	4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions
I do not know	China	Strongly agree	Strongly agree	Strongly agree	Strongly agree

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)	4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Yes, some of them

China;India;Indonesia;Mexico
;Taiwan;Thailand

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)	4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Yes, some of them

United states;China

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

No opinion

No opinion

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)	4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Yes, most of them

China

Strongly agree

Agree

Strongly agree

Agree

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)	4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions
Yes, most of them	China	Strongly agree	Strongly agree	Strongly agree	Strongly agree

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)	4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Yes, some of them

Bangladesh;China;India;Korea, republic of (south);Taiwan;United states

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)	4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Yes, some of them

China

Strongly agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)

4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)	4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Yes, most of them

China;Taiwan;Turkey

Agree

Agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)

Yes, some of them

4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):

China

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries

Agree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries

Disagree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

Agree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Agree

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)

4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

I do not know

Agree

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)

4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Yes, a few of them

Agree

Disagree

Disagree

Agree

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)	4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Yes, some of them

United states;China;Hong Kong;India;Korea, republic of (south);Russian federation

Agree

Agree

Agree

No opinion

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)	4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions
Yes, most of them	China	No opinion	No opinion	No opinion	No opinion

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)	4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Yes, a few of them

Belarus;China;Russian federation

Agree

Disagree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)	4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Yes, some of them

China;Japan;Pakistan;Korea, republic of (south);Turkey;United states

Strongly agree

Agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)

4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Yes, some of them

Disagree

Agree

Agree

Agree

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)	4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions
Yes, a few of them	China;India	Strongly agree	Strongly agree	Strongly agree	Strongly agree

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)	4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Yes, some of them

China

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)	4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Yes, some of them

China

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)	4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions
Yes, a few of them	China;Hong Kong;India;Pakistan	No opinion	No opinion	No opinion	No opinion

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)	4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Yes, some of them

China

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)

4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)

4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

I do not know

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)	4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions
Yes, most of them	China	Agree	Strongly agree	No opinion	No opinion

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)

4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)

4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)	4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Yes, some of them

Bangladesh;China;India;Paki
stan Agree

Disagree

Agree

Agree

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)	4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Yes, some of them

China;India;United states

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Agree

Agree

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)

4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)

4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

I do not know

China

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)	4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Yes, some of them

China

Agree

Agree

Agree

No opinion

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)	4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions
Yes, some of them	China;Korea, republic of (south)	No opinion	No opinion		No opinion

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)

4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)	4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Yes, some of them

China;Hong Kong

Disagree

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)

4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Yes, some of them

Disagree

Agree

Agree

Agree

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)	4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions
Yes, some of them	China	Agree	Agree	No opinion	No opinion

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)

4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)	4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions
Yes, a few of them	China	Agree	No opinion	No opinion	No opinion

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)	4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions
Yes, some of them	China	No opinion	No opinion	No opinion	No opinion

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)	4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions
Yes, some of them	China;Korea, republic of (south);Taiwan	Agree	Agree	Agree	Agree

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)	4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions
Yes, a few of them	China	Strongly agree	Strongly agree	Strongly agree	Strongly agree

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)	4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

No

China;Japan;Russian federation;United states

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)

4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Yes, some of them

Agree

Strongly agree

Agree

Agree

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)

4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Yes, some of them

Agree

Strongly disagree

Disagree

Agree

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)	4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Yes, some of them

China;India;Turkey

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)	4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Yes, some of them

China;India;Turkey

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)	4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions
Yes, some of them	China	Strongly agree	Strongly agree	Strongly agree	Strongly agree

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)

Yes, some of them

4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries

Agree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries

Agree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

No opinion

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

No opinion

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)

Yes, some of them

4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):

China

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries

Disagree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries

Disagree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

Agree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Agree

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)	4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

I do not know

Bangladesh;Cambodia;China; No opinion
 Hong Kong;India;Mexico;Pakistan

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)

4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)

Yes, a few of them

4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):

China;United states

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries

Agree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries

Disagree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

Agree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Agree

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)	4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions
Yes, some of them	China	Agree	Agree	No opinion	No opinion

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)

Yes, some of them

4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries

No opinion

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries

No opinion

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

No opinion

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

No opinion

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)	4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions
Yes, some of them	China;United states	No opinion	No opinion	No opinion	No opinion

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)

4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

I do not know

China

Agree

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)

4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Yes, some of them

Agree

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)	4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Yes, some of them

China;India;Turkey

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)	4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions
I do not know	China;Japan;Korea, republic of (south)	No opinion	Agree	No opinion	No opinion

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)	4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Yes, a few of them

China;Hong Kong;India;Indonesia;Pakistan;Philippines

Agree

Agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)

4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)	4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Yes, some of them

China

Agree

Disagree

No opinion

No opinion

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)	4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions
Yes, a few of them	China;Hong Kong;Korea, republic of (south)	No opinion	No opinion	No opinion	No opinion

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)

4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)

4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Yes, some of them

Disagree

Agree

No opinion

No opinion

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)

Yes, some of them

4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries

No opinion

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries

No opinion

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

No opinion

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

No opinion

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)

4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Yes, a few of them

Agree

Disagree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)

4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Yes, some of them

Disagree

Disagree

Agree

Agree

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)

Yes, some of them

4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries

No opinion

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries

No opinion

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

No opinion

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

No opinion

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)	4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions
Yes, some of them	China	No opinion	No opinion	No opinion	No opinion

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)

4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

No

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)

4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)	4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions
Yes, some of them	China;India	No opinion	No opinion	No opinion	No opinion

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)	4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions
No	China;Japan;Russian federation	No opinion	No opinion	No opinion	No opinion

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)	4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions
Yes, a few of them	China	No opinion	No opinion	No opinion	No opinion

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)	4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Yes, some of them

China;Hong Kong;India;Korea, republic of (south);Turkey;United states

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)

4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)

4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)	4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Yes, a few of them

Bangladesh;China;India;Pakistan

Disagree

Agree

Agree

Strongly agree

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)

Yes, most of them

4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):

China

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries

Agree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries

Disagree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

Agree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Agree

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)	4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions
Yes, some of them	China	No opinion	No opinion	No opinion	No opinion

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)	4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Yes, most of them

China;Taiwan

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)	4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Yes, some of them

China;Democratic republic of Congo;Hong Kong;India;Israel;Korea, republic of (south);Russian federation;Taiwan;Thailand;United states;Vietnam

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Agree

Agree

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)	4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Yes, some of them

China;India

Strongly agree

Disagree

Agree

Strongly agree

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)	4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Yes, some of them

China

Agree

No opinion

Agree

Agree

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)	4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Yes, most of them

China;Russian
federation;Hong Kong;South
Africa;Ukraine

Disagree

Disagree

Disagree

Agree

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)

4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)	4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Yes, some of them

China

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

No opinion

No opinion

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)	4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Yes, some of them

China;United states

Strongly disagree

Agree

Strongly agree

No opinion

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)	4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Yes, some of them

China;Hong Kong;India;Indonesia;Russia n federation;United states

Strongly agree

Agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)

4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

No

No opinion

Agree

Agree

Agree

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)

4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)

Yes, most of them

4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):

China

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries

Agree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries

Agree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

Agree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Agree

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)	4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions
Yes, a few of them	China	Strongly agree	Strongly agree	Strongly agree	Strongly agree

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)

Yes, some of them

4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):

China

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries

Agree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries

Disagree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

Agree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Agree

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)	4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Yes, a few of them

China

Disagree

Disagree

Disagree

Disagree

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)	4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Yes, some of them

Russian federation;Ukraine

Agree

Disagree

Agree

Agree

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)

4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

I do not know

Strongly agree

Disagree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)

4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Yes, some of them

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)

4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

I do not know

Agree

Agree

No opinion

No opinion

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)

Yes, a few of them

4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):

China

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries

Agree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries

No opinion

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

No opinion

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

No opinion

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)	4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Yes, a few of them

China

Agree

No opinion

Agree

No opinion

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)	4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Yes, some of them

Aruba;Korea, republic of (south)

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)	4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

No

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)	4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Yes, some of them

China

Agree

Disagree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)	4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions
Yes, some of them	Burundi	No opinion	No opinion	No opinion	No opinion

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)

4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

No

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)

Yes, some of them

4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):

China;Turkey

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries

Disagree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries

Agree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

Agree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Agree

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)	4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Yes, a few of them

China

Agree

Disagree

Agree

Agree

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)	4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Yes, some of them

Bangladesh;Cambodia;China; Agree
Hong
Kong;India;Indonesia;Pakista
n;Sri
lank;Thailand;Turkey;Vietnam

Agree

Agree

Agree

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)	4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

No

India;Korea, republic of (south);Turkey;China

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)	4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Yes, some of them

China

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)

4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

I do not know

India

Agree

Agree

No opinion

No opinion

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)

4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

I do not know

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)	4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Yes, some of them

China;India;Turkey;United states

Disagree

Agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)	4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Yes, a few of them

China

Strongly agree

Disagree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)

4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

No

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)	4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions
Yes, some of them	China;Turkey	No opinion	No opinion	No opinion	No opinion

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)

4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)	4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions
Yes, some of them	China	Agree	No opinion	No opinion	No opinion

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)	4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Yes, some of them

China

Disagree

No opinion

Agree

Disagree

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)	4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Yes, a few of them

China

Strongly agree

No opinion

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)	4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

No

China;Hong Kong;India

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)

4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Yes, some of them

Disagree

Agree

Agree

Agree

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)

4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

I do not know

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)

4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

No

China

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)

4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Yes, some of them

China

Disagree

Strongly disagree

No opinion

No opinion

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)	4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Yes, most of them

Bangladesh;Cambodia;China; Strongly agree
Hong
Kong;India;Pakistan;Vietnam

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)	4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Yes, some of them

China

Agree

Disagree

No opinion

No opinion

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)

4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

I do not know

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)

Yes, a few of them

4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):

Belarus;Russian federation

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries

Disagree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries

Strongly agree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

Agree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Agree

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)

4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)	4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

No

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)

4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

I do not know

China

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)	4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Yes, some of them

China;Switzerland;Turkey

Agree

Agree

Agree

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)	4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions
Yes, a few of them	Bosnia and Herzegovina;China;United states;Serbia	Agree	Agree	No opinion	Agree

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)

4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)

4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)

4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)

4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)

4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)

4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)	4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Yes, some of them

Afghanistan;China;Pakistan

Disagree

Disagree

Agree

Agree

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)	4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Yes, some of them

China

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)

Yes, some of them

4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):

China

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries

Disagree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries

Agree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

Agree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Agree

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)

4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)	4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions
Yes, some of them	China	Agree	No opinion	No opinion	No opinion

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)	4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Yes, a few of them

Taiwan;China

Agree

Agree

No opinion

No opinion

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)	4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions
Yes, some of them	China	No opinion	No opinion	No opinion	No opinion

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)	4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions
Yes, a few of them	China;Vietnam	Strongly agree	Strongly agree	Strongly agree	Strongly agree

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)

4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Yes, some of them

Disagree

No opinion

Disagree

Disagree

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)	4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Yes, some of them

China

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)

4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

I do not know

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)

4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Yes, a few of them

China

Agree

No opinion

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)	4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

No

China

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)

4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)

4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

No

Disagree

Disagree

Agree

Agree

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)

4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

I do not know

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)	4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Yes, most of them

China;Russian federation

Strongly agree

Disagree

Agree

Agree

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)	4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

No

China

Agree

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)	4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation	5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Yes, some of them

China

Disagree

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)

4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)

4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)

4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

Strongly agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

3. Are the non-compliant products in your sector imported from non-EU countries supplied 'online'? (as opposed to through 'brick and mortar' shops)

4. What is the country of origin of imported products you often found to be non-compliant (if any) (multiple choice possible):

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities do not know how to identify and contact businesses located in non-EU countries

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Authorities find it more costly to contact businesses located in non-EU countries

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for information/documentation

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses contacted do not reply to requests for corrective actions

I do not know

Strongly agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

No opinion

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

Agree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Agree

Agree

Strongly agree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

Strongly agree

No opinion

Agree

Agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

Strongly agree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

Strongly agree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

No opinion

No opinion

Strongly agree

Agree

Agree

Disagree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

No opinion

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

No opinion

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

Strongly agree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

Disagree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

Strongly agree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

Strongly agree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

Agree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

No opinion

Agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Agree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Strongly agree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

Strongly agree

Agree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

Agree

Agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

No opinion

Agree

Agree

No opinion

Agree

Disagree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

Agree

No opinion

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

Agree

Disagree

Strongly agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

No opinion

Agree

Agree

No opinion

Strongly agree

Agree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

No opinion

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

Agree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

Strongly agree

Agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Disagree

Agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

Agree

Disagree

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

Disagree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

Strongly agree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

Strongly agree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

Strongly agree

Agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

Strongly agree

Agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

No opinion

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

No opinion

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

Strongly agree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

Strongly agree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

No opinion

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

No opinion

No opinion

Disagree

Agree

No opinion

Agree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

No opinion

No opinion

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Agree

No opinion

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

No opinion

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Agree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

No opinion

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

Agree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

No opinion

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

Agree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

No opinion

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

Agree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

No opinion

No opinion

Strongly agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

Agree

Disagree

No opinion

Strongly disagree

No opinion

No opinion

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

No opinion

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

No opinion

Strongly agree

Agree

No opinion

Agree

Disagree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

No opinion

No opinion

Agree

Disagree

Agree

Disagree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

No opinion

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

No opinion

Disagree

Strongly agree

Agree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

No opinion

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

Strongly agree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

Agree

Agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Agree

Agree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

Strongly disagree

Disagree

Agree

No opinion

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

Strongly agree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

Strongly agree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

Strongly agree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

Strongly agree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Disagree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

No opinion

Agree

Agree

Agree

Disagree

Agree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

Agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Disagree

Disagree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

No opinion

No opinion

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Agree

Strongly agree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

No opinion

No opinion

Agree

Agree

Disagree

Agree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

No opinion

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

Agree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

No opinion

No opinion

Agree

Disagree

Agree

Agree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

No opinion

No opinion

Agree

No opinion

Agree

Strongly agree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

No opinion

No opinion

Strongly agree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

No opinion

Strongly agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Disagree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

Strongly agree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

Strongly agree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

No opinion

No opinion

Agree

No opinion

Strongly agree

Agree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

Agree

Agree

Strongly agree

Agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

No opinion

No opinion

Agree

Disagree

Strongly agree

Agree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

No opinion

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

Agree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

No opinion

Agree

Agree

Disagree

Agree

Agree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

No opinion

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

Agree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

Agree

No opinion

Strongly agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

Agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Disagree

Disagree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

No opinion

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

Agree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

No opinion

No opinion

Strongly agree

Agree

Agree

No opinion

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

No opinion

No opinion

Agree

Agree

Strongly agree

Agree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

No opinion

No opinion

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

No opinion

No opinion

Strongly agree

No opinion

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

No opinion

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

Disagree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

Agree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

Strongly agree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

Strongly agree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

No opinion

Agree

Strongly agree

Agree

Disagree

Agree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

No opinion

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Agree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

No opinion

Agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Agree

No opinion

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

No opinion

Strongly agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Strongly agree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

Agree

Agree

Agree

No opinion

Agree

Agree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

No opinion

Agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Agree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

No opinion

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

No opinion

No opinion

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

Agree

Agree

Strongly agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

No opinion

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

Agree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

No opinion

No opinion

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Agree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Disagree

Agree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

No opinion

No opinion

Disagree

No opinion

No opinion

Agree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

No opinion

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

No opinion

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

No opinion

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

No opinion

No opinion

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

No opinion

No opinion

Agree

Agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

No opinion

Agree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

No opinion

No opinion

Strongly agree

Agree

Agree

Disagree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

No opinion

No opinion

Agree

Agree

No opinion

No opinion

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

Agree

No opinion

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

Agree

Agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Agree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

Agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

Agree

No opinion

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

Agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Agree

Agree

Strongly agree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

No opinion

No opinion

Strongly agree

Agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

Agree

No opinion

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

Disagree

Disagree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Agree

Agree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

Strongly agree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

Disagree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

Strongly agree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

Agree

No opinion

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

Agree

No opinion

Agree

Agree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

No opinion

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

No opinion

Agree

No opinion

Disagree

Disagree

Agree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

Strongly agree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

Strongly agree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

No opinion

No opinion

Strongly disagree

Agree

Agree

Agree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

Agree

Agree

Disagree

Disagree

Disagree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

No opinion

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

Agree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

Strongly agree

No opinion

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

No opinion

No opinion

Strongly agree

Disagree

Agree

Strongly disagree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

Strongly agree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

Strongly agree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

No opinion

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

Agree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

Disagree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

Agree

Agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Agree

Agree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

Disagree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

No opinion

No opinion

Agree

Agree

No opinion

Disagree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

No opinion

No opinion

Agree

Agree

Strongly agree

No opinion

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

Agree

Disagree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

No opinion

No opinion

Agree

Agree

Agree

Disagree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

No opinion

Agree

Agree

No opinion

Agree

Agree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

No opinion

No opinion

Strongly disagree

Strongly disagree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

Strongly agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

No opinion

No opinion

Agree

No opinion

Disagree

Disagree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

Agree

Agree

Agree

Disagree

Agree

Agree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

Agree

No opinion

No opinion

Agree

Agree

Agree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

Agree

Agree

Agree

Strongly agree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

Agree

No opinion

Strongly agree

Agree

Agree

Strongly agree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

No opinion

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

Agree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

No opinion

No opinion

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

No opinion

No opinion

Strongly agree

Disagree

Agree

Agree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

No opinion

No opinion

Strongly agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

No opinion

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

Agree

Agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Businesses sanctioned do not pay penalties

5. In your experience what makes it difficult to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: In particular in the case of goods traded online businesses contacted consider that they are not manufacturing, importing, distributing or making the product available on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Obligation on businesses to appoint a responsible person or designate an importer located in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Broaden definition of EU importer to explicitly include possible EU based main contractors of the manufacturer in the absence of an another responsible person in the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More enforcement action addressed to EU importers placing non-compliant products on the market

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: Power to national authorities to ban products when businesses contacted do not reply to queries or when they cannot be contacted

Agree

Agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

Strongly disagree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

Strongly disagree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

Strongly disagree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

Strongly disagree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

Strongly disagree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

Strongly disagree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

Disagree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

Disagree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

Disagree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

Disagree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

Disagree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:

Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:

Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:

Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:

More controls of products entering the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:

More controls of products purchased online

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:

Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

Disagree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

Disagree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

Disagree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

Disagree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

Strongly disagree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

Disagree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

Strongly disagree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:

Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:

Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:

Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:

More controls of products entering the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:

More controls of products purchased online

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:

Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

Disagree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

Disagree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

Disagree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

Disagree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

Disagree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

Disagree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

Disagree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

Disagree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

Disagree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

Disagree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

Disagree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

Disagree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

Disagree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

Strongly disagree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

Disagree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

Disagree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

Disagree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

Disagree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

Disagree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:

Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:

Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:

Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:

More controls of products entering the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:

More controls of products purchased online

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:

Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

Disagree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

Strongly disagree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

Disagree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

Disagree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

Disagree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

Disagree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

Disagree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

Disagree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

Disagree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

Disagree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

Disagree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

Disagree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

Disagree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

Disagree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

Disagree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

Disagree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

Disagree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

Disagree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

Disagree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

Disagree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

Disagree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

Strongly disagree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

Strongly disagree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

Strongly disagree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

Disagree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

Disagree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:

Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:

Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:

Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:

More controls of products entering the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:

More controls of products purchased online

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:

Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:

Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:

Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:

Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:

More controls of products entering the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:

More controls of products purchased online

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:

Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

Disagree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:

Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:

Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:

Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:

More controls of products entering the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:

More controls of products purchased online

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:

Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

Disagree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

Disagree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

Disagree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

Disagree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

Disagree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

Disagree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

Disagree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

Disagree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain corrective action from businesses

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to impose penalties on businesses

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Strengthen cooperation with authorities in non-EU countries to obtain information on businesses likely to export non-compliant products to the EU

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products entering the EU

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More controls of products purchased online

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?:
Obligation to indicate the manufacturer's name and contact details in customs declaration

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

Agree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

Relevant

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

Strongly agree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

Le domande sono per la maggior parte pertinenti

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

Agree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

The questionnaire was quite representative and helped us express our experience (as an industry sector).

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

No opinion

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

High

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

EU should establish their own "batch production compliance" control system together with EU notified body who has local business in non-EU country. that's the most effective way to got the first hand non-compliant information and evidence of take penalty and actions.

the present CE New Approach and directives did not give more attention to batch production compliance control. Actually this critical negligence causing lots of unfair market competition to EU local factory, higher sourcing quality control cost to EU retailer and serious product safety headache for EU consumers. I suggest having a system revision to present New Approach conformity assessment

Generally it's good. but it did not touch the most important part of product compliance: Does the present conformity assessment module of EU New Approach is effective or not? please remember, all non-compliance product must use the gap of fault conformity assessment module.

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

Disagree

Agree

Agree

Legal action against non-EU traders is not realistically achievable. In most cases in the toy and general consumer product sectors, including on-line sales, it should be possible for all traders to designate and EU economic operator who is responsible for product safety and technical documentation and against whom sanctions can be imposed when non-compliance occurs.

Training and qualification of surveillance personnel is important to provide an effective system which is free from uncertainties. In some sectors there is a wide disparity of knowledge between one Member State and another. This leads to poor trading conditions, consumer concerns and an escalation of restrictive measures without a significant improvement in consumer safety.

Question B4.2 relates to contact between authorities and businesses in different member states. In my opinion a simple cooperative system between the enforcement and "home" authorities is usually applied and works effectively in the toy and consumer goods sectors.

Whilst the toy and consumer goods sectors attract a lot of attention (for understandable reasons), the

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

Agree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

Då regleringen inte medfört att säkerheten för EU:s medborgare kan säkerställas utan utgår från att lösningen är att komma tillrätta med brister i marknadskontroll så kan frågornas relevans ifrågasättas. Resultatet av en väl fungerande marknadskontroll kommer att medföra att huvuddelen av tillverkarna (mickroföretagen) slås ut vilket endast gagnar de företag som ligger bakom regleringen och de

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

Strongly agree

Agree

Strongly agree

Klare gesetzliche Verpflichtung von Herstellern aus Drittstaaten, einen hauptverantwortlichen Einführer zu benennen. Dieser muss in der Lage sein, die Kommunikation mit dem Hersteller zu gewährleisten und im Beanstandungsfall, nationale Maßnahmen umzusetzen oder Geldbußen zu bezahlen.

Klare Information der Käufer auf online-Plattformen, dass sie Produkte aus Drittstaaten erwerben und damit als Einführer für die Konformität der Produkte Verantwortung übernehmen müssen.

Teilweise treffen sie die Problematik auf den Punkt. Insbesondere die Probleme mit Herstellern aus Drittländern und der online-Handel bieten noch eine ganze Menge Verbesserungspotential.

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

Agree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

very good

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

Agree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

The communication and negotiation of goods entering the single market should be undertaken by a dedicated pan-EU body. Most member states are simply not able to deal with 3rd parties, and are not effective enough at detecting and pursuing non-compliance in products from these 3rd countries.

Another key issue is the setting up of companies in order to produce and build

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

Very relevant and covering the most important areas and issues facing market surveillance.

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

Agree

Strongly agree

Agree

Vragen zijn wel relevant, echter geschreven vanuit de bestaande methode van markttoezicht controle en sanctie's.

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

Very relevant questions, but maybe more on the role of the Commission (not just national market surveillance authorities) could've been explored.

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Our research has shown that in that last year more than one million people in the UK have bought a counterfeit item with the majority being imported from China, Turkey and Taiwan. We are also aware that a large majority are arriving in the UK after being landed in another EU Member State.

Some of the biggest and well-known electrical brands are being subject to

All questions were relevant

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

Agree

Agree

Agree

Hvis ikke virksomheden svarer og fremsender de i lovgivningen krævede oplysninger, har vi allerede hjemmel til at gribe ind overfor produkterne.

En effektiv kontrol af varer fra tredjelande kræver at alle medlemsstater udøver grænsekontrol overfor bestemte produkter som udgør en konstateret risiko. Ellers vil varerne komme ind i EU via lande som ikke udøver kontrol.

Ja, bestemt. Markedsovervågningen er begrænset i alle lande i forhold til det enorme antal produkter der omsættes, bl.a. via on-line handel og fra lande uden for EU. Undersøgelsen kan give et billede af hvordan interessenterne ser på problemets omfang og de forskellige løsningsmuligheder.

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

Det var bra att inte alla frågorna var obligatoriska eftersom de uppenbarligen vände sig till olika typer av aktörer.

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

Disagree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

Disagree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

Disagree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

Die Fragen sollten mehr an der Frage ausgerichtet sein, ob die Massnahmen zu einer höheren Produktsicherheit führen. Die Marktüberwachung ist kein Selbstzweck und stellt einen erheblichen Eingriff statt, den man entsprechend in jeder Frage mittels Sicherheit Hintergründen begründen sollte.

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

Agree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

Paljude küsimuste vastusevariandid ei andnud piisavat paindlikkust ja olid esitatud mitmeti mõistetavalt. Lisaks näiteks B1 küsimustiku 13. küsimuse juures olevate valikuvariantide puhul, mis on sõnastatud "suurem", "parem" jms, ei ole aru saada, millega võrreldes "suurem", kuna erinevates liikmesriikides on olukord ilmselt erinev. Vastusevariant "nõustun" ei

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

Strongly agree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

Pas de commentaire

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

Strongly agree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

Ne

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

Ne

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

Taip

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

Agree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

-Die Maßnahmen müssen weiterhin für Lieferungen an Unternehmen als auch an private Personen gelten.
-Im Bereich des Inverkehrbringens von Chemikalien gibt es bereits den sog. "only Representative". Es ist zweifelhaft, ob dies auf alle Produktsektoren gleichartig anwendbar ist.
-Eine Befugnis zum Verbot von Produkten, wenn die kontaktierten Unternehmen

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

Hoch.

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)	6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)	6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)	7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?	8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?	1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

Disagree

Disagree

Disagree

Der wichtigste Multiplikator für die Wirksamkeit der Marktüberwachung ist der Einführer selbst, der für eine Erfüllung seiner Pflichten jedoch - bei meist deutlich vielfältigerer Produktpalette - über ähnliches Wissen wie die produktspezifischen Hersteller verfügen muss. Die Ausweitung von Definitionen oder die Verschärfung von Sanktionen würde insofern nicht diese wesentliche Ursache für einzelne nicht

Die deutliche Mehrheit der Wirtschaftsakteure hat größtes Interesse an einer Einhaltung der Vorschriften, somit eignen sich gerade die Wirtschaftsakteure selber als Multiplikatoren für eine wirksamere Marktüberwachung. Eine wirksames Mittel wäre somit deren bessere Unterstützung bei der Identifikation und Anwendung von Vorschriften. Beispiel: Die IHK Bodensee-Oberschwaben stellt für

Die Fragestellungen sind offenbar stark von der Annahme geleitet, dass Verstöße gegen die Vorschriften zu einem großen Teil vorsätzlich erfolgen. Uns erreichen jedoch fast ausschließlich Beratungsanfragen von - meist kleinen und mittleren - Unternehmen, welche schlicht nicht über das erforderliche Wissen verfügen, um die Anforderungen sowie das

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

Strongly agree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

A stronger public-private partnership between market surveillance authorities and industries could improve the effectiveness of market surveillance actions. The creation of a non-profit private entity of collective interest composed by representatives of public authorities and industries and recognized by the French public authorities (through a delegation agreement) could help to support market

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

None

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

Strongly agree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

taip

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

Strongly agree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

Taip

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

Agree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

Questions are relevant

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)	6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)	6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)	7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?	8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?	1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Améliorer le contrôle des frontières et des importations via les douanes.
Accepter l'aide privé si elle est organisée dans le cadre du droit de la concurrence et de l'impartialité.
Il est possible d'aider à détecter mais pas de faire la police.
En France il semble que les radars mobiles de contrôle de vitesse vont être sous-traités à du privé. Cela est donc possible de l'appliquer dans

Pour rendre efficace et efficiente la Surveillance du marché au niveau Européen, il faut que
-les stratégies des autorités nationales de contrôles du marché soient cohérentes, effectives et efficaces
-les sanctions soient rapides, équivalentes et appliquées
-les dénonciations de produits dangereux soient faites de façon uniforme par tous les Etats sur Rapex et ICSMS

Les questions sont trop orientées entreprises ou parfois trop proches les unes des autres
Elles ne sont pas assez générales pour y intégrer les avis des particuliers et tout autre acteur économique.
Nous avons eu du mal à répondre en tant qu'association indépendante, intègre et en lien direct avec le sujet de surveillance du marché du fait de nos 8 années d'expérience.

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

Rather theoretical in correlation with the current legislation applicable in market surveillance, according to which market surveillance is mostly national. The questions are about issues that draw the big lines of surveillance. Sometimes it was difficult to understand what the questions actually meant.

Concerning the three last questions of B1 17 (What

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)	6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)	6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)	7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?	8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?	1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

What comes to the market surveillance actions, we highlight the fact, the regulatory framework and market surveillance should definitely create a fair level playing field between the business located in EU countries and non EU-countries. In other words, regulatory obligations and administrative burden should be similar regardless whether the business is located in the EU or outside the EU. Thus,

As a conclusion, we would like to highlight the importance of the market surveillance system on ensuring that industrial products placed on the single market guarantee high levels of protection for health and safety and the environment and also ensuring the free movement of industrial products in the single market.

This is an utmost importance especially for the SMEs. Moreover, we share the same

The Federation of Finnish Enterprises warmly welcomes this consultation and we appreciate the Commission's aims to improve and modernize market surveillance system. We hope our comments help Commission to further develop this important matter.

When it comes to the forthcoming consultations, we kindly hope that the

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

Agree

Agree

Agree

Most of the questions were relevant, but some of them were hard to interpret and give an appropriate answers.

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

The questionnaire misses the core of the problem which is a missing new and modernised legal framework for general product safety, product liability and market surveillance in Europe which puts very strong emphasis on an EU-harmonised system for market surveillance.

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

Most of the questions deal with issues which are already regulated/mandatory but giving the impression that this is not the case.

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

Strongly agree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

More Resources to conduct market surveillance in the big economies in Europe where big importing businesses are located.

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

some relevant, some less relevant, depending on function.

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

Strongly agree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

The questions are relevant and useful for market surveillance authorities.

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

We only filled out part B1; in this part the questions were, indeed, relevant to the cosmetics industry where the market surveillance system works well. We could, however, not answer the questions related to market surveillance authorities' resources. These may vary from Member State to Member State but by and large they do seem able to carry out their role.

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

Strongly agree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

The questions are really good and we hope it will help for the EU commission to understand the issues in the different EU countries and possibilities to make the market surveillance more effective.

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

Strongly agree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

Taip

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

Agree

Agree

Agree

Consultation of manufacturers, trade or industry associations active in a given product field may prove helpful in identifying non-compliant products.

Optimisation and cooperation with stakeholders that operate schemes that could support market surveillance. For example voluntary certification schemes that comply with given criteria (for example Module H, or ISO 17000 series) could prove useful in pointing towards non-compliant products.

Minimum criteria for laboratories would be useful, for example being accredited

The questions are of a general nature. Depending on the industry or sector involved different response can be expected. This would be most visible for consumer goods and B2B products.

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

Agree

Agree

Agree

Fast reaction when non-compliant products are found and better cooperation not only between the market surveillance and customs authorities in a Member State but between authorities in different Member States are key for properly detecting and acting against non-compliant products originating from non-EU countries.

Better communication between the customs and market surveillance authorities and also a regular dialogue between authorities and economic operators can help improve responsiveness and effectiveness of market surveillance.

The questions are sensible to assess the current situation, but some of them can lead to multiple interpretations. Changes in the market surveillance framework must be effective without adding additional layers of administrative burdens for compliant manufacturers. As regards the question B4-8, we would like to comment that in the case of extra-territorial decisions on

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)	6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)	6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)	7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?	8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?	1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

Disagree

No opinion

No opinion

Der wichtigste Multiplikator für die Wirksamkeit der Marktüberwachung ist der Einführer selbst, der für eine Erfüllung seiner Pflichten jedoch - bei meist deutlich vielfältigerer Produktpalette - über ähnliches Wissen wie die produktspezifischen Hersteller verfügen muss. Die Ausweitung von Definitionen oder die Verschärfung von Sanktionen würde insofern nicht diese wesentliche Ursache für einzelne nicht

Die deutliche Mehrheit der Wirtschaftsakteure hat größtes Interesse an einer Einhaltung der Vorschriften, somit eignen sich gerade die Wirtschaftsakteure selbst als Multiplikatoren für eine wirksamere Marktüberwachung. ein wirksames Mittel wäre somit deren bessere Unterstützung bei der Identifikation und Anwendung von Vorschriften.

--

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)	6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)	6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)	7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?	8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?	1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

Agree

Agree

No opinion

Yritysten sisäänostajien osaamisen lisääminen. Lisättävä myös kuluttajien tietämystä ja tietoisuutta esim. verkkokaupassa myytävistä tuotteista. Nämä tuotteet eivät välttämättä ole tarkoitettu EU-markkinoille eivätkä täytä EU-vaatimuksia.

Tullikoodisto pitää muuttaa vastaamaan yleisesti käytettyjä tuoteterminologiaa.

Vaikutetaan tuotteen koko elinkaaren vaiheisiin lähtien jo suunnittelusta. Laaditaan aineistoja yrityksille, näitä tarjotaan jo yrityksen perustamisvaiheessa. Kehitetään turvallisuusjohtamisjärjestelmä tuotteen vaatimustenmukaisuuden varmistamiseen.

Tärkeitä, mutta vaikeita vastata. Markkinavalvontaan liittyy paljon erilaisia näkökulmia, joten joihinkin väittämiin oli vaikea vastata 4-portaisella asteikolla.

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

The questionnaire misses the core of the problem which is a missing new and modernised legal framework for general product safety, product liability and market surveillance in Europe which puts very strong emphasis on an EU-harmonised system for market surveillance.

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

Agree

Agree

Agree

Some of the questions were unclear or could be understood in multiple ways.

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)	6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)	6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)	7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?	8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?	1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

Agree

Agree

No opinion

The joint efforts, studies, training, and info-sharing between the EU-commission, national authorities and specialised agencies (mainly EUIPO and OLAF) are very good.

However, as we have highlighted in previous surveys, we find that private sector co-operation falls behind. Support by the EU Commission/public authorities to achieve joint

Increased support to businesses seems important, and should be at the core of the market surveillance authorities' mission.

The overall aim should be to prevent non-compliance.

There is too little collaboration between the private and the public sector. It seems that business are sometimes wary of turning to authorities for advice, because they have

The questions are relevant.

However, we find some of them way too complex and with cumulative criteria for the "question format" you have. It is sometimes almost impossible to agree or disagree with, or say yes or no. We suggest easier and questions that are suited for your "question format".

The possibility to leave comments is really great!

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

Agree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

OK

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

Strongly agree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

Collecting more samples at borders and checking them on regular basis would allow setting-up a database of non-compliant products.

AEO status should be simplified to allow more SMEs to apply for it. This would enhance business to customs cooperation and make it easier to focus on trade of non-compliant products.

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

More money is needed to train customs experts on textiles. Improvement in technical and technological capabilities to handle with complicated value chains.

More cross-border coordination and sharing of information is needed to efficiently monitor the market.

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

The questions are relevant even if it is often difficult to precisely measure damages caused to the EU industry

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)	6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)	6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)	7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?	8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?	1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

No opinion

A particular problem in our sector is the trade in non-compliant goods via auctions. The auction company claims not to be placing the goods on the market but is deliberately unhelpful in identifying those that are. Legal certainty on the responsibilities of auction companies and other operators is essential.

The key missing element is commitment. Many high-value products have been imported in our sector, primarily via auctions. The authorities in our sector have been informed of non-compliant products and have been pressed strongly to take action in order to ensure no unfair economic damage is done, and also to enforce EU controls on engine emissions, noise emissions and machinery safety. The

Questions are relevant

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

Agree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

EU customs must control imported products.

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

Questions are relevant.

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

Disagree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

Mycket bra frågor som behandlar många av de problemområden som vi brottas med i vårt arbete med marknadskontroll

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

Strongly agree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

A stronger public-private partnership between market surveillance authorities and industries could improve the effectiveness of market surveillance actions. The creation of a non-profit private entity of collective interest composed by representatives of public authorities and industries and recognized by the national public authorities could help to support market surveillance. Main issue is

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

they are relevant

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

Strongly agree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

A stronger public-private partnership between market surveillance authorities and industries could improve the effectiveness of market surveillance actions. The creation of a non-profit private entity of collective interest composed by representatives of public authorities and industries and recognized by the national public authorities could help to support market surveillance. Main issue is

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

they are relevant

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

Agree

Agree

These questions are very relevant to the issues being pursued by both the UKWF and the European Trade Association (CECIP) and a good indication that the work of the Commission is reflecting the concerns of the industry

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

Agree

Agree

Agree

We recommend to use more trade intelligence i.e. not more frequent but better and more informed controls at customs (e.g. specific traders, plants of origin, specific logistic providers).

In general, DIGITALEUROPE would like the European Union to get as close as possible to a unified single EU Market Surveillance Authority.

No answer

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

Strongly agree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

Questions were relevant to the subject

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)	6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)	6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)	7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?	8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?	1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Agree

There is a very low incentive for a non-EU authority to act decisively against a non-compliant national company upon the request of the EU, for the following reasons:
 •sanction will certainly cause immediate damage to the company, maybe lead to visible job losses, which can be easily attacked by a populist communication campaign as the clearly attributable consequence of “foreign imperialism” where

industriAll Europe proposes that the legal regime protecting whistle-blowers in companies be extended to workers that signal the non-compliance of products (both locally produced and imported) with existing market regulation – even if no direct or immediate connection to visible damage exists. Job losses in honest companies are a damage worth preventing.

The questions were globally relevant.

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)	6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)	6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)	7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?	8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?	1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

In diesem Zusammenhang muss darauf geachtet werden, dass hier kein zusätzlich extrem bürokratischer Aufwand auf österreichische Importeure zukommt, die das Produkt verteuern würden.

Bezüglich der „Ausweitung von Kontrollen von Produkten, die online gekauft werden“ stellt sich für uns die Frage, wie sich dies feststellen lässt. Aus unserer

Es erscheint sinnvoll, einheitliche Sanktionen in Bezug auf die Kontrollen an den Außengrenzen einzuführen, um handelsumlenkenden Effekten vorzubeugen.

...

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

No opinion

Some of the questions were unclear and not adapted to different groups of respondents. Targeted surveys will often be easier to respond to and is not as time consuming.

We would also suggest an introductory comment to each section of questions.

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

Agree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

Relevant, but too many questions to focus and guarantee good answers.

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

Agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

In a nutshell, we identified a need for a harmonised approach in the EU towards this issue.
Regarding B5Q5, we believe that if the authorities are properly empowered, then this should not arise as an issue provided that without legal representation in the EU, the products should not be imported. Nevertheless, there is also a growing reality that any EU consumer has the ability to buy products via

Although the questions are very much relevant, we did notice some inconsistencies in the wording.
Examples: B3, Q1 - the first line excludes the second while the third excludes the fourth. B2, Q4 - as the first line uses the word 'exclusively', the wording seems a bit contradictory to us regarding the rest of the additional foci of the national authorities concerned in the question.

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

Strongly agree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

The questions all seem to be relevant.

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

Strongly agree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

the questions are relevant yet somewhat too specific to answer by an industry association representing diverse products

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)	6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)	6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)	7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?	8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?	1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

There is a need for better and smarter cooperation not only between competent authorities, but also between competent authorities and business operators: this will allow to better apply the risk-based principle and enhance the efficiency and effectiveness of the surveillance systems. In this respect, when determining the frequency of the controls, operators' record of compliance (earned

- Better public - private cooperation
- Better risk - based approach
- More harmonised testing and analytical methods, more harmonised risk assessment procedures
- European market Surveillance forum.
- Authorised representatives

Due to the different situations or causes which lead to non compliances, it has proven difficult to form a clear opinion on some questions. For example, questions focus on compliance for products for which there is harmonised legislation. An overall product safety framework for all (non-food) product is still missing. No clear differentiation is made either between dangerous non-compliant

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

Strongly agree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

A stronger public-private partnership between market surveillance authorities and industries could improve the effectiveness of market surveillance actions. The creation of a non-profit private entity of collective interest composed by representatives of public authorities and industries and recognized by the national public authorities could help to support market surveillance. Main issue is

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

Questions are relevant, however, for most of them, we cannot add specific comments. We believe it would be interested and beneficial to have the opportunity to explain more deeply some of our positions.

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

Agree

No opinion

No opinion

Start doing some...

Some are unclear and ambiguous.

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

As above, speaking as the market surveillance authority for the complete EU instead of one member states makes a stronger impression on businesses outside the EU. Also cooperation with national authorities from third countries is stronger from the EU.
How to explain to non-EU businesses that there is one single market in the EU with the same legislation, but that market surveillance is

Main suggestion is : 1 market surveillance authority for 1 single market

Most questions are certainly relevant

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

Strengthening market surveillance requires action in the following three areas:

1. Strengthening the pan-EU cooperation in market surveillance
2. Intensifying cooperation among national market surveillance authorities
3. Increasingly involving industry in market surveillance activities

See Industry call for action, January 2015:

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

Disagree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

No comment

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

Agree

Agree

Agree

In this situation, we suggest that:
1.The investigating market surveillance authority consults trade associations representing EU manufacturers that are selling the same type of products (intelligence gathering).
2.The legal person exporting to the EU (exporter) should be requested to affix his name and address on the product or its packaging, as is

Where market surveillance authorities do not have testing laboratories in their country, European companies could make available their facilities for them.

Although the Commission's standards of consultation have improved in recent years, we remain concerned that consultation procedures are still often neither simple enough nor targeted enough. Some questions are not easy to understand or could elicit different types of answers, depending on whether you have in mind to address the behaviour of rogue traders versus those responsible of minor or unintentional cases

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

With regard to question 17 on what powers market surveillance authorities need, we would add that the answers given could be dependent on whether the business being investigated is intentionally non-compliant or not. Some powers would not effectively deter intentionally non-compliant businesses. Whereas they would deter those that want to remain compliant.

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

Disagree

Agree

Agree

There need to be mechanisms in place to ensure consistency of approach (e.g. in terms of enforcement, interpretation of the rules, sanctions) across borders. Inconsistent technical knowledge across the authorities e.g. knowing how to do a risk assessment in accordance with the RAPEX guidelines (Commission Decision 2010/15/EU).

The multiple choice format is sometimes over-simplistic and does not provide for sufficiently accurate responses.

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

Agree

Agree

Agree

Authorities should use more trade intelligence provided by trade associations and take more initiative in information gathering. This would likely result in more informed controls at customs.

*

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Agree

Fast reaction when non-compliant products are found and better cooperation not only between the market surveillance and customs authorities in a Member State but between authorities in different Member States are key for properly detecting and acting against non-compliant products originating from non-EU countries.

The questions are appropriate. Changes in the market surveillance framework must be effective without adding additional layers of administrative burdens for compliant manufacturers.

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)	6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)	6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)	7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?	8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?	1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Die illegale Inverkehrbringung von Produkten, die häufig nicht den gesetzlichen Vorschriften entsprechen, aus Nicht-EU-Staaten verursacht einen erheblichen Wettbewerbsnachteil für europäische Unternehmen. Daher fordern wir, dass das geltende Recht konsequent ausgelegt und auch angewendet wird. Falls sich herausstellt, dass der illegale Import auf Grund von Rechtslücken nicht

Die Marktüberwachungs- und Zollbehörden müssen ihre Aktivitäten besser verzahnen, um effektiv gegen Direktverkäufe nichtkonformer Produkte aus dem Nicht-EU-Ausland an EU-Verbraucher vorgehen zu können.

Es bedarf schnellstmöglich einer Klarstellung, wie mit Produkten zu verfahren ist, bei denen entgegen des EU-Produktsicherheitsrechts kein

Die Fragestellung ist teilweise verwirrend, da nicht klar zwischen der Überprüfung existierender Maßnahmen, Vorschlägen für neue Maßnahmen und Ansätzen zur besseren Anwendung geltenden Rechts unterschieden wird.

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

Agree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

See letter forwarded to the Commission

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

The questions are relevant. However, many are also prone to too much interpretation. As it is not possible to comment on the responses or to have the context clarified one run the risk the responses made are misinterpreted. Often it is unclear who is supposed to respond to the questions. Therefore it becomes unclear if the responses are based on own experience or perception.

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)	6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)	6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)	7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?	8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?	1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

No opinion

Agree

Agree

A stronger focus on 'effective upstream controls' at customs to prevent toys with a serious risk end-upon the market. This can be enhanced by not focusing on manufacturers with a good track record (e.g. included on a 'white list as suggested in B.1.14 &B.4.8) and effective cooperation with the country of origin.

For toys, many decisions on classification are taken in the Administrative Cooperation (AdCo) group between market surveillance authorities. These decisions should be available to business

Most questions were relevant. For toys, the Toy Safety Directive already includes clear provisions on many of the issues in B.1.17, such as power for market surveillance authorities to request information and to take temporary measures.

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

Agree

Agree

Agree

-

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

Most of the questions deals with issues which are already regulated/mandatory but giving the impression that this is not the case.

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

No opinion

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

Typically, importers/distributors based in the EU source some, or all, of the food and beverage service packaging that they place on the single market from manufacturers located in a non-EU country. Many of these importers/distributors take inadequate or no measures at all to guarantee that the products they are purchasing for resale meet EU requirements, especially, as falsification of

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

For the sections we responded to the questions were broadly appropriate. However some greater distinction between business to business and business to consumer activities would be relevant.

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)	6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)	6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)	7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?	8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?	1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

No opinion

Auctions are a real problem in the UK. The auctioneer claims not to be placing the product on the market but just acting as an agent between the seller and the buyer. The seller is not present at the auction and no action has been taken by the authorities. The power to "ban products when businesses contacted do not reply or when they cannot be contacted" should allow the prevention of sales of non-

The problem appears to be mainly a lack of commitment by the market surveillance authorities, rather than lack of powers or resources. Authorities have been informed many times of specific products and exactly where they are being placed on the market and what the non-compliance is. No substantial action has been taken.

Mainly appropriate but the products in our sector are high value capital assets for professional use. The sales of compliant machines are usually through dealers, the sales of non-compliant machinery are either through auctions (most commonly) or private imports.

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

Agree

Agree

Strongly disagree

The questions are very detailed and relevant but some more technical could probably be necessary to provide a clear understanding of the market.

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

Strongly agree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

There should be close relationships between Governments, Market Surveillance authorities and industry, along with more resources for authorities.

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

Questions were mostly relevant, though some were more relevant for market surveillance authorities to answer.

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

Agree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

OK

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

Fine

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

Agree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

Very important, since our sensation is the theory of the market surveillance and customs control is studied on a very theoretical way from the commission, but not taking into account the reality of the sectors.

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

Agree

Agree

Strongly agree

Die Fragen sind relevant für die Marktüberwachung und treffen die Probleme.

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

No opinion

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

Gut

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

Strongly agree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

Upfront proof has to be supplied before a shipment enters the EEA

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

Rather relevant. The main problem however is the lack of market surveillance in the first place.
With some exceptions like the MDD this is the main problem.

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)	6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)	6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)	7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products located in a non-EU country?	8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?	1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?
Strongly agree	Strongly agree	Strongly agree	It would need an improved Declaration of Origin for customs procedures to battle non compliant Conflict Minerals declarations since many importers rely on fake declarations.	It is almost impossible to solely rely on the market surveillance bodies. There must be more private sector involvement under a cost and burden sharing scheme: I would link it much stronger to EMAS III or EMAS IV (revised) requirements that companies strengthen their incoming goods inspections for supplied products. I also see a close link to ISO 14001, ISO 9001 and ISO/TS 16949 requirements to abide	The questions are highly relevant. Just that they create some response set due to their monotonous design is something that I would like to have improved.

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

Strongly agree

Agree

Agree

Most of them are considered useful. The questions requesting a figure on percentages e.g. on imported products in a EU member states cannot be answered by a market surveillance authority.

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

Strongly agree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

W większości , tak.

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

No opinion

No opinion

tak

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

Strongly agree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

The most questions are relevant.

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

Agree

Agree

Agree

Where the company "selling" a product online is based outside EU jurisdiction but those goods are handled by a "freight forwarder" then that logistics and shipping company should be designated as the "importer". Whoever carries the goods into the EU is the "importer" if no one else is named as the official importer. We need to close these loopholes.

Information sharing is the key.

I stated it earlier; as someone involved in product compliance this is relevant and I understand most of the questions. But for an average consumer who just wants to be sure that the products they are buying are safe and of reasonable quality (long life) then they would have almost no clue what you were asking in most cases.

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Agree

Hersteller aus Drittländern außerhalb der EU werden sich nicht an die EU-Vorgaben halten, kennen keine europäischen Normen (EN) und schweißen schlechte bedinungsanleitungen (Alle Amtssprachen der EU). Nach NLF ist der Importeur in die Verantwortung zu nehmen als Quasi-Hersteller. der Zoll muss beim import besser kontrollieren. So machen es die anderen Märkte auch.

Gut

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

It is relevant in order to satisfy the same quality and sustainability requirements for all products regardless their origin

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

Mislim da su u znatnoj mjeri dobro odabrana odnosno pogođena i konačno da se i postavi upit tijelima za nadzor tržišta

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

Agree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

Pitanja su relevantna.

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

Strongly agree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

Me parecen muy adecuadas.

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

Strongly agree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

Post market surveillance is very important, both to ensure the human safety and to allow a fair competition among products available on the market. I found most of the questions relevant and useful.

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

Agree

Agree

Agree

Bei uns muss der verantwortliche Akteur für ein Produkt seinen Sitz in der Schweiz haben. Dasselbe sollte auch für die EU gelten.

Die Marktüberwachung kann nur wirksam sein wenn man sie auch konsequent durchführt. Vermutlich wird in vielen Staaten keine Marktüberwachung im Bereich Sprengstoff / Pyrotechnik durchgeführt.

Grundsätzlich guter Fragebogen. Nicht alle Fragensind für uns als Behörde zutreffend.

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

Disagree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

Disagree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

Disagree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

v pořádku

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

es müssten zentrale Stellen im Land gebildet werden, die z.B. den Onlinehandel beobachten und landesweit gegenüber den Akteuren auf dem Markt Sanktionen erlassen könnten.

Neuorientierung. Mehr Fokus auf zentralisierte Aufgaben im Land (vom Bund gesteuert und nicht einzeln durch die Länder) mit allen Befugnissen und Ausstattungen.

ok. Einige Fragen schwer zu beantworten

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

Disagree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

Disagree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

Disagree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

More focus about the purpose of the EU directives.

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

No opinion

Agree

More border controls and synchronised between MS

more and better coordination between MS, if we are one market we should not act independent from other MS

Sometimes too much black or white alternatives. Different type of manufacturer have different possibilities to provide answers to a MSA and might even not understand what they ask for or how to request the material from the supplier

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)	6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)	6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)	7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?	8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?	1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?
Strongly agree	Strongly agree	Strongly agree	Withdrawals and sanctions should be applied faster. There are cases where products cannot be traced as they are "one shot" imports.	Place a first priority in efficiency of the MS on their own territory by encouraging improvements with stakeholders (public/private cooperation) and financing by sanctions.	Not sufficiently aimed at efficiency at national level.

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

Agree

Strongly agree

Agree

The questions are good and reflects the problems we are facing every day.

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

No opinion

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

the existing concept of an authorised representative within Europe appointed in respect of the MD and IVD device directives is a nonsense as from a liability perspective, they have none. So, in its current form, it's useless. Import bans are far more effective.

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

Comprehensive and pertinent

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

Most of the questions are relevant and they may launch mutual discussion leading to improvement of market surveillance activities.

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

Strongly agree

Agree

No opinion

Możliwość przedstawiania dokumentów związanych z oceną zgodności organom celnym - np. deklaracji zgodności

nie do końca dopasowane do respondenta - organ nadzoru rynku

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

Disagree

Agree

Agree

Exchange of information with the authorities of the country of origin. Obviously the EU has no jurisdiction in a non-EU country.

Improved training and expertise or at least access to expert advice. How about a consumer safety help desk for enforcement officers?

A few were not relevant for me to offer a reply e.g.B5 Q 5
Also B4 Q5 4th response was not correctly phrased.

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

No opinion

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

Response does only reflect business with civil explosives. Pyrotechnics not included.

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

Agree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

Not all questions are understandable
Some questions were asked about rules already implemented according CPR

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

Agree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

Better, more, coordinated surveillance with each authority reporting centrally product categories compliance levels! Unfortunately we can go round and round discussing this, but if national authorities do not take their role seriously, avoid influences by economic operators, it's like having traffic rules enforcement authorities without policemen out checking and enforcing

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

Very relevant and should allow a better picture to emerge

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

No opinion

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

Tracability and 'made in' could certainly help a lot

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

Far from reality

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

No opinion

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

Niektoré otázky prezrádzajú záujem zvýšiť reguláciu na vnútornom trhu - čo sa dalo očakávať už pri vyhlásovaní NLF.

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

None

There is an urgent need to streamline and harmonise economic sanctions for non-compliance with Union legislation to ensure fair and equal treatment of all businesses throughout the internal market. Harmonisation of enforcement authorities work and mutual cooperation will be of added value. Sanctions and penalties should be related to the revenue derived from placing

Whilst we understand the importance of gathering as much information as possible in order to create a complete picture, some of the questions could have been combined to reduce the huge number.

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

Agree

Strongly agree

No opinion

Je pense qu'une grande majorité de question pertinentes ont été posée.

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

Agree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

tak sądzę

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

Strongly agree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

nur zum Teil relevant

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

Strongly agree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

The questions covers all the areas of market surveillance.

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

No opinion

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

gut

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

No opinion

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

good

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

Agree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

keine Meinung

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)	6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)	6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)	7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?	8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?	1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?
No opinion	No opinion	Agree	A fast/ prompt reaction is the critical factor for the success. In our experience when non-compliant product are sold just in the amount of "one container" after they are sold the action are irrelevant as the products disappear on the market / are already sold.	ask manufactures for support / exchange the experience & knowledge about the product specific details.	Questions make sense to evaluate the situation and possible changes. The future changes must be effective with no administrative burden for the compliant manufactures. Some questions are seen as a little "tricky" and can be understood in one way or the exact opposite.

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

Agree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

Correctes

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

Agree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

Agree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

relevant

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

Agree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

hohe Relevanz

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

Agree

Agree

Agree

In our view most of the instruments mentioned already exist today as provided for by Regulation 765/2008 and in the NLF directives. The legal instruments are sufficient. They need implementation and effective use.

In our view most of the instruments mentioned already exist today as provided for by Regulation 765/2008 and in the NLF directives. The legal instruments are sufficient. They need implementation and effective use.

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

Strongly agree

Agree

No opinion

bien programado

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

Agree

No opinion

Strongly disagree

Gegenüber Unternehmen in Drittländern können Sanktionen zwar verhängt, aber nicht wirksam durchgesetzt werden.

Getroffenen Maßnahmen müssen verhältnismäßig sein. Eine nicht beantwortete Anfrage rechtfertigt nicht per se das Verbot des erstmaligen Inverkehrbringens von Produkten.

Wie zuständige Behörden in Drittländern die bei Ihnen ansässigen Unternehmen zwingen oder bestrafen, ist aus h.S. Sache der Drittländer.

Die Fragen sind relevant, jedoch scheinen Sie z.T. teleologisch ausgerichtet zu sein. D.h. es wird zielgerichtet nach Maßnahmen gefragt, die bereits in den Ansätzen des New Legal Framework enthalten sind.

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

Strongly agree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

The controles should be focused on products comming from those countries that don't cooperate.

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

see above

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

The questions where mostly relevant for our activities, if not we indicated this in the answers.

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Det är relevant med bättre samarbete men vi vill inte ha mer administrativa bördor som ineffektiviserar tillsynen. Det viktigaste är att en effektiv tillsyn sker i samtliga EU-länder vilket inte är fallet idag (troligen pga resursbrist).

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

No opinion

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

No opinion

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

It was ok

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

Agree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

Zadane pytania mogą pomóc zmienić zasady wprowadzania produktów aby te były bezpieczniejsze dla klientów finalnych.

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

Agree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

They are relevant.

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

Agree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

Die Relevanz der Fragen war gut gewählt.

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

Strongly agree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

Pitanja su relevantna, ali bi prijevod mogao biti stručniji.

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

коректни са

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

релевантни са

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

Agree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

Most questions assume that market surveillance in the member states is working insufficient.

The surveillance of consumer near products in Germany is working properly although we would wish to be informed better about European results of European working groups. The communication structure should be improved.

In my opinion the relevance

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

Strongly agree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

Only curious if finally we can expect a real and efficient market surveillance

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

Agree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

Relevant

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

Istotne, ale bardzo ogólnikowe.

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)	6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)	6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)	7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?	8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?	1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Op 25 april 2016 publiceerde de Europese Commissie nieuwe cijfers over de RAPEX database, die notificaties van gevaarlijke producten op de Europese markt registreert. De data laat zien dat er in 2015 meer dan 2000 notificaties van gevaarlijke producten waren. Een van de belangrijkste conclusies die de Commissie uit de data trekt is dat een belangrijke uitdaging de directe private import van

De nadruk van de consultatie ligt op de conformiteit van producten met geharmoniseerde productwetgeving. Echter, een algeheel kader voor productveiligheid voor alle (non-food) producten mist nog.
Het is noodzakelijk dat competente toezichthouders beter en slimmer samenwerken met commerciële bedrijven, om zo

Ja, maar het is onmogelijk in de vragen om een onderscheid te maken in de bevoegdheden die de toezichthouders al hebben in de verschillende lidstaten.

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

Agree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

I think they are relevant

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

Agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Muy bien

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Agree

What makes it difficult to take action for the Authorities is the complexity of the market. That is why a list of a product models per operators would be very helpful for example

More effective view of fines and also more frequent withdrawals from the market.

no comment

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

No opinion

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

NA

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

Agree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

they seem to be leading towards pre determined outcomes

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

Agree

No opinion

No opinion

Nektere otázky se naseho oboru netýkaly, nicmene celkove ok.

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

Zadane pytania służą celom statystycznym, a wyciągając wnioski ze statystyk istnieć możliwość rozbudowy biurokracji na "wolnym rynku"

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

Agree

Strongly agree

Agree

I found them too detailed. I was more than once close to abandoning the survey

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

I find most of the questions relevant.

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

No opinion

No opinion

No opinion

1. Det är oklart vilket ansvar en förmedlare av affärer mellan avsändare i tredjeland och mottagare i medlemsstat (importör) har. Kan förmedlaren åläggas ansvar skulle det kunna minska benägenheten att förmedla affärer mellan mindre kunnig tillverkare och mindre kunnig importör.
2. I vissa fall möjliggöra kontroll av produkter hos slutanvändare istället för hos ekonomisk aktör.

Blandat. Vissa frågor utgår från problem som ännu inte aktualiserats i min vardag och synpunkter saknas därmed.

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

No opinion

Podrobná dokumentace a náměty jsou k dispozici odborníkům v elektrotechnice

Podrobná dokumentace a náměty jsou k dispozici odborníkům v elektrotechnice

Výše uvedené otázky považuj za podněty ke změně legislativy. Myslím si, že odpověď na podněty neobdržím (jako vždy).

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

Disagree

Strongly agree

Agree

Kyllä

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

Agree

Agree

No opinion

Leading questions. Answers requires a given understanding of the question.

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

Agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

Agree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

A fast reaction is a critical factor in any success. If products are sold or released into the market corrective actions are pointless, as the product is already out.

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

Questions make sense to evaluate the situation and possible changes. The future changes must be effective, and not create extra administrative burden for compliant manufacturers.

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

Hardly understandable questions.

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

Too much

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)	6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)	6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)	7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?	8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?	1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Strongly agree

Investigate what is done in other countries or areas of the world. Eurasian countries, CC number in US, TAREKS in Turkey, CCC in China, ...

A stronger organization to avoid non compliant products to be imported from non EU countries would help the European industry. Once they are in the EU, they can circulate easily and be distributed. At this step, it is more difficult to tackle them.

good

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU by customs (e.g. more exchange of risk information, alignment of measures)

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU between customs and market surveillance authorities (e.g. common risk profiles, seamless workflow)

Strongly agree

6. In your experience or understanding would the following options help in taking action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?: More coordination of controls of products entering the EU (as in the previous option) targeting specifically products purchased online (e.g. via a pan-European Task Force of national authorities)

Strongly agree

7. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to take action against non-compliant products traded by businesses located in a non-EU country?

voir ce que font les Etats Unis d'Amérique (CC number), la Turquie TAREKS, la Chine (CCC)....

8. Would you like to add any comments or suggestions on how to help to improve the effectiveness of market surveillance in the Single Market?

1. What do you think about the relevance of the questions?

bonne

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

Not that I can Think of

Yes

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

In merito agli argomenti discussi le domande principali sono state considerate nel questionario

Yes

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

None I can highlight

Yes

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

Indicate the level of experience of those answering to weight answers.

Yes

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

Does the present conformity assessment module of EU New Approach is effective to identify and trace the non-compliant product and bad operator ?

Yes

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

Questions relating to the effectiveness of RAPEX and other notification systems.

Yes

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

Då regleringen inte är anpassad till de minsta tillverkarna, vika utgör huvudandelen, så skulle även frågor om regleringens effekter kunna ingå.

Yes

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

Unterschiedliche Interpretationen rechtlicher Anforderungen an Produkte durch Marktteilnehmer und/oder Marktüberwachungsbehörden werden nicht ausreichend betrachtet. Oft ziehen sich Hersteller auf harmonisierte Normen zurück, obwohl diese die Anforderungen der europäischen Richtlinie nicht ausreichend umsetzen. Es fehlt an schnellen, effektiven Methoden und Gremien, um

Yes

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

No

Yes

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

no

Yes

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

Vragen om inrichting van een Yes
overkoepelende toezicht
instantie met bijbehorende
faciliteiten die de controle
voor ALLE lidstaten uitvoert
en ook sanctie's oplegt
namens alle lidstaten. Voor
zowel het op de markt
plaatsen als het in gebruik
hebben van toestellen.

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

No

Yes

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

no

Yes

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

Nej, ikke umiddelbart men vi har et par afsluttende forslag som kunne medvirke til at forbedre effektiviteten af markedsovervågningen.
1. Koordinationen mellem myndighederne på tværs af grænserne kunne forbedres hvis EU Kommissionen stillede en fælles videokonferenceplatform til rådighed, således at vi får et alternativ til e-mails eller omkostningstunge fysiske møder.

Yes

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

Inte som vi kan se just nu. No

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

Alle Fragen zur freiwilligen Selbstüberwachungen in Branchen. Es gibt genügend Studien die zeigen, dass dies einfach und problemlos möglich ist.

Yes

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

-

Yes

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

Pas de commentaire

Yes

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

Ne

Yes

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

Nein

Yes

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

Wenngleich die Konsultation zur Verordnung 765/2008 naturgemäß die Marktüberwachung in den Mittelpunkt stellen muss, wurden wichtige Zusammenhänge vollständig ausgeklammert. So stellt beispielsweise ein mehrmonatiges Vertriebsverbot für nicht konforme Produkte aufgrund des damit verbundenen Umsatzausfalls bereits heute ein deutlich wirksames

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

The analysis of legal barriers Yes
to improve market
surveillance through a
stronger cooperation between
market surveillance
authorities and industries.

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

Ne

Yes

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

Ne

Yes

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

No

Yes

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

La réglementation doit absolument être dirigée vers la lutte contre les acteurs déloyaux.

Yes

Les prescriptions applicables pour les acteurs vertueux doivent être pertinentes, applicables industriellement et doivent apporter une réelle plus-value à l'ensemble de la chaîne (fabricant, distributeur, client final, utilisateur, autorités de surveillance)

De nombreux produits sont

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

Most of the questions seemed to be for businesses, so replying as a market surveillance authority we would have liked to have the possibility to give free text answers connected to questions we were able to answer. To enhance market surveillance, we feel resources are a key factor. That is supported by cooperation with local authorities and cross-border actions. Product legislation

Yes

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

.

Yes

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

No.

Yes

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

The EU Commission proposal for a new Consumer Product Safety Regulation and a Market Surveillance Regulation contains valuable proposals on how the traceability of products can be improved, i.e. through adding a batch, type or serial number and through mentioning the name and full address of the manufacturer and importer on the product or its

No

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

The EU Commission proposal for a new Consumer Product Safety Regulation and a Market Surveillance Regulation contains valuable proposals on how the traceability of products can be improved, i.e. through adding a batch, type or serial number and through mentioning the name and full address of the manufacturer and importer on the product or its

Yes

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

NO.

No

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

Yes, in EU acquis usually it is stated that "adequate" market surveillance should be done by Member States. This is not sufficient legal requirement since it is too open ended and vague. A direct question on this would have been of use.

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

No. However, we would like to submit separately a paper describing the market surveillance system for the cosmetics sector; we will do this via the function mailbox.

Yes

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

No

Yes

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

Ne

Yes

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

There have been no questions regarding the actual formulations on market surveillance in existing EU legislation. A more unified and possibly simpler article(s) in legislation that already harmonises market surveillance and enforcement requirements could be considered.

Yes

There have been no questions relating to existing voluntary schemes that could

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

Nie

No

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

Questions regarding the time factor and a harmonised legal framework were rather missing. Timely market surveillance reactions and actions are crucial factors for fighting against non-compliance in an effective and efficient manner.

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

--

Yes

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

Kysymykset kohdistuivat aika pitkälti nimenomaan valvontaan. Tulee pohtia mistä asioista ja millä keinoin yritykset tarvitsevat/saavat tietoa tuotteen vaatimustenmukaisuuden varmistamiseksi. Tulisi miettiä standardien käyttöä ja käytettävyyttä.

Tulisi pohtia myös kuluttajien ostokäyttäytymisen vaikutuksia markkinoilla oleviin tuotteisiin.

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

The EU Commission proposal for a new Consumer Product Safety Regulation and a Market Surveillance Regulation contains valuable proposals on how the traceability of products can be improved, i.e. through adding a batch, type or serial number and through mentioning the name and full address of the manufacturer and importer on the product or its

Yes

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

No

Yes

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

We would have liked to see more questions on knowledge sharing/collaboration between public authorities and private sector businesses. Please see also our comment on this above.

Yes

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

Unaware

Yes

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

No

Yes

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

CECE believes that a close cooperation between market surveillance authorities, manufacturers and consumers is crucial. Initiatives as and European Market Surveillance Forum could have a very positive effect.

Yes

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

Perhaps a column is missing Yes
to report if a
action/suggestion is already
implemented in the country
by national authorities.

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

Nej, inte som vi kommer på. Yes

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

The analysis of legal barriers Yes
to improve market
surveillance through a
stronger cooperation between
market surveillance
authorities and industries.

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

The analysis of legal barriers Yes
to improve market
surveillance through a
stronger cooperation between
market surveillance
authorities and industries.

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

no

Yes

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

No answer

Yes

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

No

No

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

The question of the legal qualification of deliberate non-compliance should have been raised. This is explicit cheating, with potential harm to health & safety of the user (consumer or worker), and with certain harm to the jobs, livelihoods and professional security of workers in honest companies. This is no menial infringement to law, with a threat to a public good (the confidence in the intrinsic qualities of an industrial

Yes

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

...

Yes

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

Not to our knowledge.

Yes

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

Questions about creating EU Yes
Market Surveillance Agency?
Shifting responsibilities for
Market Surveillance from
Member States to EU level?

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

No.

Yes

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

The Agency can not point out Yes
any missing questions in the
survey.

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

we are eager to reply bilaterally to this question

Yes

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

How to better address online product safety. Yes

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

The analysis of legal barriers Yes
to improve market
surveillance through a
stronger cooperation between
market surveillance
authorities and industries.

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

No

Yes

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

no

Yes

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

N/A

Yes

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

No suggestion

Yes

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

The European engineering industry calls on the European and national policy makers to set in place a smarter market surveillance system. Such a system means:

1. Simpler industrial product legislation with simpler conformity assessment procedures;
a. Simpler legislation does not mean less protective but easier to understand, to apply

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

N/A

Yes

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

-

Yes

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

*

Yes

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

Questions regarding the time factor and a harmonised legal framework were rather missing. Timely market surveillance reactions and actions are crucial factors for fighting against non-compliance in an effective and efficient manner.

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

Nein.

Yes

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

To convey our message we will also forward our responses to the Commission in the form of a letter. Yes

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

Questions about RAPEX and Yes
its functioning and
usefulness.

Questions about the risk
profiling of economic
operators and how this might
be used to make enforcement
activities more effective and
efficient.

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

Questions on the effectiveness of public-private partnership between market surveillance authorities and industries to improve market surveillance.

Yes

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

The EU Commission proposal for a new Consumer Product Safety Regulation and a Market Surveillance Regulation contains valuable proposals on how the traceability of products can be improved, i.e. through adding a batch, type or serial number and through mentioning the name and full address of the manufacturer and importer on the product or its

Yes

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

no.

Yes

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

The key missing element is how the authorities of the member states can be more effectively forced to carry out their responsibilities under EU law. We do not believe that the problem is related to knowledge, resources or finance - these can be solved with sufficient motivation - but the lack of commitment to enforce the law. Clear contravention of the law has consistently been allowed to continue without any penalty

Yes

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

Not at this point.

Yes

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

Questions with tick boxes should all allow opportunities to explain reasons for the option chosen. Alternatively there should be opportunity to submit a more detailed response in some of these areas separately.

Yes

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

No

No

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

No

No

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

Yes, for example for the compliance of the directives from products covered by them and not controlled. It's not logical to control only CE marked products, when products are entering on a market covered by a directive and not complying.

Yes

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

Nein

Yes

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

Nein

Yes

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

What is in your opinion the main reason that the compliance rate of products is low?

Yes

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

Yes. I think the issue is largely centered about supply (chain) risk assessment as in CoSo or in the ISO 31000. The business implementation perspective is missing, I gather.
I guess that EMAS or ISO 14001 certified businesses should be privileged for public tendering. At the same time, non compliant products should be notified to the EMAS/ISO certified bodies.
So the questions are missing

Yes

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

Perhaps a question on the applicability or utilization of the ICSMS vs RAPEX.

Yes

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

Nie.

No

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

nie

No

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

Nie

No

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

We missed questions about the public Information of market surveillance Actions and decisions, since they are relevant for deterrence of unlawful actors

Yes

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

Do you know the name of the market surveillance authority in your country? No

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

Nein

Yes

2. Were any important questions missing?

no

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

No

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

Ne

Yes

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

ne

Yes

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

Entiendo que la barrera del idioma no ha sido tomada en cuenta. Muchas autoridades de mercado no se dirigen a fabricantes situados fuera de sus fronteras porque no manejan el inglés de forma fluida.

Yes

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

No

Yes

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

Nein

Yes

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

ne

Yes

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

nein

Yes

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

How to make it easier for companies to follow harmonized standards?
Should the harmonized standards from time to time be reduced and simplified?

Yes

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

not that I can see at this time Yes

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

QQuestions about cooperation Yes
MS/industry/stakeholders

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

No.

Yes

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

None that came to mind

Yes

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

In my opinion, several non-compliance is caused by specific (improper) activities of notified bodies. Questions should have focused also on cooperation of market surveillance authorities with notified bodies, on certification activities of notified bodies.

No

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

powinny zostać lepiej dostosowane do rodzaju respondenta

Yes

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

Perhaps more questions about RAPEX and its usefulness or not.
Questions about the usefulness or not of an EU wide database on accidents and injuries
Something about the risk profiling of economic operators and how this might be used to make enforcement activities more effective and efficient.
Questions asking about a mandatory compliance notice

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

Do your country perform market surveillance on the product category in question ?

Yes

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

Do the rules of writing Standards allow the surveillance authorities to have the right Information about the products?

Yes

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

Authorities and relation to economic operators!

Yes

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

Will from the Commission and Yes
countries to have customs
with much more means and
power

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

~Ano - názory na kvalifikáciu úradníctva EÚ, názory na kompetencie úradníctva EÚ vytvárať nové požiadavky pri vytváraní "metodík". Yes

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

None noted.

Yes

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

Non pas à mon sens.

Yes

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

myślę, że wszystkie kwestie zostały uchwycone Yes

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

Die unterschiedliche nationale Umsetzung von EU-weiten Rechtsvorschriften, z.B. Trinkwasserrichtlinie §17 oder REACH und RoHS mit den Gew.-% des Produkts. Hält man die offiziellen Vorschriften international ein, kommt es im Vergleich zum nationalen Marktteilnehmer zur Übererfüllung. Es fehlt eine gegenseitige Anerkennung von Drittzertifikaten, welche zum Markteintritt erforderlich sind.

Yes

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

NO

Yes

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

nein

No

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

the question about the adequacy of the fines was not explicitly asked (only implicitly) No

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

keine Meinung

Yes

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

We are missing the factor "time". Not compliant products need to go off the market as soon as possible as many creating a health and/or safety issue for the user. The time frame for the answers for the manufacturer shall be evaluated with the detected safety level of non-compliance. If there is an safety issue the products need to go off the market "Now".

Yes

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

Non

Yes

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

.

No

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

no.

No

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

Die stetig gewachsene Zahl der Richtlinien (mittlerweile über 30) macht es sehr schwierig, die für ein Produkt zutreffenden Richtlinien zu ermitteln. Der Fall "ein Produkt - eine Richtlinie" dürfte mittlerweile die Ausnahme sein, nicht selten sind 3 bis 5 oder sogar mehr Richtlinien gleichzeitig zu beachten. Nicht selten enthalten diese dann auch noch widersprüchliche Regelungen.

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

We do not miss any important questions.

Yes

2. Were any important questions missing?

no

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

No

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

Derzeit nicht.

Yes

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

We think the following question should have been added:

Yes

"Do you think that EU authorities should be incharge of EU market surveillance and not national authorities?"

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

Nej, inte vad vi kan komma på. No

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

Third party certification would No
be one option to solve alot
problems. EU shall consider
that countries outside EU are
doing that as a protectionism
to save jobs in their countries.
Why EU can't do that?

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

brak

Yes

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

- nie

No

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

Nein

No

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

No.

Yes

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

Nein

Yes

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

Ne.

Yes

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

не мисля

No

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

не се сещам

No

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

не мога да преценя

No

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

He

No

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

не мога да преценя

No

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

аз не се сещам за такива No

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

Are the existing rules of market surveillance are sufficient or do they need to be improved?

Yes

Should sector specific laws should be enhanced?

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

I don't think so

Yes

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

Not aware of any

No

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

Nie zauważono

No

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

nee, de consultatie was heel uitgebreid Yes

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

I do not think so.

Yes

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

En mi opinión, uno de los aspectos más importantes en la actualidad para mi sector es la falta de homogeinización / armonización de los procedimientos de vigilancia de mercado, que quedan a criterio de cada autoridad local.

Yes

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

Another important instrument for deterrence that should be considered is enhancing enforcement of EU laws by Member States. Taking enforcement actions against Member States failing to comply with their market surveillance activities would send a strong signal in direction of the Member States as well as the rogue operators. Carrying and implementing infringement procedures would prompt

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

Asking for individual case experiences would have provided an opportunity for companies to better explain the difficulties they are facing. SMEs for instance often struggle to interact with market surveillance authorities, due to lack of resources and knowledge of their rights. Concrete examples of loopholes and shortcomings would have provided the Commission with a better picture of the

Yes

2. Were any important questions missing?

no

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

No

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

Nenapada mne

No

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

Nie, ankieta jest bardzo ogólna i nie ma możliwości dopasowania jej do każdej branży.

No

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

No

No

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

No

Yes

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

ja, men jag har glömt vilka. No

I nästa fråga : jag kan svårligen kontaktas per telefon och min engelska är usel varför svaret blir "nej".

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

Ano, scházely. Zejména ve vztahu k ochraně spotřebitele. Případně mohu poskytnout náměty.

Yes

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

Ei

Yes

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

No

Yes

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

The question of timing has not been addressed. Non-compliant products need to be taken off the market as soon as possible. The timeframe for evaluation needs to be fast - especially if the product is unsafe.

Yes

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

I do not know

No

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

No

No

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

no.

Yes

2. Were any important questions missing?

3. Can the Commission staff contact you (e.g. for a short telephone interview) to improve our understanding of your answers?

non

Yes